arXiv:1408.2493v3 [math.LO] 4 Apr 2016

THE PRINCIPLE OF OPEN INDUCTION ON CANTOR SPACE AND THE APPROXIMATE-FAN THEOREM

WIM VELDMAN

Est enim per contrapositionem conversio ut si dicas
omnis homo animal est omne non animal non homo est.

Boethius, *de Syll. Cat.*

Abstract. In the earlier papers [31], [28] and [32] we collected statements that are, in a weak formal context, equivalent to Brouwer's Fan Theorem. This time, we do the same for the Principle of Open Induction on Cantor space and the Approximate-Fan Theorem. These principles follow from Brouwer's Thesis on Bars and imply the Fan Theorem.

1. Introduction

1.1. L.E.J. Brouwer wanted to do mathematics differently. He restored the logical constants to their natural constructive meaning and introduced some new principles or axioms. His famous *Continuity Theorem* claims that every function from the unit interval $[0,1]$ to the set $\mathcal{R}$ of the real numbers is uniformly continuous. This theorem states two things: a function f from $[0,1]$ to $\mathcal{R}$ is continuous everywhere, and a function from $[0,1]$ to $\mathcal{R}$ that is continuous everywhere is continuous uniformly on $[0,1]$. The principle underlying the first statement now is called *Brouwer's Continuity Principle* and the principle underlying the second statement is *Brouwer's Fan Theorem*.

The Fan Theorem asserts that every thin bar in Cantor space $\mathcal{C}$ is finite. The theorem extends to every subset of Baire space $\mathcal{N}$, that, like $\mathcal{C}$, is a *fan*. Brouwer derived the Fan Theorem from his *Thesis on Bars in* $\mathcal{N}$ although a Thesis on Bars in $\mathcal{C}$ would have been sufficient for the purpose at hand. Brouwer's Thesis on Bars in $\mathcal{N}$ is a much stronger statement than the Fan Theorem.

The *Principle of Open Induction on* $\mathcal{C}$ asserts that every open subset of $\mathcal{N}$ that is progressive in $\mathcal{C}$ under the lexicographical ordering contains $\mathcal{C}$. T. Coquand, (see [7] and [26], Section 11), saw that this principle follows from Brouwer's Thesis on Bars in $\mathcal{N}$. The principle is a contraposition of the (classical) fact that a non-empty closed set of $\mathcal{C}$ must have a smallest element under the lexicographical ordering.

The *Approximate-Fan Theorem* asserts that every thin bar in an approximate fan is almost-finite. Every fan is an approximate fan, but not conversely, and every finite subset of the set $\mathbb{N}$ of the natural numbers is almost-finite, but not conversely.

We shall show that, in a weak formal context, the Principle of Open Induction on $\mathcal{C}$ is a consequence of the Approximate-Fan Theorem and that the Approximate-Fan Theorem follows from the Thesis on Bars in $\mathcal{N}$. The Principle of Open Induction on $\mathcal{C}$ implies the Fan Theorem but not conversely. Both the Principle of Open Induction on $\mathcal{C}$ and the Approximate-Fan Theorem have many equivalents.

The important work done in *classical reverse mathematics* and beautifully described in [18] has been a source of inspiration. Our results belong to *intuitionistic reverse mathematics* and are an intuitionistic counterpart to the Sections III.1, III.2, III.7 and part of Section V.1 from [18].

I want to thank the referee of the first version of this paper for his (or her) careful reading, his encouraging and useful comments and his good advice. I also want to thank J.R. Moschovakis for her unstinting support and interest.

1.2. **The contents of the paper.** Apart from this introduction, the paper contains 10 sections. In Section 2, we introduce BIM, a formal system for *Basic Intuitionistic Mathematics* also used in [31], [28] and [32]. We explain some of our notations. In Section 3, we introduce the *Fan Theorem*: **FT** and the *Heine-Borel Theorem*: **HB**. We prove: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{FT} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{HB}$. In Section 4, we introduce Brouwer's *Principle of Induction on Bars in* $\mathcal{N}$: **BI** and the *Principle of Open Induction on* $[0,1]$: $\mathbf{OI}([0,1])$. We prove: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{BI} \rightarrow \mathbf{OI}([0,1])$ and: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{OI}([0,1]) \rightarrow \mathbf{HB}$. In Section 5, we introduce a contraposition of *Dedekind's Theorem: every infinite bounded and nondecreasing sequence of real numbers is convergent*: $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})$, and a similar result for $\mathcal{N}$ rather than for $\mathcal{R}$: $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})_{\mathcal{N}}$, and the *Principle of Open Induction on* $\mathcal{C}$: $\mathbf{OI}(\mathcal{C})$, and a statement saying roughly that *no enumerable subset of* $\mathbb{N}$ *positively fails to be a decidable subset of* $\mathbb{N}$: **EnDec**?!. We prove: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{OI}([0,1] \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded}) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})_{\mathcal{N}} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{OI}(\mathcal{C}) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{EnDec}?!$. In Section 6, we introduce the statement: *for every decidable subset* B *of* $\mathbb{N}$ *that is a bar in* $\mathcal{N}$*, the set of all (codes of) finite sequences below the bar* B *is well-founded under the Kleene-Brouwer-ordering*: $\mathbf{Bar} \subseteq \mathbf{WF^0}_{<_{KB}}$. We prove: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{OI}([0,1] \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Bar} \subseteq \mathbf{WF^0}_{<_{KB}}$. As a corollary we obtain the result that, in BIM, $\mathbf{OI}([0,1])$ proves the principle of transfinite induction on the ordinal number ε_0, and we conclude, using a result of Troelstra's: $\mathsf{BIM} + \mathbf{FT} \nvdash \mathbf{OI}([0,1])$. In Section 7, we introduce *approximate fans* and the *Approximate-Fan Theorem* **AppFT**. We prove: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{BI} \rightarrow \mathbf{AppFT}$. In Section 8, we introduce a contraposition of the *Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem: every infinite and bounded sequence of real numbers has a convergent subsequence*: $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})$, and two similar statements for $\mathcal{N}$ rather than for $\mathcal{R}$: $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})_{\mathcal{N}}$ and $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})^+_{\mathcal{N}}$. We prove: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{AppFT} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW}) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})_{\mathcal{N}} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})^+_{\mathcal{N}}$. We conclude: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{AppFT} \rightarrow \mathbf{OI}([0,1])$. In Section 9, we introduce a contrapositive version of *Ascoli's Lemma: every infinite sequence of uniformly continuous functions from* $[0,1]$ *to* $[0,1]$*, all obeying the same modulus of uniform continuity, has a convergent subsequence*: $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Asc})$. We prove: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{AppFT} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Asc})$. In Section 10, we introduce the Intuitionistic Ramsey Theorem $\mathbf{IRT} = \forall k[\mathbf{IRT}(k)]$. We prove: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{AppFT} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{IRT} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{IRT}(3)$. We also show: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \big(\mathbf{OI}([0,1]) + \mathbf{IRT}(2)\big) \rightarrow \mathbf{AppFT}$. We introduce the Paris-Harrington Theorem: **PH**. We prove: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{IRT} \rightarrow \mathbf{PH}$, and thus find a second argument proving $\mathsf{BIM} + \mathbf{FT} \nvdash \mathbf{AppFT}$. In Section 11, we introduce *Markov's Principle*, MP_1: $\forall\alpha[\neg\neg\exists n[\alpha(n) = 0] \rightarrow \exists n[\alpha(n) = 0]]$. MP_1 is intuitionistically not acceptable. We prove: $\mathsf{BIM} + \mathsf{MP}_1 \vdash \mathbf{OI}([0,1]) \rightarrow \mathbf{AppFT}$. We prove: $\mathsf{BIM} + \mathsf{MP}_1 \vdash \mathbf{OI}([0,1]) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}\text{-}\mathbf{BI} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}\text{-}\mathbf{ND}$. $\mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}\text{-}\mathbf{BI}$ is the restriction of **BI** to enumerable subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. $\mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}\text{-}\mathbf{ND}$ is the principle that every enumerable subset A of $\mathbb{N}$ is *nearly-decidable*, that is $\neg\neg\exists\beta\forall m[m \in A \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$. This Section builds upon earlier work by R. Solovay and J.R. Moschovakis.

2. Basic Intuitionistic Mathematics BIM

2.1. **The axioms of** BIM**.** The formal system BIM (for *Basic Intuitionistic Mathematics*) that we now introduce has also been used in [28] and [32].

There are two kinds of variables, *numerical* variables $m, n, p, \ldots$, whose intended range is the set $\mathbb{N}$ of the natural numbers, and *function* variables $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots$, whose intended range is the set $\mathcal{N}$ of all infinite sequences of natural numbers, that is, the set of all functions from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N}$. There is a numerical constant 0. There

are unary function constants Id, a name for the identity function, $\underline{0}$, a name for the zero function, and S, a name for the successor function, and K, L, names for the projection functions. There is one binary function symbol J, a name for the (surjective) pairing function. From these symbols *numerical terms* are formed in the usual way. The basic terms are the numerical variables and the numerical constant and more generally, a term is obtained from earlier constructed terms by the use of a function symbol. The function constants $\underline{0}$, S, K and L and the function variables are, in the beginning stage of the development of BIM, the only *function terms*. As the theory develops, names for operations on infinite sequences are introduced and more complicated function terms appear.

There are two equality symbols, $=_0$ and $=_1$. The first symbol may be placed between numerical terms only and the second one between function terms only. When confusion seems improbable we simply write $=$ and not $=_0$ or $=_1$. A *basic formula* is an equality between numerical terms or an equality between function terms. A *basic formula in the strict sense* is an equality between numerical terms. We obtain the formulas of the theory from the basic formulas by using the connectives, the numerical quantifiers and the function quantifiers.

Theorems are obtained from the axioms by the rules of intuitionistic logic.

The first axiom is the *Axiom of Extensionality*.

Axiom 1. $\forall\alpha\forall\beta[\alpha =_1 \beta \leftrightarrow \forall n[\alpha(n) =_0 \beta(n)]]$.

Axiom 1 guarantees that every formula will be provably equivalent to a formula built up by means of connectives and quantifiers from basic formulas in the strict sense.

The second axiom is the *axiom on the function constants Id, $\underline{0}$, S, J, K and L*.

Axiom 2. $\forall n[Id(n) = n] \wedge \forall n[\underline{0}(n) = 0] \wedge \forall n[S(n) \neq 0] \wedge \forall m\forall n[S(m) = S(n) \rightarrow m = n] \wedge \forall m\forall n[K\big(J(m,n)\big) = m \wedge L\big(J(m,n)\big) = n \wedge n = J\big(K(n), L(n)\big)]$.

Thanks to the presence of the pairing function we may treat binary, ternary and other non-unary operations on $\mathbb{N}$ as unary functions. "$\alpha(m,n,p)$" for instance will be an abbreviation of "$\alpha\big(J(J(m,n),p)\big)$".

We introduce the following notation: for each n, $n' := K(n)$ and $n'' := L(n)$, and for all m, n, $(m,n) := J(m,n)$. The last part of Axiom 2 now reads as follows: $\forall m\forall n[(m,n)' = m \wedge (m,n)'' = n \wedge n = (n', n'')]$. Given any α, we let α' and α'' be the elements of $\mathcal{N}$ defined by: $\forall n[\alpha'(n) = \big(\alpha(n)\big)' \wedge \alpha''(n) = \big(\alpha(n)\big)'']$.

The third axiom[1] asks for the closure of the set $\mathcal{N}$ under the operations *composition, primitive recursion and unbounded search*.

Axiom 3. $\forall\alpha\forall\beta\exists\gamma\forall n[\gamma(n) = \alpha\big(\beta(n)\big)] \wedge \forall\alpha\forall\beta\forall\gamma\exists\delta\forall n[\delta(n) = \gamma\big(\alpha(n), \beta(n)\big)] \wedge$
$\forall p\forall\beta\exists\gamma[\gamma(0) = p \wedge \forall n[\gamma\big(S(n)\big) = \beta\big(n, \gamma(n)\big)] \wedge$
$\forall\alpha\forall\beta\exists\gamma\forall m\forall n[\gamma(m,0) = \alpha(m) \wedge \gamma\big(m, S(n)\big) = \beta\big(m, n, \gamma(m,n)\big) \wedge$
$\forall\alpha[\forall m\exists n[\alpha(m,n) = 0] \rightarrow \exists\gamma\forall m[\alpha\big(m, \gamma(m)\big) = 0 \wedge \forall n < \gamma(m)[\alpha(m,n) \neq 0]]]$.

We introduce $\circ$, *composition*, as a binary operation on functions, with defining axiom: $\forall\alpha\forall\beta[\alpha \circ \beta(n) = \alpha\big(\beta(n)\big)]$.

The fourth axiom is the *Unrestricted Axiom Scheme of Induction:*

Axiom 4. *For every formula $\phi = \phi(n)$ the universal closure of the following formula is an axiom:*

$$(\phi(0) \wedge \forall n[\phi(n) \rightarrow \phi(S(n))]) \rightarrow \forall n[\phi(n)]$$

The system consisting of the axioms mentioned up to now will be called BIM.

[1] This axiom underwent an improvement with respect to its version in [28].

2.2. **Coding finite sequences of natural numbers.** We assume that the language of BIM contains constants for the primitive recursive functions and relations and that their defining equations have been added to the axioms of BIM. In particular, there is a constant p denoting the function enumerating the prime numbers. We also have a notation for the function(s) from $\mathbb{N}^k$ to $\mathbb{N}$ coding finite sequences of natural numbers by natural numbers:

$$\langle m_0, \ldots, m_{k-1}\rangle = 2^{m_0} \cdot \cdots \cdot (p(k-2))^{m_{k-2}} \cdot (p(k-1))^{m_{k-1}+1} - 1$$

We define: $length(0) = 0$ and, for each $a > 0$, we let $length(a)$ be the greatest number i such that $p(i-1)$ divides $a+1$, that is, the least number $i \leq a$ such that there is no j such that $i \leq j \leq a$ and $p(j)$ divides $a+1$. For each a, for each i, if $i < length(a) - 1$, then $a(i)$ is the greatest number q such that $(p(i))^q$ divides $a+1$, and, if $i = length(a) - 1$, $a(i)$ is the the greatest number q such that $(p(i))^{q+1}$ divides $a+1$, and if $i \geq length(a)$, then $a(i) = 0$. Observe that $a = \langle a(0), a(1), \ldots, a(i-1)\rangle$, where $i = length(a)$. For each a, b, $a * b$ is the number s satisfying $length(s) = length(a) + length(b)$ and, for each n, if $n < length(a)$, then $s(n) = a(n)$ and, if $length(a) \leq n < length(s)$, then $s(n) = b\big(n - length(a)\big)$. For each a, for each α, $a * \alpha$ is the element β of $\mathcal{N}$ satisfying, for each n, if $n < length(a)$, then $\beta(n) = a(n)$ and, if $length(a) \leq n$, then $\beta(n) = \alpha\big(n - length(a)\big)$. For each a, for each $n \leq length(a)$ we define: $\overline{a}(n) = \langle a(0), \ldots, a(n-1)\rangle$. If confusion seems unlikely, we sometimes write: "$\overline{a}n$" and not: "$\overline{a}(n)$". For all a, b, $a \sqsubseteq b \leftrightarrow \exists n \leq length(b)[a = \overline{b}n]$, and $a \sqsubset b \leftrightarrow (a \sqsubseteq b \ \wedge \ a \neq b)$. For each n, for all a, b, $a \neq_n b \leftrightarrow \exists j < n[j < length(a) \ \wedge \ j < length(b) \ \wedge \ a(j) \neq b(j)]$, and: $a =_n b \leftrightarrow \neg(a \neq_n b)$. For each α, for each n, $\overline{\alpha}(n) := \langle \alpha(0), \ldots \alpha(n-1)\rangle$. If confusion seems unlikely, we sometimes write: "$\overline{\alpha}n$" and not: "$\overline{\alpha}(n)$". For each s, for each α, $s \sqsubset \alpha \leftrightarrow \exists n[\overline{\alpha}n = s]$. For each s, $\mathcal{N} \cap s := \{\alpha | s \sqsubset \alpha\}$. *Bin* is the set of all natural numbers s coding a *finite binary sequence*, that is, such that, for all $n < length(s)$, $s(n) = 0 \ \vee \ s(n) = 1$. *Cantor space* $\mathcal{C}$ is the set of all γ such that $\forall n[\overline{\gamma}n \in Bin]$. For each s in *Bin*, $\mathcal{C} \cap s := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{C} | s \sqsubset \alpha\}$.

For each α, for each s, $\alpha \circ s$ is the element t of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $length(t) = length(s)$, and for all $i < length(t)$, $t(i) = \alpha\big(s(i)\big)$.

2.3. **Lexicographical ordering and Kleene-Brouwer-ordering.** For all s, t, $s <_{lex} t \leftrightarrow \exists i[i < \min length(s) \ \wedge \ i < length(t) \ \wedge \ \overline{s}i = \overline{t}i \ \wedge \ s(i) < t(i)]$, and, for all α, β, $\alpha <_{lex} \beta \leftrightarrow \exists n[\overline{\alpha}n <_{lex} \overline{\beta}n]$. For each subset $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{N}$, for each γ, $\mathcal{X}_{<_{lex}\gamma} := \{\alpha \in \mathcal{X} | \alpha <_{lex} \gamma\}$.

For all s, t, $s <_{KB} t \leftrightarrow (t \sqsubset s \ \vee \ s <_{lex} t)$. The ordering $<_{KB}$ is called the *Kleene-Brouwer ordering* of $\mathbb{N}$, and sometimes the *Lusin-Sierpinski ordering* of $\mathbb{N}$. The Kleene-Brouwer ordering $<_{KB}$ is a decidable and linear ordering of $\mathbb{N}$: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \forall s \forall t[s <_{KB} t \ \vee \ s = t \ \vee \ t <_{KB} s]$.

2.4. **Increasing sequences.** We define, for each n, $[\omega]^n := \{s | length(s) = n \ \wedge \ \forall i[i+1 < n \rightarrow s(i) < s(i+1)]\}$. We also define: $[\omega]^{<\omega} := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} [\omega]^n$ and $[\omega]^\omega := \{\alpha | \forall n[\alpha(n) < \alpha(n+1)]\}$.

2.5. **Bars and thin bars.** For each subset $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{N}$, for each subset B of $\mathbb{N}$, B *is a bar in* $\mathcal{X}$, notation: $Bar_{\mathcal{X}}(B)$, if and only $\forall \alpha \mathcal{X} \exists n[\overline{\alpha}n \in B]$, and B *is a* thin *bar in* $\mathcal{X}$, notation: $Thinbar_{\mathcal{X}}(B)$ if and only if $Bar_{\mathcal{X}}(B) \wedge \forall s \in B \forall t \in B[s \sqsubseteq t \rightarrow s = t]$.

2.6. **Decidable and enumerable subsets of** $\mathbb{N}$**.** For each α, $D_\alpha := \{i | \alpha(i) \neq 0\}$. The set D_α is *the subset of* $\mathbb{N}$ *decided by* α. A subset A of $\mathbb{N}$ is a *decidable* subset of $\mathbb{N}$ if and only if $\exists \alpha[A = D_\alpha]$. For each a, $D_a := \{i | i < length(a) | a(i) \neq 0\}$. Note: for each a, D_a is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and: for each α, $D_\alpha = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_{\overline{\alpha}n}$.

For each α, $E_\alpha := \{n|\exists p[\alpha(p) = n+1]\}$. The set E_α is *the subset of* $\mathbb{N}$ *enumerated by* α. A subset A of $\mathbb{N}$ is an *enumerable* subset of $\mathbb{N}$, or: belongs to the class $\mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}$, if and only if $\exists\alpha[A = E_\alpha]$. For each a, $E_a := \{n|\exists p < \mathit{length}(a)[a(p) = n+1]\}$. Note: for each a, E_a is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and: for each α, $E_\alpha = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} E_{\overline{\alpha}n}$.

2.7. **Open subsets of $\mathcal{N}$.** For every α we let $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ be the set of all γ such that $\exists n[\overline{\gamma}n \in D_\alpha]$. A subset $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ is *open* if and only if, for some α, $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_\alpha$. For each t in $\mathbb{N}$ we let $\mathcal{G}_t$ be the set of all γ in $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\exists n[\overline{\gamma}n \in D_t]$.

Note that, for every α, $\mathcal{G}_\alpha = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{G}_{\overline{\alpha}n}$. Also note: for every subset $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{N}$, $\forall\alpha[\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_\alpha \leftrightarrow \mathit{Bar}_{\mathcal{X}}(D_\alpha)]$ and $\forall t[\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_t \leftrightarrow \mathit{Bar}_{\mathcal{X}}(D_t)]$.

2.8. **Spreads, fans and explicit fans.** For each β, we let $\mathcal{F}_\beta$ be the set of all α such that $\forall n[\beta(\overline{\alpha}n) = 0]$. *$\beta$ is a spread-law*, notation: $\mathit{Spr}(\beta)$, if and only if $\forall s[\beta(s) = 0 \leftrightarrow \exists n[\beta(s * \langle n\rangle) = 0]]$. A subset $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ is a *spread* if and only if there exists a spread-law β such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\beta$. Note: for every β, if $\mathit{Spr}(\beta)$, then $\exists\alpha[\alpha \in \mathcal{F}_\beta]$, ($\mathcal{F}_\beta$ is *inhabited*), if and only if $\beta(\langle\,\rangle) = 0$, and $\mathcal{F}_\beta = \emptyset$ if and only if $\beta(\langle\,\rangle) \neq 0$. *$\beta$ is a finitary spread-law*, or: *a fan-law*, notation: $\mathit{Fan}(\beta)$, if and only if $\mathit{Spr}(\beta)$ and $\forall s\exists n\forall m[\beta(s * \langle m\rangle) = 0 \to m \leq n]$. *$\beta$ is an explicit fan-law*, notation: $\mathit{Fan}^+(\beta)$, if and only if $\mathit{Spr}(\beta)$ and $\exists\gamma\forall s\forall m[\beta(s * \langle m\rangle) = 0 \to m \leq \gamma(s)]$. One may prove in BIM: $\forall\beta[\mathit{Fan}(\beta) \to \big(\mathit{Fan}^+(\beta) \leftrightarrow \exists\delta\forall n\forall s[(\mathit{length}(s) \leq n \ \wedge\ \beta(s) = 0) \to s < \delta(n)]\big)]$. A subset $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ is an *(explicit) fan* if and only if there exists an (explicit) fan-law β such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\beta$.

2.9. **(Partial) continuous functions from $\mathcal{N}$ to $\mathcal{N}$.** A subset X of $\mathbb{N}$ is a *partial continuous function from $\mathcal{N}$ to $\mathcal{N}$* if and only if $\forall a \in X\forall b \in X[a' \sqsubseteq b' \to a'' \sqsubseteq b'']$.

Assume: $X \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ is a partial continuous function from $\mathcal{N}$ to $\mathcal{N}$. For all α, β in $\mathcal{N}$, *X maps α onto β*, notation: $X : \alpha \mapsto \beta$, if and only if $\forall n\exists p > n\exists m[(\overline{\alpha}m, \overline{\beta}p) \in X]$. The *domain of X*, notation: $\mathit{dom}(X)$, is the set of all α in $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\exists\beta[X : \alpha \mapsto \beta]$. For every α in $\mathit{dom}(X)$, there is exactly one β in $\mathcal{N}$ such that $X : \alpha \mapsto \beta$. We denote this element of $\mathcal{N}$ by $X|\alpha$.

We let $\mathit{Partfun}$ be the set of all ϕ such that E_ϕ is a partial continuous function from $\mathcal{N}$ to $\mathcal{N}$. Assume $\phi \in \mathit{Partfun}$. For every α in $\mathit{dom}(E_\phi)$, $\phi|\alpha := E_\phi|\alpha$. For all subsets $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{Y}$ of $\mathcal{N}$, $\phi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ if and only if $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathit{dom}(E_\phi)$ and $\forall\alpha \in \mathcal{X}[\phi|\alpha \in \mathcal{Y}]$. We let Fun be the set all ϕ such that $\phi : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$, that is: $\phi \in \mathit{PartFun}$ and $\mathit{dom}(E_\phi) = \mathcal{N}$.

2.10. **Real numbers.** The development of real analysis in BIM has been described in [28]. For all m, n in $\mathbb{N}$: $m =_{\mathbb{Z}} n \leftrightarrow m' + n'' = m'' + n'$ and $m <_{\mathbb{Z}} n \leftrightarrow m' + n'' < m'' + n'$ and $m \leq_{\mathbb{Z}} n \leftrightarrow m' + n'' \leq m'' + n'$ and $m +_{\mathbb{Z}} n := (m' + n'', m'' + n')$ and $m -_{\mathbb{Z}} n := (m' - n'', m'' - n')$ and $m \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}} n := (m' \cdot n' + m'' \cdot n'', m' \cdot n'' + m'' \cdot n')$ and $0_{\mathbb{Z}} := (0, 0)$ and $1_{\mathbb{Z}} := (1, 0)$. $\mathbb{Q}$ is the set of all m such that $m'' >_{\mathbb{Z}} 0_{\mathbb{Z}}$. For all p, q in $\mathbb{Q}$, $p =_{\mathbb{Q}} q \leftrightarrow p' \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}} q'' =_{\mathbb{Z}} p'' \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}} q'$ and $p <_{\mathbb{Q}} q \leftrightarrow p' \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}} q'' <_{\mathbb{Z}} p'' \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}} q'$ and $p \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} q \leftrightarrow p' \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}} q'' \leq_{\mathbb{Z}} p'' \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}} q'$ and $p +_{\mathbb{Q}} q := (p' \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}} q'' +_{\mathbb{Z}} p'' \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}} q', p'' \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}} q'')$ and $p -_{\mathbb{Q}} q := (p' \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}} q'' -_{\mathbb{Z}} p'' \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}} q', p'' \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}} q'')$and $p \cdot_{\mathbb{Q}} q := (p' \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}} q', p'' \cdot_{\mathbb{Z}} q'')$ and $0_{\mathbb{Q}} := (0_{\mathbb{Z}}, 1_{\mathbb{Z}})$ and $1_{\mathbb{Q}} := (1_{\mathbb{Z}}, 1_{\mathbb{Z}})$. $\mathbb{S}$ is the set of (the code numbers of) the *rational segments*, that is: $\forall s[s \in \mathbb{S} \leftrightarrow (s' \in \mathbb{Q} \ \wedge\ s'' \in \mathbb{Q} \ \wedge\ s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} s'')]$. For every s in $\mathbb{S}$, $\mathit{length}_{\mathbb{S}}(s) := s'' -_{\mathbb{Q}} s'$. For all s, t in $\mathbb{S}$: $s \sqsubseteq_{\mathbb{S}} t \leftrightarrow t' \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} s' \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} s'' \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} t''$ and: $s \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} t \leftrightarrow t' <_{\mathbb{Q}} s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} s'' <_{\mathbb{Q}} t''$ and: $s <_{\mathbb{S}} t \leftrightarrow s'' <_{\mathbb{Q}} t'$, and: $s \leq_{\mathbb{S}} t \leftrightarrow s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} t''$, and: $s \#_{\mathbb{S}} t \leftrightarrow (s <_{\mathbb{S}} t \ \vee\ t <_{\mathbb{S}} s)$, and: $s \approx_{\mathbb{S}} t \leftrightarrow (s \leq_{\mathbb{S}} t \ \wedge\ t \leq_{\mathbb{S}} s)$. Note: $\forall s \in \mathbb{S}\forall t \in \mathbb{S}[s \approx_{\mathbb{S}} t \leftrightarrow \exists u \in \mathbb{S}[u \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} s \ \wedge\ u \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} t]]$.

The set $\mathcal{R}$ of the *real numbers* is the set of all α such that $\forall n[\alpha(n) \in \mathbb{S} \ \wedge\ \alpha(n+1) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} \alpha(n)]$ and $\forall m\exists n[\mathit{length}_{\mathbb{S}}(\alpha(n)) <_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^m}]$. For all α, β in $\mathcal{R}$: $\alpha <_{\mathcal{R}} \beta \leftrightarrow \exists n[\alpha(n) <_{\mathbb{S}} \beta(n)]$ and $\alpha \leq_{\mathcal{R}} \beta \leftrightarrow \neg(\beta <_{\mathcal{R}} \alpha)$, and $\alpha =_{\mathcal{R}} \beta \leftrightarrow (\alpha \leq_{\mathcal{R}}$

$\beta \ \wedge \ \beta \leq_{\mathcal{R}} \alpha$). For all α, β in $\mathcal{R}$, $[\alpha, \beta) := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{R} | 0_{\mathcal{R}} \leq_{\mathcal{R}} \gamma <_{\mathcal{R}} \beta\}$, and $[\alpha, \beta] := \{\gamma \in \mathcal{R} | 0_{\mathcal{R}} \leq_{\mathcal{R}} \gamma \leq_{\mathcal{R}} \beta\}$. For every p in $\mathbb{Q}$, $p_{\mathcal{R}}$ is the element of $\mathcal{R}$ satisfying: for each n, $p_{\mathcal{R}}(n) = (p -_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n}, p +_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n})$. For each s in $\mathbb{S}$, we define: $\overline{s} := [(s')_{\mathcal{R}}, (s'')_{\mathcal{R}}]$.

2.11. **Coverings.** Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a subset of $\mathcal{R}$ and let C be a subset of $\mathbb{S}$. We define: C *covers* $\mathcal{X}$, notation: $Cov_C(\mathcal{X})$, if and only if $\forall x \in \mathcal{X} \exists n \exists s \in C[x(n) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} s]$.

Let C be a finite subset of $\mathbb{S}$, and let s be an element of $\mathbb{S}$. Note: C covers $\overline{s} = [(s')_{\mathcal{R}}, (s'')_{\mathcal{R}}]$ if and only if $\exists n \exists u[\mathit{length}(u) = n \ \wedge \ \forall i < n[u(i) \in C] \ \wedge \ \big(u(0)\big)' <_{\mathbb{Q}} s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} \big(u(0)\big)'' \ \wedge \ \forall i < n-1[u(i) \approx_{\mathbb{S}} u(i+1)] \ \wedge \ \big(u(n-1)\big)' <_{\mathbb{Q}} s'' <_{\mathbb{Q}} \big(u(n-1)\big)'']$. We thus may decide, if C covers $\overline{s}$ or not. Also note: if C covers $\overline{s}$, then $\exists n \forall \gamma \in \overline{s} \forall \delta \in \overline{s}[|\gamma -_{\mathcal{R}} \delta| <_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{2^n} \to \exists t \in C \exists m \exists n[\gamma(m) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} t \ \wedge \ \delta(n) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} t]]$.

2.12. **Open subsets of $\mathcal{R}$.** For every α we let $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ be the set of all γ in $\mathcal{R}$ such that $\exists s \in \mathbb{S} \exists n[s \in D_\alpha \ \wedge \ \gamma(n) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} s]$. A subset $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{R}$ is *open* if and only if, for some α, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_\alpha$. For each t in $\mathbb{N}$ we let $\mathcal{H}_t$ be the set of all γ in $\mathcal{R}$ such that, for some $\exists s \exists n[s \in D_t \ \wedge \ \gamma(n) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} s]$. Note: for every α, $\mathcal{H}_\alpha = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\alpha}n}$. Also note: for every subset $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{R}$, $\forall \alpha[\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\alpha \leftrightarrow Cov_{\mathcal{X}}(D_\alpha)]$ and $\forall t[\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_t \leftrightarrow Cov_{\mathcal{X}}(D_t)]$.

2.13. **Extending** BIM. We mention some axioms and assumptions that may be studied in the context of BIM.

2.13.1. *Brouwer's Unrestricted Continuity Principle*, **BCP**:

For every subset $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, if $\forall \alpha \exists n[\alpha \mathcal{A} n]$, then $\forall \alpha \exists m \exists n \forall \beta[\overline{\alpha}m = \overline{\beta}m \to \beta \mathcal{A} n]$.

("$\alpha \mathcal{A} n$" abbreviates "$(\alpha, n) \in \mathcal{A}$".)

Note: **BCP** is an *axiom scheme* and not a single axiom.

2.13.2. *Church's Thesis*, **CT**:

$$\exists \tau \exists \psi \forall \alpha \exists e \forall n \exists z[\tau(e, n, z) = 1 \ \wedge \ \forall i < z[\tau(e, n, i) \neq 1] \ \wedge \ \psi(z) = \alpha(n)].$$

2.13.3. The *Unrestricted First Axiom of Countable Choice*, $\mathbf{AC_{0,0}}$:

For every subset A of $\mathbb{N}$: $\forall n \exists m[nAm] \to \exists \gamma \forall n[nA\gamma(n)]$.

Also $\mathbf{AC}_{0,0}$ is an *axiom scheme*.

The formula $\forall \alpha[\forall m \exists n[\alpha(m, n) = 0] \to \exists \gamma \forall m[\alpha\big(m, \gamma(m)\big) = 0]]$ is called the *Minimal Axiom of Countable Choice* $\mathbf{Min\text{-}AC_{0,0}}$. $\mathbf{Min\text{-}AC_{0,0}}$ follows from Axiom 3. Another special case is the $\mathbf{\Pi^0_1}$*-First Axiom of Countable Choice*, $\mathbf{\Pi^0_1\text{-}AC_{0,0}}$:

$$\forall \alpha[\forall n \exists m[m \notin E_{\alpha^n}] \to \exists \gamma \forall n[\gamma(n) \notin E_{\alpha^n}]],$$

that is:

$$\forall \alpha[\forall n \exists m \forall p[\alpha^n(p) \neq m+1] \to \exists \gamma \forall n \forall p[\alpha^n(p) \neq \gamma(n)+1]].$$

A more cautious, almost *innocent*, version is the *Weak* $\mathbf{\Pi^0_1}$*-First Axiom of Countable Choice*, $\mathbf{Weak\text{-}\Pi^0_1\text{-}AC_{0,0}}$:

$$\forall \alpha[\forall n \exists m \forall p \geq m[\alpha(n, p) = 1] \to \exists \gamma \forall n \forall p \geq \gamma(n)[\alpha(n, p) = 1]].$$

Lemma 2.1. $\mathsf{BIM} + \mathbf{Weak\text{-}\Pi^0_1\text{-}AC_{0,0}} \vdash \forall \beta[\mathit{Fan}(\beta) \to \mathit{Fan}^+(\beta)]$.

Proof. Let β be given such that $\mathit{Fan}(\beta)$. Note: $\forall s \exists n \forall p \geq n[\beta(s * \langle p \rangle) = 0]$. Find γ such that $\forall s \forall p \geq \gamma(s)[\beta(s * \langle p \rangle) = 0]$. □

2.13.4. The *Limited Principle of Omniscience*, **LPO**:

$$\forall \alpha[\exists n[\alpha(n) \neq 0] \ \vee \ \forall n[\alpha(n) = 0]].$$

From a constructive point view, **LPO** makes no sense. Note: $\mathsf{BIM} + \mathbf{BCP} \vdash \neg\mathbf{LPO}$, see, for instance, [32]. If a given statement implies **LPO**, this statement also makes no (constructive) sense.

3. The Fan Theorem and the Heine-Borel Theorem

3.1. **Brouwer's argument for the Fan Theorem.** Brouwer claims, in [4] and [5], implicitly, as he jumps at once to a larger claim, see Subsection 4.1, that, for every subset B of Bin, if $Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(B)$, then there exists a *canonical* proof of this fact. The canonical proof consists of statements "$Bar_{\mathcal{C}\cap s}(B)$", where $s \in Bin$. The conclusion of the proof is: $Bar_{\mathcal{C}\cap\langle\,\rangle}(B)$, and the proof uses only steps of the form:

(i) $s \in B$, *and therefore:* $Bar_{\mathcal{C}\cap s}(B)$,
(ii) $Bar_{\mathcal{C}\cap s*\langle 0\rangle}(B)$ and $Bar_{\mathcal{C}\cap s*\langle 1\rangle}(B)$, *and therefore:* $Bar_{\mathcal{C}\cap s}(B)$,
(iii) $Bar_{\mathcal{C}\cap s}(B)$, *and therefore:* $Bar_{\mathcal{C}\cap s*\langle 0\rangle}(B)$, and:
(iv) $Bar_{\mathcal{C}\cap s}(B)$, *and therefore:* $Bar_{\mathcal{C}\cap s*\langle 1\rangle}(B)$.

Let B be a subset of Bin. B is *inductive in Bin* if and only if $\forall s \in Bin[\forall i < 2[s * \langle i\rangle \in B] \rightarrow s \in B]]$ and B is *monotone in Bin* if and only if $\forall s \in Bin \forall i < 2[s \in B \rightarrow s * \langle i\rangle \in B]]$. Now let B be a subset of Bin such that $Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(B)$ and B is both inductive and monotone in Bin. Take the canonical proof of "$Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(B)$" and replace in this proof every statement "$Bar_{\mathcal{C}\cap s}(B)$" by the statement: "$s \in B$". We then obtain a proof of: $\langle\,\rangle \in B$.

This argument justifies the following *axiom scheme of bar induction in Cantor space*, $\mathbf{BI}_{\mathcal{C}}$: *For all subsets B of $\mathbb{N}$:* $(Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(B) \wedge \forall s \in Bin[s \in B \leftrightarrow \forall i < 2[s * \langle i\rangle \in B]]) \rightarrow \langle\,\rangle \in B$.

We introduce two special cases of this axiom scheme:
$\mathbf{Dec\text{-}BI}_{\mathcal{C}}$: $\forall\alpha[Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_\alpha) \wedge \forall s \in Bin[s \in D_\alpha \leftrightarrow \forall i < 2[s * \langle i\rangle \in D_\alpha]]) \rightarrow \langle\,\rangle \in D_\alpha]$, and
$\mathbf{\Sigma^1_0\text{-}BI}_{\mathcal{C}}$: $\forall\alpha[Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(E_\alpha) \wedge \forall s \in Bin[s \in E_\alpha \leftrightarrow \forall i < 2[s * \langle i\rangle \in E_\alpha]]) \rightarrow \langle\,\rangle \in E_\alpha]$.

We also introduce the *Fan Theorem*, $\mathbf{FT}$: $\forall\alpha[Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_\alpha) \rightarrow \exists n[Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_{\overline{\alpha}n}]]$.

Theorem 3.1. (i) $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{Dec\text{-}BI}_{\mathcal{C}}$.
(ii) $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{\Sigma^1_0\text{-}BI}_{\mathcal{C}} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{FT}$.

Proof. (i) Let α be given such that $Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_\alpha)$ and $\forall s \in Bin[s \in D_\alpha \leftrightarrow \forall i < 2[s * \langle i\rangle \in D_\alpha]]$. Assume $\langle\,\rangle \notin D_\alpha$. Define γ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that, for each n, $\gamma(n)$ is the least $i < 2$ such that $\overline{\gamma}n * \langle i\rangle \notin D_\alpha$. Note that, for each n, $\overline{\gamma}n \notin D_\alpha$. Contradiction. Conclude: $\langle\,\rangle \in D_\alpha$.

(ii). Assume $\mathbf{\Sigma^1_0\text{-}BI}_{\mathcal{C}}$. Let α be given such that $Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_\alpha)$. Define β such that, for each n, *if* $n' \in Bin$ and every t in Bin such that $n' \sqsubseteq t$ and $length(t) = n''$ has an initial part in D_α, *then* $\beta(n) = n' + 1$, and, *if not, then* $\beta(n) = 0$. Note: E_β is the set of all s in Bin such that, for some m, every t in Bin such that $s \sqsubseteq t$ and $length(t) = m$ has an initial part in B. Note: D_α is a subset of E_β and E_β is both monotone and inductive in Bin. Conclude: $\langle\,\rangle \in E_\beta$, and find n such that $\beta(n) = \langle\,\rangle + 1 = 1$. Note: $n' = 0$ and and every t in Bin such that $length(t) = n''$ has an initial part in D_α, and, therefore, $\exists m[Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_{\overline{\alpha}m}]$. Conclude: $\mathbf{FT}$.

Now assume $\mathbf{FT}$. Let α be given such that E_α is a a bar in $\mathcal{C}$ and both monotone and inductive in Bin. Define β such that $\forall s[\beta(s) \neq 0 \leftrightarrow (s \in Bin \wedge \exists p < s \exists m < s[\alpha(p) = \overline{s}m + 1])]$. Note: $Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_\beta)$. Find p such that $Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_{\overline{\beta}p})$. Find m such that, for all s in $D_{\overline{\beta}p}$, $length(s) \leq m$. Note that, for all s in Bin, if $length(s) = m$, then there exists $q \leq m$ such that $\overline{s}q \in E_\alpha$. We now prove: $\forall k \leq m \forall s \in Bin[length(s) = k \rightarrow \exists q \leq k[\overline{s}q \in E_\alpha]]$. We do so by backwards induction, starting from the case $k = m$. Suppose $k+1 \leq m$ and $\forall s \in Bin[length(s) = k+1 \rightarrow \exists q \leq k+1[\overline{s}q \in E_\alpha]]$. Let s be an element of Bin such that $length(s) = k$. As both $s * \langle 0\rangle$ and $s * \langle 1\rangle$ have an initial part in E_α, one may distinguish two cases. *Either* there exists $q \leq k$ such that $\overline{s}q \in E_\alpha$, *or* both $s * \langle 0\rangle \in E_\alpha$ and $s * \langle 1\rangle \in E_\alpha$, and, therefore, $s \in E_\alpha$. In both cases there exists $q \leq k$ such that $\overline{s}q \in E_\alpha$. We thus see: $\langle\,\rangle \in E_\alpha$. Conclude: $\mathbf{\Sigma^1_0\text{-}BI}_{\mathcal{C}}$. □

There are many equivalents of **FT**, see [31], [28] and [32].

3.2. **Extending the Fan Theorem.** The notions *spread, fan* and *explicit fan* have been introduced in Subsection 2.8. In Subsection 2.13.3, we saw:

$\mathsf{BIM} + \mathbf{Weak\text{-}\Pi^0_1\text{-}AC_{0,0}} \vdash \forall\beta[Fan(\beta) \to Fan^+(\beta)]$.

We introduce two versions of the *Extended Fan Theorem*,

$\mathbf{FT_{ext}}$: $\forall\beta\forall\alpha[\big(Fan^+(\beta) \wedge Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha)\big) \to \exists n[Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_{\overline{\alpha}n})]]$, and:

$\mathbf{FT^+_{ext}}$: $\forall\beta\forall\alpha[\big(Fan(\beta) \wedge Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha)\big) \to \exists n[Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_{\overline{\alpha}n})]]$.

Theorem 3.2. $\mathbf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{FT} \to \mathbf{FT_{ext}}$.

Proof. The proof may be found in [19] and [28], but we find it useful to give it here. Let β, α be given such that $Fan^+(\beta)$ and $Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha)$. Find γ such that, for each s, if $\beta(s) = 0$, then $\gamma(s)$ is the greatest n such that $\beta(s * \langle n\rangle) = 0$. For each s, we define $D(s)$ in *Bin*, as follows, by induction on *length*(s). $D(\langle\ \rangle) = \langle\ \rangle$ and, for all s, for all n, $D(s * \langle n\rangle) = D(s) * \underline{\overline{0}}n * \langle 1\rangle$. We define δ such that, for each t, $\delta(t) \neq 0$ if and only if there exists s such that $\alpha(t) \neq 0$ and $t = D(s)$, or there exist s, i such $\beta(s) = 0$ and $t = D(s) * \underline{\overline{0}}i$ and $i > \gamma(s)$. We claim: $Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_\delta)$. For assume $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}$. We define ε^* in $\mathcal{F}_\beta$, as follows, by induction. For each n, $\varepsilon^*(n)$ is the least $j \leq \gamma(\overline{\varepsilon^*}n)$ such that $\beta(\overline{\varepsilon^*}n * \langle j\rangle) = 0$ and $D(\overline{\varepsilon^*}n * \langle j\rangle) \sqsubset \varepsilon$, *if such j exists*, and $\varepsilon^*(n)$ is the least $j \leq \gamma(\overline{\varepsilon^*}n)$ such that $\beta(\overline{\varepsilon^*}n * \langle j\rangle) = 0$, *if not*. Find n such that $\overline{\varepsilon^*}n \in D_\alpha$. *Either* $D(\overline{\varepsilon^*}n) \sqsubset \varepsilon$, *or* there exist s, i such $\beta(s) = 0$ and $D(s) * \underline{\overline{0}}i \sqsubset \varepsilon$ and $i > \gamma(s)$. In both cases, $\exists m[\overline{\varepsilon}m \in D_\delta]$. Using **FT**, find m such that $Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_{\overline{\delta}m})$. Find p such that, for each s, if $s \geq p$, then $D(s) \geq m$. Conclude: $Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_{\overline{\alpha}p})$. □

3.3. **Extending FT and extending WKL.** The following statement, *Weak König's Lemma* **WKL**, is studied in classical reverse mathematics and constructively false:

$$\forall\alpha[\forall m\exists s \in Bin[length(s) = m \wedge \forall n \leq m[\alpha(\overline{s}n) = 0]] \to \exists\gamma \in \mathcal{C}\forall n[\alpha(\overline{\gamma}n) = 0]].$$

FT is a contraposition of **WKL**.

Extending **WKL** from subtrees of *Bin* to arbitrary finitely branching trees, one obtains *König's Lemma* **KL**:

$$\forall\beta\forall\alpha[\big(Fan(\beta) \wedge \forall m\exists s[length(s) = m \wedge \beta(s) = 0 \wedge \forall n \leq m[\alpha(\overline{s}n) = 0]]\big) \to \exists\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_\beta\forall n[\alpha(\overline{\gamma}n) = 0]].$$

$\mathbf{FT^+_{ext}}$ is a contraposition of **KL**.

Restricting **KL** to *explicitly* finitely branching trees, one obtains *Bounded König's Lemma* **BKL**:

$$\forall\beta\forall\alpha[\big(Fan^+(\beta) \wedge \forall m\exists s[length(s) = m \wedge \beta(s) = 0 \wedge \forall n \leq m[\alpha(\overline{s}n) = 0]]\big) \to \exists\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_\beta\forall n[\alpha(\overline{\gamma}n) = 0]]].$$

$\mathbf{FT_{ext}}$ is a contraposition of **BKL**.

In the classical formal context of RCA_0, **KL** is equivalent to ACA_0 and definitely stronger than **WKL**. **WKL** and **BKL**, on the other hand, are equivalent, see [18], Lemma IV.1.4, page 130, and our Theorem 3.2.

We must conclude that $\mathbf{Weak\text{-}\Pi^0_1\text{-}AC_{0,0}}$, if introduced in the classical context of RCA_0, would bring us from **WKL** to **KL** and thus, in this context, would not deserve to be called an *innocent* extra assumption.

In the intuitionistic context of BIM, however, quantifiers are read constructively, so the assumption from which $\mathbf{Weak\text{-}\Pi^0_1\text{-}AC_{0,0}}$ draws its conclusion is, if it would be possible to say so, stronger than in the classical context. The intuitionistic step from $\mathbf{FT_{ext}}$ to $\mathbf{FT^+_{ext}}$ seems to be a smaller step than the classical step from **BKL** to **KL**.

3.4. **Two reformulations of FT.** For every β, for every subset B of $\mathbb{N}$, we define: *B is s strong bar in $\mathcal{F}_\beta$*, notation: $Strongbar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(B)$, if and only if $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\beta\big(\zeta(n)\big) \neq 0 \vee \exists m[\overline{\zeta(n)}m \in B]]$.

Lemma 3.3. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \forall\beta[Fan^+(\beta) \to \forall\alpha[Strongbar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha) \to \exists n[Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_{\overline{\alpha}n}]]]$.

Proof. Let β be given such that $Fan^+(\beta)$. Find δ such that, for each n, for each s, if $\beta(s) = 0$ and $length(s) \leq n$, then $s < \delta(n)$. Let α be given such that $Strongbar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha)$. Define ζ such that, for every n, $\beta\big(\zeta(n)\big) = 0$ and $length\big(\zeta(n)\big) = n$, and, if $\exists s \leq \delta(n) \in Bin[length(s) = n \ \wedge \ \neg\exists m \leq n[\overline{s}m \in D_\alpha]]$, then $\neg\exists m \leq n[\overline{\zeta(n)}m \in D_\alpha]$. Find n such that $\exists m[\overline{\zeta(n)}m \in D_\alpha]$ and conclude: $\forall s \in Bin[length(s) = n \to \exists m \leq n[\overline{s}m \in D_\alpha]]$ and: $Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_{\overline{\alpha}\delta(n)})$. □

The following statement is called the *Other Fan Theorem*.
FT*: $\forall\beta[Fan^+(\beta) \to \forall\alpha[Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha) \to Strongbar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha)]]$.

FT* claims that, in an explicit fan, every bar is strong bar.

Theorem 3.4. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{FT_{ext}} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{FT}^*$.

Proof. First assume $\mathbf{FT_{ext}}$. Let β, α be given such that $Fan^+(\beta)$ and $Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha)$. Find m such that $Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_{\overline{\alpha}m})$. Let ζ be an element of $[\omega]^\omega$ such that $\forall n[\beta\big(\zeta(n)\big) = 0]$. Find p such that, for each $s < m$, $length\big(\zeta(p)\big) > length(s)$. Find γ in $\mathcal{F}$ passing through $\zeta(p)$. Find q such that $\overline{\gamma}q \in D_{\overline{\alpha}m}$. Note: $q < length\big(\zeta(p)\big)$ and: $\overline{\zeta(p)}q \in D_\alpha$. Conclude $\mathbf{FT}^*$

Now assume $\mathbf{FT}^*$. Use Lemma 3.3 and conclude $\mathbf{FT_{ext}}$. □

FT is equivalent to the statement that, in an explicit fan, every thin bar is finite:

Theorem 3.5. *The following statements are equivalent in* BIM*:*
(i) $\mathbf{FT_{ext}}$*:* $\forall\beta[Fan^+(\beta) \to \forall\alpha[Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha) \to \exists n[Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_{\overline{\alpha}n})]]$.
(ii) $\forall\beta[Fan^+(\beta) \to \forall\alpha[Thinbar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha) \to \exists n\forall m > n[\alpha(n) = 0]]]$.

Proof. (i) ⇒ (ii). Let β, α be given such that $Fan^+(\beta)$ and $Thinbar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha)$. Find n such that $Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_{\overline{\alpha}n})$. Note: D_α is thin, and, therefore, $D_{\overline{\alpha}n} = D_\alpha$ and $\forall m > n[\alpha(m) = 0]$.

(ii) ⇒ (i). Let β, α be given such that $Fan^+(\beta)$ and $Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha)$. Define γ such that, for each s, $\gamma(s) \neq 0$ if and only if $\alpha(s) \neq 0$ and $\neg\exists m < length(s)[\alpha(\overline{s}m) \neq 0]$. Note: $D_\gamma \subseteq D_\alpha$ and $Thinbar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\gamma)$. Using (ii), conclude: $\exists n\forall m > n[\gamma(m) = 0]$ and: $Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_{\overline{\gamma}n})$ and: $Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_{\overline{\alpha}n})$. □

3.5. **The Heine-Borel Theorem.** For every subset $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{R}$, for every subset C of $\mathbb{S}$, $Cov_{\mathcal{X}}(C) \leftrightarrow \forall\delta \in \mathcal{X}\exists n\exists s \in C[\delta(n) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} s]$. For every s in $\mathbb{S}$, $L(s) := (s', \frac{s'+_{\mathbb{Q}}s''}{2})$ and $R(s) := (\frac{s'+_{\mathbb{Q}}s''}{2}, s'')$. $L(s)$ is *the left half of s* and $R(s)$ is *the right half of s*. B is a mapping from Bin to $\mathbb{S}$ such that $B(\langle\ \rangle) = (0_{\mathbb{Q}}, 1_{\mathbb{Q}})$, and for each c in Bin, $B(c * \langle 0\rangle) = L\big(B(c)\big)$ and $B(c * \langle 1\rangle) = R\big(B(c)\big)$. For each s in $\mathbb{S}$, $double_{\mathbb{S}}(s)$ is the element t of $\mathbb{S}$ such that $t' +_{\mathbb{Q}} t'' = s' +_{\mathbb{Q}} s''$ and $t'' -_{\mathbb{Q}} t' = 2(s'' -_{\mathbb{Q}} s')$. ρ is an element of $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\rho : \mathcal{C} \to [0, 1]$ and for each γ in $\mathcal{C}$, for each n, $\forall\gamma \in \mathcal{C}\forall n[(\rho|\gamma)(n) = double_{\mathbb{S}}\big(B(\overline{\gamma}n)\big)]$. For each k, $\underline{k}$ is the element α of $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\forall n[\alpha(n) = k]$. $\mathbb{Q}_2$ is the set of (code numbers of) binary rationals $\frac{m}{2^n}$, where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$.

The *Heine-Borel Theorem* is the statement:

$$\mathbf{HB}: \ \forall\alpha[Cov_{[0,1]}(D_\alpha) \to \exists m[Cov_{[0,1]}(D_{\overline{\alpha}m})]].$$

Theorem 3.6. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{FT} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{HB}$.

Proof. Assume **FT**. Let α be given such that $Cov_{[0,1]}(D_\alpha)$. Define β such that, $\forall c[\beta(c) \neq 0 \leftrightarrow (c \in Bin \ \wedge \ \exists s \leq c[s \in D_\alpha \ \wedge \ B(c) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} s]]$. Note: $Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_\beta)$. Find m such that $Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_{\overline{\beta}m})$. Conclude: $Cov_{[0,1]}(D_{\overline{\alpha}m})$. Conclude: **HB**.

Now assume **HB**. Let α be given such that $Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_\alpha)$. Define β such that, for all s, $\beta(s) \neq 0$ if and only if $s \in \mathbb{S}$ and either

(1) $\exists n < s[\alpha(\underline{0}n) \neq 0 \ \wedge \ s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}} <_{\mathbb{Q}} s'' <_{\mathbb{Q}} B''(\underline{0}n)]$, or
(2) $\exists m < s[\alpha(\underline{1}m) \neq 0 \ \wedge \ B'(\underline{1}m) <_{\mathbb{Q}} s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}} <_{\mathbb{Q}} s'']$, or
(3) $\exists c < s \exists m < s \exists n < s[c \in Bin \ \wedge \ \alpha(\overline{c * \langle 0\rangle * \underline{1}m}) \neq 0 \ \wedge \ \alpha(\overline{c * \langle 1\rangle * \underline{0}n}) \neq 0 \ \wedge \ B'(\overline{c * \langle 0\rangle * \underline{1}m}) <_{\mathbb{Q}} s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} s'' <_{\mathbb{Q}} B''(\overline{c * \langle 1\rangle * \underline{0}n})]$.

We intend to prove: $Cov_{[0,1]}(D_\beta)$. We *first* show: $\forall q \in \mathbb{Q}_2 \cap [0,1] \exists s \in D_\beta[s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} q <_{\mathbb{Q}} s'']$.

Consider $0_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Find n such that $\underline{0}n \in D_\alpha$. Find s in $\mathbb{S}$ such that $n < s$ and $s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}} <_{\mathbb{Q}} s'' <_{\mathbb{Q}} B''(\underline{0}n)$. Note: $s \in D_\beta$ and: $s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}} <_{\mathbb{Q}} s''$. Then consider $1_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Find m such that $\underline{1}n \in D_\alpha$. Find s in $\mathbb{S}$ such that $m < s$ and $B'(\underline{1}m) <_{\mathbb{Q}} s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}} <_{\mathbb{Q}} s''$. Note: $s \in D_\beta$ and: $s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}} <_{\mathbb{Q}} s''$. Now assume $n > 0$ and $0 < m < 2^n$ and m is odd and consider $\frac{m}{2^n}$. Find c in Bin such that $length(c) = n$ and $c(n-1) = 1$ and $\sum\limits_{i<n} \frac{c(i)}{2^{i+1}} = \frac{m}{2^n}$. Note: $B(c) = (\frac{m}{2^n}, \frac{m+1}{2^n})$. Find p, r such that $\overline{\overline{c}(n-1) * \langle 0\rangle * \underline{1}p} \in D_\alpha$ and $\overline{c * \underline{0}r} \in D_\alpha$. Find s in $\mathbb{S}$ such $\overline{c}(n-1) < s$ and $p < s$ and $r < s$ and $B'(\overline{\overline{c}(n-1) * \langle 0\rangle * \underline{1}p}) <_{\mathbb{Q}} s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{m}{2^n} <_{\mathbb{Q}} s'' <_{\mathbb{Q}} B''(\overline{c * \underline{0}r})$. Note: $s \in D_\beta$ and $s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{m}{2^n} <_{\mathbb{Q}} s''$.

Let ζ be an element of $\mathcal{N}$ such that, for each q in $\mathbb{Q}_2$, if $0_{\mathbb{Q}} \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} q \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $\zeta(q)$ is the least s in D_β such that $s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} q <_{\mathbb{Q}} s''$.

We *now* prove: $Cov_{[0,1]}(D_\beta)$. Let δ be an element of $[0,1]$. Let QED (*quod* est *demonstrandum, what still has to be proven*) denote the proposition: $\exists s \in \mathbb{S} \exists m[s \in D_\beta \ \wedge \ \delta(m) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} s]$. We define γ in $\mathcal{C}$, by recursion, as follows. Let m_0 be the first m such that either $\delta(m) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} \zeta(0_{\mathbb{Q}})$ or $\delta(m) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} \zeta(1_{\mathbb{Q}})$ or $\delta(m) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} (0_{\mathbb{Q}}, 1_{\mathbb{Q}})$. *Either:* $\delta(m_0) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} \zeta(0_{\mathbb{Q}})$ or $\delta(m_0) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} \zeta(1_{\mathbb{Q}})$, and QED, *or:* $\delta(m_0) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} (0_{\mathbb{Q}}, 1_{\mathbb{Q}}) = B(\langle\ \rangle) = B(\overline{\gamma}0)$. Assume $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and we defined, for each $i < n$, $\gamma(i)$, and: either QED or $\exists m[\delta(m) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} B(\overline{\gamma}n)]$. Define $\gamma(n)$ as follows. Consider $s := B(\overline{\gamma}n)$ and find $t := \zeta(\frac{s' +_{\mathbb{Q}} s''}{2})$. Let m_0 be the first m such that either: $\delta(m) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} t \ \vee \ \delta(m) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} L(s) \ \vee \ \delta(m) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} R(s)$ or: $m' \in D_\beta \ \wedge \ \delta(m'') \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} m'$. Define: $\gamma(n) := 0$ if and only if $\delta(m_0) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} L(s)$. *Either:* QED, *or:* $\delta(m_0) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} t$ and therefore QED, *or:* $\gamma(n) = 0$ and $\delta(m_0) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} L(s)$, *or:* $\gamma(n) = 1$ and $\delta(m_0) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} R(s)$, that is, either QED or $\exists m[\delta(m) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} B\big((\overline{\gamma}(n+1)\big)]$. Conclude: for each n, either QED or $\exists m[\delta(m) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} B(\overline{\gamma}n)]$.

Now find n such that $\overline{\gamma}n \in D_\alpha$. Note: *either* QED *or* $\exists m[\delta(m) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} B(\overline{\gamma}n)]$. In the latter case, find m, s such that $s \in \mathbb{S}$ and $n < s$ and $B'(\overline{\gamma}n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta'(m) <_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta''(m) <_{\mathbb{Q}} s'' <_{\mathbb{Q}} B''(\overline{\gamma}n)$. Note: $s \in D_\beta$, and $\delta(m) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} s$, and therefore: QED. Conclude: QED.

We thus see: $\forall \delta \in [0,1] \exists s \in D_\beta \exists m[\delta)m) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} s]$, that is: $Cov_{[0,1]}(D_\beta)$.

Apply **HB** and find m such that $Cov_{[0,1]}(D_{\overline{\beta}m})$. Assume we find c in Bin such that $c > m$ and $\neg\exists k \leq length(c)[\overline{c}k \in D_{\overline{\alpha}m}]$. Then $\neg\exists s \in D_{\overline{\beta}m}[s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{B'(c)+B''(c)}{2} <_{\mathbb{Q}} s'']$. Contradiction. Conclude: $Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_{\overline{\alpha}m})$. Conclude: **FT**. □

The equivalence of **FT** and **HB** is also proven in [14] and [28].

HB is equivalent, in BIM, to the statement: $\forall \alpha[Cov_{[0,1]}(E_\alpha) \rightarrow \exists m[Cov_{[0,1]}(E_{\overline{\alpha}m}]]$. This version of **HB** is used in [28].

4. Bar Induction and Open Induction on $[0,1]$

4.1. **Brouwer's argument for the principle of Bar Induction.** Let B be a subset of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $Bar_{\mathcal{N}}(B)$. Brouwer claims, see [4] and [5], that there exist a *"canonical"* proof of this fact using only steps of the form:

(i) $s \in B$, *and therefore:* $Bar_{\mathcal{N}\cap s}(B)$.
(ii) $Bar_{\mathcal{N}\cap s*\langle 0\rangle}(B), Bar_{\mathcal{N}\cap s*\langle 1\rangle}(B), Bar_{\mathcal{N}\cap s*\langle 2\rangle}(B), \ldots$, *and therefore:* $Bar_{\mathcal{N}\cap s}(B)$
(iii) $Bar_{\mathcal{N}\cap s}(B)$, *and therefore:* $Bar_{\mathcal{N}\cap s*\langle n\rangle}(B)$.[2]

A subset B of $\mathbb{N}$ is *inductive* if and only if $\forall s[\forall n[s*\langle n\rangle \in B] \to s \in B]$ and *monotone* if and only if $\forall s\forall n[s \in B \to s*\langle n\rangle \in B]$. Let B be a subset of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $Bar_{\mathcal{N}}(B)$ and B is inductive and monotone. If we replace, in a canonical proof of "$Bar_{\mathcal{N}}(B)$", every statement of the form "$Bar_{\mathcal{N}\cap s}(B)$" by the statement "$s \in B$", we obtain a proof of "$\langle\,\rangle \in B$". The intuitionistic mathematician therefore accepts the following *Principle of Induction on Bars in* $\mathcal{N}$:

BI : *For all subsets* B *of* $\mathbb{N}$*, if* $Bar_{\mathcal{N}}(B)$ *and* B *is monotone and inductive, then* $\langle\,\rangle \in B$.

The principle might be added to BIM as an axiom scheme. The *Principle of Induction on Enumerable Bars in Baire space* is the following restricted statement:

$\mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}$**-BI** : $\forall\alpha[(Bar_{\mathcal{N}}(E_\alpha) \wedge \forall s[s \in E_\alpha \leftrightarrow \forall n[s*\langle n\rangle \in E_\alpha]]) \to 0 \in E_\alpha]$.

4.2. **The Principle of Open Induction on the unit interval** $[0,1]$**.** A subset $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{R}$ is *progressive in* $[0,1]$ if and only if $\forall\gamma \in [0,1][[0,\gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{A}] \to \gamma \in \mathcal{A}]$. *The Principle of Open Induction on* $[0,1]$ is the following statement:

$$\mathbf{OI}([0,1]) : \forall\alpha[\forall\gamma \in [0,1][[0,\gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\alpha] \to \gamma \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha] \to [0,1] \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\alpha].$$

Recall, from Subsection 2.10, that, for each s in $\mathbb{S}$, $\overline{s} := [(s')_{\mathcal{R}}, (s'')_{\mathcal{R}}]$.

Theorem 4.1. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}\textbf{-BI} \to \mathbf{OI}([0,1])$.

Proof. Assume $\mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}$**-BI**. Let α be given such that $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ is progressive in $[0,1]$. We define δ such that, for each s, $\delta(s) \in \mathbb{S}$, as follows, by induction on $\mathit{length}(s)$: $\delta(\langle\,\rangle) = (0_{\mathbb{Q}}, 1_{\mathbb{Q}})$, and, for each n, for each s, *if* the finite set $D_{\overline{\alpha}n}$ covers $\overline{L\big(\delta(s)\big)}$, then $\delta(s*\langle n\rangle) = R\big(\delta(s)\big)$, and, *if not*, then $\delta(s*\langle n\rangle) = L\big(\delta(s)\big)$.

We prove: for each s, $[0,(\delta'(s))_{\mathcal{R}}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\alpha$. We do so by induction on $\mathit{length}(s)$. Note: $\delta'(\langle\,\rangle) =_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $[0_{\mathcal{R}}, 0_{\mathcal{R}}) = \emptyset \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\alpha$. Let s be an element of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $[0,(\delta'(s))_{\mathcal{R}}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\alpha$. For each n, if $\delta(s*\langle n\rangle) = L\big(\delta(s)\big)$, then $[0,(\delta'(s*\langle n\rangle))_{\mathcal{R}}) = [0,(\delta'(s))_{\mathcal{R}}) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\alpha$, and, if $\delta(s*\langle n\rangle) = R\big(\delta(s)\big)$, then $D_{\overline{\alpha}n}$ covers $\overline{L\big(\delta(s)\big)} = [(\delta'(s))_{\mathcal{R}}, (\delta'(s*\langle n\rangle))_{\mathcal{R}}]$, and $[0,\delta'(s)) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\alpha$. We may conclude: $[0,\delta'(s*\langle n\rangle)) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\alpha$.

Define β be such that, for each n, *if* $D_{\overline{\alpha}(n')}$ covers $\overline{\delta(n'')}$, then $\beta(n) = n''+1$, and, *if not*, then $\beta(n) = 0$. Note that E_β is the set of all s such that D_α covers $\overline{\delta(s)}$. We now prove that E_β is a bar in $\mathcal{N}$.

Let ε be an element of $\mathcal{N}$. Define γ in $\mathcal{N}$ such that, for each n, $\gamma(n) = \mathit{double}_{\mathbb{S}}\big(\delta(\overline{\varepsilon}n)\big)$. Note: $\gamma \in [0,1]$ and, for each η in $[0,1]$, if $\eta <_{\mathcal{R}} \gamma$, then, for some n, $\eta <_{\mathcal{R}} \gamma'(n) <_{\mathcal{R}} \delta'(\overline{\varepsilon}n)$, and, therefore, $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$. As $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ is progressive in $[0,1]$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}_\alpha$. Find n,t such that $\alpha(t) = 1$ and $\gamma(n) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} t$ and note: $\delta(\overline{\varepsilon}n) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} \gamma(n) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} t$ and: $\overline{\varepsilon}n \in E_\beta$. We thus see that E_β is a bar in $\mathcal{N}$.

Note: E_β is monotone. We prove that E_β is also inductive. Let s be given such that, for each n, $s*\langle n\rangle \in E_\beta$. Find n such that $\beta(n) = s*\langle 0\rangle + 1$ and note: $n'' = s*\langle 0\rangle$ and $D_{\overline{\alpha}n'}$ covers $\overline{\delta(s*\langle 0\rangle)} = \overline{L\big(\delta(s)\big)}$. Therefore: $\delta(s*\langle n'\rangle) = R\big(\delta(s)\big)$. Find p such that $D_{\overline{\alpha}p}$ covers $\overline{\delta(s*\langle n'\rangle)} = \overline{R\big(\delta(s)\big)}$ and define $q := \max(n',p)$. Conclude: $D_{\overline{\alpha}q}$ covers $\overline{\delta(s)}$ and: $s \in E_\beta$.

Using $\mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}$**-BI**, we conclude: $\langle\,\rangle \in E_\beta$, that is: for some n, $D_{\overline{\alpha}n}$ covers $\overline{\delta(\langle\,\rangle)} = \overline{(0_{\mathbb{Q}}, 1_{\mathbb{Q}})} = [0,1]$, and: $[0,1] \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\alpha$.

[2] We forego the question if steps of the form (iii) may be avoided.

□

The above argument is due to Coquand, see [7].

Theorem 4.2. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{OI}([0,1]) \to \mathbf{HB}$.

Proof. Let β be given such that $Cov_{[0,1]}(D_\beta)$. Define ε such that, for each s, $\varepsilon(s) \neq 0$ if and only if $s \in \mathbb{S}$ and $0_{\mathbb{Q}} <_{\mathbb{Q}} s''$ and $D_{\overline{\beta}s}$ covers $\overline{(0,s'')}$. We prove that $\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$ is progressive in $[0,1]$.

Assume: $\gamma \in [0,1]$ and $[0,\gamma) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$. Find s,n such that $s \in D_\beta$ and $\gamma(n) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} s$ and distinguish two cases:

Case (1). $s' <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Note: $D_{\overline{\beta}(s+1)}$ covers $\overline{\big(0,\gamma''(n)\big)}$. Find $m > n$ such that $t := \gamma(m) > s$ and note: $\gamma(m+1) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} t$ and: $D_{\overline{\beta}t}$ covers $\overline{(0,t'')}$. Conclude: $t \in D_\varepsilon$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$.

Case (2). $s' \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Note: $0_{\mathcal{R}} \leq_{\mathcal{R}} (s')_{\mathcal{R}} <_{\mathcal{R}} \gamma$, and, therefore: $(s')_{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$. Find t,n such that $t \in D_\varepsilon$ and $(s')_{\mathcal{R}}(n) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} t$. Note: $D_{\overline{\beta}t}$ covers $\overline{(0,t'')}$. Define $q := \max(s+1,t)$ and note: $D_{\overline{\beta}q}$ covers $\overline{\big(0,\gamma''(n)\big)}$. Find $m > n$ such that $u := \gamma(m) > q$ and note: $\gamma(m+1) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} u$ and and: $D_{\overline{\beta}u}$ covers $\overline{(0,u'')}$. Conclude: $u \in D_\varepsilon$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$.

Using $(\mathbf{OI}[0,1])$, we conclude: $1_{\mathcal{R}} \in \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon$, and: there exists n such that $D_{\overline{\beta}n}$ covers $[0,1]$. □

4.3. **Borel's first proof.** One finds Borel's first proof of the Heine-Borel Theorem in his *thèse* from 1895, see [1]. One might paraphrase his (classical) argument as follows. Let D be a subset of $\mathbb{S}$ that covers $[0,1]$. We define a mapping f from the first uncountable ordinal ω_1 to $\mathbb{Q}$ such that, for all α,β in ω_1, if $\alpha < \beta$, and $f(\alpha) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 1$, then $f(\alpha) <_{\mathbb{Q}} f(\beta)$, and, for every α in ω_1, there is a finite subset of D covering $[0,f(\alpha)]$. Define $f(0) := 0$. Let α be an element of ω_1 such that, for every $\beta < \alpha$, $f(\beta)$ has been defined already, and, for every $\beta < \alpha$, $f(\beta) \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} 1$. Calculate $\gamma := \sup\{f(\beta) | \beta < \alpha\}$ and note: $\gamma \in [0,1]$. Find s in D and n in $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\gamma(n) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} s$. Find $\beta < \alpha$ such that $s' < f(\beta)$. Find a finite subset D' of D covering $[0,f(\beta)]$. Define $f(\alpha) := \big(\gamma(n)\big)''$. Note that the finite set $D' \cup \{s\}$ covers $[0,f(\alpha)]$.

Now observe there must exist $\alpha < \omega_1$ such that $f(\alpha) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1$, because, if there is no such α, f is an injective map from the uncountable set ω_1 into the countable set $\mathbb{Q}$. It follows that there exists a finite subset of D covering $[0,1]$.

4.4. **Achilles.** In the argument sketched in Subsection 4.3, Borel actually proves the principle of open induction on $[0,1]$. His argument is not convincing from a constructive point of view. In a way, we are asking ourselves if Achilles, starting from 0 and making a step of positive length from every position that he reaches, eventually will arrive at 1.

Achilles first will arrive at $f(1) > 0$, then, unless $f(1) > 1$, at $f(2) > f(1)$, and then, unless $f(2) > 1$, at $f(3) > f(2)$, ..., and then, sighing deeply and calculating $p := \lim_{n\to\infty} f(n)$, unless $p > 1$, he comes at $f(\omega) > p$, a position beyond every $f(n)$. It is not so easy, alas, to calculate $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(n)$ and to actually find $f(\omega)$. Note that the theorem that every bounded infinite and monotone sequence of real numbers converges is not true constructively.

How might Achilles convince himself *constructively* that he will reach the point 1? He would first have to convince himself that he will reach $\frac{1}{2}$. The problem of finding out if and when he reaches $\frac{1}{2}$, however, does not seem easier than the problem of finding out if and when he reaches 1.

Achilles will be surprised and happy hearing Brouwer's prediction that he will arrive at 1.

4.5. The *Stronger Principle of Open Induction on* $[0,1]$ is the following statement:

$$\mathbf{OI}^+([0,1]):\ \forall\alpha[\forall\gamma\in[0,1][[0,\gamma)\subseteq\mathcal{H}_\alpha\to\gamma\in\mathcal{H}_\alpha]\to\exists n[[0,1]\subseteq\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\alpha}n}]].$$

Note that the proof of Theorem 4.1 establishes the conclusion: $\mathsf{BIM}\vdash\mathbf{EBI}\to\mathbf{OI}^+([0,1])$ and not only: $\mathsf{BIM}\vdash\mathbf{EBI}\to\mathbf{OI}([0,1])$.

Theorem 4.2 makes us see: $\mathsf{BIM}\vdash\mathbf{OI}([0,1])\leftrightarrow\mathbf{OI}^+([0,1])$.

5. Some equivalents of $\mathbf{OI}([0,1])$.

5.1. $[\omega]^\omega$ is the set of all ζ such that, $\forall n[\zeta(m)<\zeta(n+1)]$, see Subsection 2.4. We let $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the set of all γ such that $\forall n[\gamma(n)\in\mathbb{Q}]$.

Assume: $\gamma\in\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$. γ *converges* if and only if $\forall n\exists m\forall p\geq m[|\gamma(m+p)-_{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma(m)|\leq_{\mathbb{Q}}\frac{1}{2^n}]$. γ *converges positively* if and only if $\exists\delta\forall n\forall p[|\gamma\big(\delta(n)+p\big)-\gamma\circ\delta(n)|\leq_{\mathbb{Q}}\frac{1}{2^n}]$. One may prove, for each γ in $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$, γ converges positively if and only if $\exists\delta\in\mathcal{R}[\lim_{n\to\infty}\gamma(n)=\delta]$, that is: $\exists\delta\in\mathcal{R}\forall n\exists m\forall p\geq m[|\delta-_{\mathcal{R}}\big(\gamma(m+p)\big)_{\mathcal{R}}|<_{\mathcal{R}}\frac{1}{2^n}]$. Using $\mathbf{Weak\text{-}\Pi^0_1\text{-}AC_{0,0}}$, see Subsection 2.13.3, one may prove, for each γ in $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$, if γ converges, then γ converges positively.

Assume: $\gamma\in\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$. γ *has a converging subsequence* if and only if $\exists\zeta\in[\omega]^\omega\forall n[|\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)-_{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma\circ\zeta(n)|\leq_{\mathbb{Q}}\frac{1}{2^n}]$, and *positively fails to have a converging subsequence* if and only if $\forall\zeta\in[\omega]^\omega\exists n[|\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)-_{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma\circ\zeta(n)|>_{\mathbb{Q}}\frac{1}{2^n}]$. γ is *non-decreasing* if and only if $\forall n[\gamma(n)\leq_{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma(n+1)]$. One may prove that a non-decreasing element γ of $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ converges positively if and only if γ has a converging subsequence. A non-decreasing element γ of $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ *positively fails to converge* if and only if $\forall\zeta\in[\omega]^\omega\exists n[\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)-\gamma\circ\zeta(n)>_{\mathbb{Q}}\frac{1}{2^n}]$.

Let ε be an element of $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that, for each n, $\varepsilon(n)>_{\mathbb{Q}}0_{\mathbb{Q}}$. We let $Sum(\varepsilon)$ be the element of $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that, for each n, $\big(Sum(\varepsilon)\big)(n)=_{\mathbb{Q}}\sum_{i=0}^{i=n}\varepsilon(i)$. ε is called *(positively) summable* if and only if $Sum(\varepsilon)$ converges (positively).

Lemma 5.1. *Let γ be an element of $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and let λ,ε be positively summable elements of $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that, for all n, $\varepsilon(n)>_{\mathbb{Q}}0_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\lambda(n)>_{\mathbb{Q}}0_{\mathbb{Q}}$. One may prove in* BIM*:*

(i) $\exists\zeta\in[\omega]^\omega\forall n[|\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)-_{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma\circ\zeta(n)|<_{\mathbb{Q}}\varepsilon(n)]\to\exists\zeta\in[\omega]^\omega\forall n[|\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)-_{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma\circ\zeta(n)|<_{\mathbb{Q}}\lambda(n)]$.

(ii) $\forall\zeta\in[\omega]^\omega\exists n[|\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)-_{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma\circ\zeta(n)|>_{\mathbb{Q}}\lambda(n)]\to\forall\zeta\in[\omega]^\omega\exists n[|\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)-_{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma\circ\zeta(n)|>_{\mathbb{Q}}\varepsilon(n)]$.

Proof. Find δ in $[\omega]^\omega$ such that $\forall n\forall p[\sum_{i=\delta(n)}^{i=\delta(n)+p}\varepsilon(i)\leq_{\mathbb{Q}}\lambda(n)]$. Note: $\forall n[\varepsilon(n)>_{\mathbb{Q}}0_{\mathbb{Q}}]$ and, therefore: $\forall n\forall p\forall q[\sum_{i=\delta(n)+p}^{i=\delta(n)+p+q}\varepsilon(i)<_{\mathbb{Q}}\lambda(n)]$.

(i) Let ζ be an element of $[\omega]^\omega$ such that $\forall n[|\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)-_{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma\circ\zeta(n)|<_{\mathbb{Q}}\varepsilon(n)]$. Find η in $[\omega]^\omega$ such that, for each n, $\zeta\big(\eta(n)\big)>\delta(n)$ and define $\zeta^*=\zeta\circ\eta$. Note that, for each n, $|\gamma\circ\zeta^*(n+1)-\gamma\circ\zeta^*(n)|=|\gamma\circ\zeta\circ\eta(n+1)-\gamma\circ\zeta\circ\eta(n)|\leq_{\mathbb{Q}}\sum_{i=\eta(n)}^{i=\eta(n+1)-1}|\gamma\circ\zeta(i+1)-_{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma\circ\zeta(i)|\leq_{\mathbb{Q}}\sum_{i=\eta(n)}^{i=\eta(n+1)-1}\varepsilon(i)<_{\mathbb{Q}}\lambda(n)$.

(ii) Assume $\forall\zeta\in[\omega]^\omega\exists n[|\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)-_{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma\circ\zeta(n)|>_{\mathbb{Q}}\lambda(n)]$ and let ζ be an element of $[\omega]^\omega$. Find η in $[\omega]^\omega$ such that, for each n, $\zeta\big(\eta(n)\big)>\delta(n)$ and define $\zeta^*=\zeta\circ\eta$. Find n such that $|\gamma\circ\zeta^*(n+1)-_{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma\circ\zeta^*(n)|>_{\mathbb{Q}}\lambda(n)$. Conclude: $\sum_{i=\eta(n)}^{i=\eta(n+1)-1}|\gamma\circ\zeta(i+1)-_{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma\circ\zeta(i)|>_{\mathbb{Q}}\lambda(n)>_{\mathbb{Q}}\sum_{i=\eta(n)}^{i=\eta(n+1)-1}\varepsilon(i)$ and find i such that $\eta(n)\leq i<\eta(n+1)$ and $\gamma\circ\zeta(i+1)-_{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma\circ\zeta(i)|>_{\mathbb{Q}}\varepsilon(i)$. □

5.2. **Dedekind's Theorem and its contraposition.** R. Dedekind wrote his [8] in order to justify the *"intuitively clear"* statement: *Every infinite sequence of reals that is bounded and nondecreasing converges.*

We call the following restriction of this statement *Dedekind's Theorem*, **Ded**: $\forall\gamma\in\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}[\forall n[\gamma(n)\leq_{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma(n+1)\leq_{\mathbb{Q}}1_{\mathbb{Q}}]\to\exists\zeta\in[\omega]^\omega\forall n[\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)\leq_{\mathbb{Q}}\gamma\circ\zeta(n)+_{\mathbb{Q}}\frac{1}{2^n}]]$.

Ded is constructively false, see Subsubsection 2.13.4:

Theorem 5.2. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{Ded} \rightarrow \mathbf{LPO}$.

Proof. Assume **Ded**. Let α be given. Define γ in $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that for all n, if $\forall i < n[\alpha(i) = 0]$, then $\gamma(n) = 0_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and, if $\exists i < n[\alpha(i) \neq 0]$, then $\gamma(n) = 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Find ζ in $[\omega]^{\omega}$ such that $\forall n[\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma \circ \zeta(n) +_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n}]$. Define $m := \zeta(1)$ and note: $\forall n > m[\gamma(n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma(m) +_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2}]$. *Either* $\gamma(m) = 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and: $\exists i < m[\alpha(i) \neq 0]$ *or:* $\gamma(m) = 0_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\forall n[\gamma(n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}]$ and $\forall i[\alpha(i) = 0]$. Conclude: **LPO**. □

We introduce a *contraposition* of Dedekind's Theorem, calling it **Contr**(**Ded**):

Every infinite and nondecreasing sequence of rationals that positively fails to converge will go beyond $1_{\mathbb{Q}}$: $\forall \gamma \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}[(\forall n[\gamma(n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma(n+1)] \ \wedge \ \forall \zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega} \exists n[\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) >_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma \circ \zeta(n) +_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n}]) \rightarrow \exists n[\gamma(n) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}]]$.

Theorem 5.3. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{OI}([0,1]) \rightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})$.

Proof. Assume $\mathbf{OI}([0,1])$. Let γ be a nondecreasing infinite sequence of rationals that positively fails to converge. Define α such that, for each s in $\mathbb{S}$, $\alpha(s) \neq 0$ if and only if there exist $n < s$ such that $s'' <_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma(n)$. Note: $\forall \eta \in \mathcal{R}[\eta \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha} \leftrightarrow \exists n[\eta''(n') <_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma(n'')]]$. Let η be an element of $[0,1]$ such that $[0,\eta) \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ and thus, for each n, $\eta -_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{2^n} \in \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$. Define β such that, for each n, $\beta(n)$ is the least p such that $\eta''(p') -_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n} <_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma(p'')$. Note that, for each n, $\eta -_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{2^n} <_{\mathcal{R}} \big(\gamma \circ \beta''(n)\big)_{\mathcal{R}}$. Define ζ in $[\omega]^{\omega}$ such that $\zeta(0) = \beta''(0)$, and, for each n, $\zeta(n+1) = \max\big(\zeta(n)+1, \beta''(n)\big)$. Note that, for each n, $\eta -_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{2^n} <_{\mathcal{R}} \big(\gamma \circ \zeta(n)\big)_{\mathcal{R}}$. Now find n such that $\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma \circ \zeta(n) >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n}$. Conclude: $\big(\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1)\big)_{\mathcal{R}} >_{\mathcal{R}} \big(\gamma \circ \zeta(n)\big)_{\mathcal{R}} +_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{2^n} >_{\mathcal{R}} \eta$ and: η belongs to $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$. We thus see that $\mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$ is progressive in $[0,1]$. Using $\mathbf{OI}([0,1])$, we conclude: $[0,1] \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\alpha}$, and: $\exists[\gamma(n) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}]$. Conclude: **Contr**(**Ded**). □

5.3. **The contraposition of Dedekind's Theorem in $\mathcal{N}$.** An element γ of $\mathcal{N}$ is $<_{lex}$*-increasing* if and only if $\forall n[\gamma(n) <_{lex} \gamma(n+1)]$, and *positively fails to be* 1*-convergent* if and only if $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega} \exists n[\gamma \circ \zeta(n) \neq_n \gamma \circ \zeta(n+1)]$. We introduce a *contraposition of Dedekind's Theorem for Baire space*, $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})_{\mathcal{N}}$:

Every $<_{lex}$*-increasing element of* $\mathcal{N}$ *that positively fails be* 1*-convergent will leave Bin*: $\forall \gamma[(\forall n[\gamma(n) <_{lex} \gamma(n+1)] \ \wedge \ \forall \zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega} \exists n[\gamma \circ \zeta(n) \neq_n \gamma \circ \zeta(n+1)]) \rightarrow \exists m[\gamma(m) \notin Bin]]$.

Theorem 5.4. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})_{\mathcal{N}}$.

Proof. Let D be a function from Bin to the set $\mathbb{Q}$ such that, for each a in Bin, $D(a) = \sum_{i<length(a)} \frac{2a(i)+1}{5^i}$. Note that, for all a, b in Bin, if $\overline{a}p \neq \overline{b}p$, then $|D(a) -_{\mathbb{Q}} D(b)| \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{5^p}$, and, if $a <_{lex} b$, then $D(a) <_{\mathbb{Q}} D(b)$.

Let γ be given such that $\forall n[\gamma(n) <_{lex} \gamma(n+1)]$ and $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega} \exists n[\gamma \circ \zeta(n) \neq_n \gamma \circ \zeta(n+1)]$. Define η in $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$, such that, for each p, *either:* $\forall m \leq p[\gamma(m) \in Bin]$ and $\eta(p) = D\big(\gamma(p)\big)$, *or:* $\exists m \leq p[\gamma(m) \notin Bin]$ and $\eta(p) =_{\mathbb{Q}} (p+1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Note: $\forall n[\eta(n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} \eta(n+1)]$. Assume: $\zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega}$. Find p such that $\gamma \circ \zeta(p) \neq_p \gamma \circ \zeta(p+1)$ and distinguish two cases.

(1) $\forall m \leq \zeta(p+1)[\gamma(m) \in Bin]$. Then: $\eta \circ \zeta(p) = D\big(\gamma \circ \zeta(p)\big)$ and $\eta \circ \zeta(p+1) = D\big(\gamma \circ \zeta(p+1)\big)$ and $\eta \circ \zeta(p+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \eta \circ \zeta(p) \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{5^p} >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^p}$.

(2) $\exists m \leq \zeta(p+1)[\gamma(m) \notin Bin]$. Then $\eta \circ \zeta(p+1) =_{\mathbb{Q}} \big(\zeta(p+1)+1\big)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\eta \circ \zeta(p+2) =_{\mathbb{Q}} \big(\zeta(p+2)+1\big)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\eta \circ \zeta(p+2) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \eta \circ \zeta(p+1) \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}} >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^{p+1}}$.

We thus see: $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega} \exists p[\eta \circ \zeta(p+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \eta \circ \zeta(p) >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^p}]$. Using **Contr**(**Ded**), we find p such that $\eta(p) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Therefore: $\eta(p) =_{\mathbb{Q}} (p+1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\exists m \leq p[\gamma(m) \notin Bin]$. Conclude: $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})_{\mathcal{N}}$. □

5.4. **The Principle of Open Induction on Cantor space $\mathcal{C}$.** A subset $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ is *progressive in* $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\forall\gamma\in\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{C}_{<_{lex}\gamma}\subseteq\mathcal{A}\rightarrow\gamma\in\mathcal{A}]$. The following statement is the *Principle of Open Induction on Cantor space*, $\mathbf{OI}(\mathcal{C})$:

For every open subset $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathcal{N}$, if $\mathcal{G}$ is progressive in $\mathcal{C}$, then $\mathcal{C}\subseteq\mathcal{G}$:

$$\forall\alpha[\forall\gamma\in\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{C}_{<_{lex}\gamma}\subseteq\mathcal{G}_\alpha\rightarrow\gamma\in\mathcal{G}_\alpha]\rightarrow\mathcal{C}\subseteq\mathcal{G}_\alpha].$$

Theorem 5.5. $\mathsf{BIM}\vdash\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})_{\mathcal{N}}\rightarrow\mathbf{OI}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof. Assume $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})_{\mathcal{N}}$. Let α be given such that $\mathcal{G}_\alpha$ is progressive in $\mathcal{C}$. We define β,ε as follows, by induction. Let k_0 be the least k such that $\underline{\overline{0}}k\in D_\alpha$ and define $\beta(0):=\underline{\overline{0}}k_0$. Let q_0 be the least q such that $\beta(0)\in D_{\overline{\alpha}q}$ and define $\varepsilon(0):=q_0$. Now let n be given and suppose $\beta(n),\varepsilon(n)$ have been defined already. We distinguish two cases. *Case (1).* $\neg Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_{\overline{\alpha}(\varepsilon(n))})$. Find $p:=\max\{length(s)|s\in D_{\overline{\alpha}(\varepsilon(n))}\}$. Find s in Bin such that $length(s)=p$ and $\neg\exists i\leq p[\overline{s}i\in D_{\overline{\alpha}(\varepsilon(n))}]$ and $\forall t\in Bin[(length(t)=p\ \wedge\ t<_{lex}s)\rightarrow\exists i\leq p[\overline{t}i\in D_{\overline{\alpha}(\varepsilon(n))}]]$. Note: $\forall\gamma\in\mathcal{C}[\gamma<_{lex}s*\underline{0}\rightarrow\gamma\in\mathcal{G}_\alpha]$ and conclude: $s*\underline{0}\in\mathcal{G}_\alpha$. Let k_0 be the least k such that $s*\underline{\overline{0}}k\in D_\alpha$ and define $\beta(n+1):=s*\underline{\overline{0}}k_0$. Let q_0 be the least q such that $\beta(n+1)\in D_{\overline{\alpha}q}$ and define: $\varepsilon(n+1):=q_0$. *Case (2).* $Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_{\overline{\alpha}n})$. We define: $\beta(n):=\langle n+2\rangle$ and $\varepsilon(n+1):=\varepsilon(n)+1$.

Note: $\forall n[\beta(n)<_{lex}\beta(n+1)]$ and: $\forall n\forall\gamma\in\mathcal{C}[\gamma<_{lex}\beta(n)\rightarrow\gamma\in\mathcal{G}_\alpha]$ and $\forall n[\beta(n)\in Bin\rightarrow\big(\beta(n)\in D_\alpha\ \wedge\ \neg\exists t[t\sqsubset\beta(n)\ \wedge\ t\in D_\alpha]\big)]$ and $\exists n[\beta(n)\notin Bin]\leftrightarrow\exists n[Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_{\overline{\alpha}n})]$. Note: $\forall p\exists n<2^p[length\big(\beta(n)\big)>p\ \vee\ Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_{\overline{\alpha}(2^p)})]$ and, therefore: $\forall p\exists n[length\big(\beta(n)\big)>p\ \vee\ \beta(n)\notin Bin]$. Define η such that $\eta(0)$ is the least k such that $length\big(\beta(k)\big)>0\ \vee\beta(k)\notin Bin$ and, for each n, $\eta(n+1)$ is the least k such that $k>\eta(n)\ \wedge(length\big(\beta(k)\big)>n+1\ \vee\beta(k)\notin Bin)$. Note: $\eta\in[\omega]^\omega$ and $\forall n[length\big(\beta\circ\eta(n)\big)>n\ \vee\ \beta\circ\eta(n)\notin Bin]$.

Assume: $\zeta\in[\omega]^\omega$. Define δ such that, for each n, *if* $\forall i\leq n+1[\beta\circ\eta\circ\zeta(i)=_i\beta\circ\eta\circ\zeta(i+1)]$, then $\delta(n)=\big(\beta\circ\eta\circ\zeta(n+1)\big)(n)$ and, *if not*, then $\delta(n)=0$. Note: $\delta\in\mathcal{C}$ and: $\forall\gamma\in\mathcal{C}[\gamma<_{lex}\delta\rightarrow\gamma\in\mathcal{G}_\alpha]$. Conclude: $\delta\in\mathcal{G}_\alpha$. Let k_0 be the least k such that $\overline{\delta}k\in D_\alpha$. Assume: $\overline{\delta}k_0=_{k_0}\beta\circ\zeta(k_0+1)$. Note: $\beta\circ\eta\circ\zeta(k_0+1)\in Bin$ and: $length\big(\beta\circ\eta\circ\zeta(k_0+1)\big)>\zeta(k_0+1)\geq k_0+1$. Conclude: $\overline{\delta}k_0\sqsubset\beta\circ\eta\circ\zeta(k_0)$. As $\neg\exists t[t\in D_\alpha\ \wedge\ t\sqsubset\beta\circ\eta\circ\zeta(k_0+1)]$, this is impossible. Conclude: $\exists i\leq k_0+1[\beta\circ\eta\circ\zeta(i)\ \neq_i\ \beta\circ\eta\circ\zeta(i+1)]$.

We thus see: $\forall\zeta\in[\omega]^\omega\exists n[\beta\circ\eta\circ\zeta(n)\neq_n\beta\circ\eta\circ\zeta(n+1)]$. Use $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})_{\mathcal{N}}$ and determine m such that $\beta\circ\eta(m)\notin Bin$. Conclude: $Bar_{\mathcal{C}}(D_\alpha)$ and: $\mathcal{C}\subseteq\mathcal{G}_\alpha$. Conclude: $\mathbf{OI}(\mathcal{C})$. □

5.5. **Enumerable subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ that positively fail to be decidable.** Recall that we defined, in Subsection 2.6, for each α, $D_\alpha:=\{n|\alpha(n)\neq0\}$ and $E_\alpha:=\{m|\exists n[\alpha(n)=m+1]\}$. D_α is *the subset of* $\mathbb{N}$ *decided by* α and E_α is *the subset of* $\mathbb{N}$ *enumerated by* α.

Lemma 5.6. $\mathsf{BIM}\vdash\forall\alpha\exists\gamma[D_\alpha=E_\gamma]$.

Proof. Let α be given. Define γ such that, for each n, if $\alpha(n)=0$, then $\gamma(n)=0$, and if $\alpha(n)\neq0$, then $\gamma(n)=n+1$. Clearly, $D_\alpha=E_\gamma$. □

Lemma 5.7. $\mathsf{BIM}+\mathbf{BCP}\vdash\neg\forall\gamma\exists\alpha[E_\gamma=D_\alpha]$.

Proof. Brouwer's Continuity Principle **BCP** has been mentioned in Subsubsection 2.13.1. Assume: $\forall\gamma\exists\alpha[E_\gamma=D_\alpha]$. Then: $\forall\gamma[0\in E_\gamma\ \vee\ 0\notin E_\gamma]$. Calculate p such that either: $\forall\gamma[\overline{\gamma}p=\underline{\overline{0}}p\rightarrow0\in E_\gamma]$, or: $\forall\gamma[\overline{\gamma}p=\underline{\overline{0}}p\rightarrow0\notin E_\gamma]$. Note: $0\notin E_{\underline{0}}$ and $0\in E_{\underline{\overline{0}}p*\underline{1}}$, and conclude: both alternatives are false. □

Lemma 5.8. $\mathsf{BIM}+\mathbf{CT}\vdash\exists\gamma\forall\alpha[D_\alpha\subseteq E_\gamma\rightarrow\exists n\in E_\gamma[n\notin D_\alpha]]$.

Proof. Church's Thesis **CT** has been mentioned in Subsubsection 2.13.2. Assume **CT**. Find τ, ψ such that $\forall\alpha\exists e\forall n\exists z[\tau(e,n,z)=1 \wedge \forall i<z[\tau(e,n,i)\neq 1] \wedge \psi(z)=\alpha(n)]$. Define, for each n, $W_n := \{m|\exists z[\tau(n,m,z)=1]\}$. Define $K := \{n|n\in W_n\}$. The set K is called the *(self-)halting problem.* Define γ such that, for each n, *if* $\tau(n',n',n'')=1$ and $\forall i<n''[\tau(n',n',i)\neq 1]$, *then* $\gamma(n)=n'+1$, and, *if not, then* $\gamma(n)=0$, and note: $K=E_\gamma$. Let α be given such that $D_\alpha\subseteq E_\gamma$. Define δ such that, for each n, if $\alpha(n)=0$, then $\delta(n)=0$ and, if $\alpha(n)\neq 0$, then $\delta(n)=\psi(z)+1$ where z is the least number i such that $\tau(n,n,i)=1$. Find e such that $\forall n\exists z[\tau(e,n,z)=1 \wedge \forall i<z[\tau(e,n,i)\neq 1] \wedge \psi(z)=\delta(n)]$. Note: $e\in K=E_\gamma$. Assume $\alpha(e)\neq 0$. Let z be the least i such that $\tau(e,e,i)=1$ and conclude: $\psi(z)=\psi(z)+1$. Contradiction. Therefore: $\alpha(e)=0$ and $e\in E_\gamma\backslash D_\alpha$. □

We thus see that **CT** enables us to find γ such that E_γ *positively fails to be decidable* in the following sense: *for every α such that D_α is a subset of E_γ one may constructively find n such that n belongs to E_γ and not to D_α.*

Let D, E be subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. D *is a proper subset of* E if and only if $D\subseteq E$ and $\exists n\in E[n\notin D]$. The following statement is slightly stronger than the statement: *"There is no γ such that E_γ positively fails to be decidable."*

EnDec?!: *For every γ,* if, *for each α, if D_α is a proper subset of* $\mathbb{N}$ *and a subset of E_γ, then D_α is a proper subset of E_γ,* then $E_\gamma=\mathbb{N}$.

$$\forall\gamma[\big(\forall\alpha[(D_\alpha\subseteq E_\gamma \wedge \exists n[n\notin D_\alpha])\rightarrow\exists p[p\notin D_\alpha \wedge p\in E_\gamma]\big)\rightarrow E_\gamma=\mathbb{N}]$$

Theorem 5.9. $\mathsf{BIM}\vdash \mathbf{OI}(\mathcal{C})\rightarrow\mathbf{EnDec}?!$.

Proof. Assume $\mathbf{OI}(\mathcal{C})$. We first prove:

$$\forall\gamma\forall n[\big(\forall\alpha\in\mathcal{C}[(D_\alpha\subseteq E_\gamma \wedge n\notin D_\alpha)\rightarrow\exists p[p\notin D_\alpha \wedge p\in E_\gamma]\big)\rightarrow n\in E_\gamma].$$

Let γ, n be given such that $\forall\alpha\in\mathcal{C}[(D_\alpha\subseteq E_\gamma\wedge n\notin D_\alpha)\rightarrow\exists p[p\notin D_\alpha\wedge p\in E_\gamma]]$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the set of all α in $\mathcal{C}$ such that either $\exists p[p\notin D_\alpha\wedge p\in E_\gamma]$ or $n\in E_\gamma$. Define ζ such that, for each a, $\zeta(a)\neq 0$ if and only if $\exists p<\mathit{length}(a)\exists i<\mathit{length}(a)[\big(a(p)=0 \wedge \gamma(i)=p+1\big)\vee\gamma(i)=n+1]$. Note: $\mathcal{G}=\mathcal{G}_\zeta$.

We now prove that $\mathcal{G}$ is progressive in $\mathcal{C}$. Let α be an element of $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathcal{C}_{<_{lex}\alpha}\subseteq\mathcal{G}$. Assume: $k\in D_\alpha$. Define β in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\beta(k)=0$, and, for all m, if $m\neq k$, then $\beta(m)=\alpha(m)$. Note: $D_\beta=D_\alpha\setminus\{k\}$ and $\beta<_{lex}\alpha$ and, therefore, $\beta\in\mathcal{G}$. Find p such that either $p\notin D_\beta$ and $p\in E_\gamma$, or $n\in E_\gamma$. If $p\in E_\gamma\setminus D_\beta$ and $p\neq k$, we may conclude: $p\in E_\gamma\setminus D_\alpha$ and: $\alpha\in\mathcal{G}$. If $p\in E_\gamma\setminus D_\beta$ and $p=k$, we conclude: $k\in E_\gamma$. If $n\in E_\gamma$, then $\alpha\in\mathcal{G}$. We thus see: $\forall k\in D_\alpha[\alpha\in\mathcal{G}\vee k\in E_\gamma]$ and $\forall k\in D_\alpha\exists q[\overline{\alpha}q\in D_\zeta\vee\gamma(q)=k+1]$. Find δ such that, for each k in D_α, $\delta(k)$ is the least q such that either $\overline{\alpha}q\in D_\zeta$ or $\gamma(q)=k+1$. Define α^* such that, for each k, $\alpha^*(k)\neq 0\leftrightarrow\big(\alpha(k)\neq 0 \wedge \gamma(\delta(k))=k+1\big)$. Note: $D_{\alpha^*}\subseteq E_\gamma$ and find p in $E_\gamma\setminus D_{\alpha^*}$. *Either* $\alpha(p)=0$ and $p\in E_\gamma\setminus D_\alpha$ and $\alpha\in\mathcal{G}$, *or* $\alpha(p)\neq 0$ and: $\gamma(\delta(p))\neq p+1$ and: $\overline{\alpha}\delta(p)\in D_\zeta$ and: $\alpha\in\mathcal{G}$. In both cases: $\alpha\in\mathcal{G}$.

We thus see that the set $\mathcal{G}$ is progressive in $\mathcal{C}$. Using $\mathbf{OI}(\mathcal{C})$ we conclude: $\mathcal{C}\subseteq\mathcal{G}$ and, in particular, $\underline{1}\in\mathcal{G}$, and: $n\in E_\gamma$.

Now let γ be given such that $\forall\alpha\in\mathcal{C}[(D_\alpha\subseteq E_\gamma \wedge \exists n[n\notin D_\alpha])\rightarrow\exists p[p\notin D_\alpha \wedge p\in E_\gamma]]$. Conclude: $\forall n\forall\alpha\in\mathcal{C}[(D_\alpha\subseteq E_\gamma \wedge n\notin D_\alpha)\rightarrow\exists p[p\notin D_\alpha \wedge p\in E_\gamma]]$, and: $\forall n[n\in E_\gamma]$, that is: $E_\gamma=\mathbb{N}$. Conclude: **EnDec**?!. □

Theorem 5.10. $\mathsf{BIM}\vdash\mathbf{EnDec}?!\rightarrow\mathbf{OI}([0,1])$.

Proof. Assume **EnDec**?!. Let α be given such that $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ is progressive in $[0,1]$.

Define ζ such that, for each n, $\zeta(n)$ is the least i such that $i\in\mathbb{Q}$ and $0_\mathbb{Q}\leq_\mathbb{Q} i\leq_\mathbb{Q} 1_\mathbb{Q}$ and, for all $j<n$, $\zeta(j)\neq\zeta(i)$. Note: $\{\zeta(n)|n\in\mathbb{N}\}=\{q\in\mathbb{Q}|0_\mathbb{Q}\leq_\mathbb{Q} q\leq_\mathbb{Q} 1_\mathbb{Q}\}$.

Define γ such that, for each n, *if* $D_{\overline{\alpha}(n')}$ covers $[0,\zeta(n'')]$, then $\gamma(n)=n''+1$, and, *if not*, then $\gamma(n)=0$. Note: for each n, $n\in E_\gamma$ if and only if, for some m $D_{\overline{\alpha}m}$ covers $[0,\zeta(n)]$.

Let β,n be given such that $n\notin D_\beta$ and $D_\beta\subseteq E_\gamma$. We have to prove: $\exists p[p\in E_\gamma\setminus D_\beta]$. First determine k_0 such that $\zeta(k_0)=0_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Note: $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ is progressive in $[0,1]$, and, therefore: $0_{\mathcal{R}}\in\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ and $k_0\in E_\gamma$. If $k_0\notin D_\beta$, we are done. Assume: $k_0\in D_\beta$. Define δ as follows, by induction. Define $\delta(0):=\big(0_{\mathbb{Q}},\zeta(n)\big)$. Suppose, for some m, $s:=\delta(m)$ has been defined and $s\in\mathbb{S}$. Find l such that $\zeta(l)=\frac{s'+_{\mathbb{Q}}s''}{2}$. *If* $l\in D_\beta$, define $\delta(m+1):=R\big(\delta(m)\big)=\big(\zeta(l),s''\big)$, and *if* $l\notin D_\beta$, define $\delta(m+1):=L\big(\delta(m)\big)=\big(s',\zeta(l)\big)$.

Note: for each m, there exists l in D_β such that $\zeta(l)=_{\mathbb{Q}}\delta'(m)$, and, as $D_\beta\subseteq E_\gamma$, there exists r such that $D_{\overline{\alpha}r}$ covers $[0,\delta'(m)]$. Also note: for each m, for each l, if $\zeta(l)=\delta''(m)$, then $l\notin D_\beta$. Define ε such that, for each n, $\varepsilon(n)=double_{\mathbb{S}}\big(\delta(n)\big)$. Note: $\varepsilon\in\mathcal{R}$ and: $0_{\mathcal{R}}\leq_{\mathcal{R}}\varepsilon\leq_{\mathcal{R}}1_{\mathcal{R}}$. Assume $\eta\in[0,1]$ and $\eta<_{\mathcal{R}}\varepsilon$. Find m such that $\eta<_{\mathcal{R}}(\delta'(m))_{\mathcal{R}}\leq_{\mathcal{R}}\varepsilon$. Find r such that $D_{\overline{\alpha}r}$ covers $[0,\delta'(m)]$ and conclude: $\eta\in\mathcal{H}_\alpha$. We thus see: $[0,\varepsilon)\subseteq\mathcal{H}_\alpha$. As $\mathcal{H}_\alpha$ is progressive in $[0,1]$, also $\varepsilon\in\mathcal{H}_\alpha$. Find s,p such that $s\in\mathbb{S}$ and $s\in D_\alpha$ and $\varepsilon(p)\sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}}s$. Note: $s'<_{\mathbb{Q}}\varepsilon'(p)<_{\mathbb{Q}}\delta'(p)<_{\mathbb{Q}}\delta''(p)<_{\mathbb{Q}}\varepsilon''(p)<_{\mathbb{Q}}s''$. Find r such that $D_{\overline{\alpha}r}$ covers $[0,\delta'(p)]$. Define: $t:=\max(r,s+1)$ and note: $D_{\overline{\alpha}t}$ covers $[0,\delta''(p)]$. Now find l such that $\zeta(l)=\delta''(p)$. Conclude: $l\in E_\gamma\setminus D_\beta$.

We thus see: every decidable and proper subset of $\mathbb{N}$ that is a subset of E_γ, is a proper subset of E_γ. Using **EnDec**?!, conclude: $E_\gamma=\mathbb{N}$. Find k_1 such that $\zeta(k_1)=1_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and find n such that $\gamma(n)=k_1+1$. Conclude: $n''=k_1$ and $D_{\overline{\alpha}n'}$ covers $[0_{\mathbb{Q}},1_{\mathbb{Q}}]$ and $[0_{\mathbb{Q}},1_{\mathbb{Q}}]\subseteq\mathcal{H}_{\overline{\alpha}n'}\subseteq\mathcal{H}_\alpha$. Conclude: $\mathbf{OI}([0,1])$. □

Corollary 5.11. $\mathsf{BIM}\vdash\mathbf{OI}([0,1])\leftrightarrow\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})\leftrightarrow\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})_{\mathcal{N}}\leftrightarrow\mathbf{OI}(\mathcal{C})\leftrightarrow$ **EnDec**?!.

Proof. See Theorems 5.3, 5.4, 5.5, 5.9 and 5.10. □

6. Bars are well-ordered

We let **Thinbar** be the set of all α such that $Thinbar_{\mathcal{N}}(D_\alpha)$. We let $\mathbf{WF}_{<_{lex}}$ be the set of all α such that $<_{lex}$ *is a well-ordering of* D_α, that is: $\forall s\in D_\alpha\forall t\in D_\alpha[s<_{lex}t\ \vee\ s=t\ \vee\ t<_{lex}s]$ and $\forall\beta\forall\zeta[\forall n[\zeta(n+1)<_{lex}\zeta(n)\ \vee\ \beta(n)\neq0]\rightarrow\exists n[\zeta(n)\notin D_\alpha\ \vee\ \beta(n)\neq0]]$. The latter statement is a constructively strong statement that implies, (take $\beta=\underline{0}$): $\neg\exists\zeta\forall n[\zeta(n+1)<_{lex}\zeta(n)\ \wedge\ \zeta(n)\in D_\alpha]$.

Recall, from Subsection 2.2: for all s,n, if $n\geq length(s)$, then $s(n)=0$.

Theorem 6.1. $\mathsf{BIM}\vdash\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})_{\mathcal{N}}\rightarrow\mathbf{Thinbar}\subseteq\mathbf{WF}_{<_{lex}}$.

Proof. For each s, we define $D(s)$ in the set *Bin* of (code numbers of) finite binary sequences, as follows, by induction on $length(s)$. $D(\langle\,\rangle)=\langle\,\rangle$ and, for all s, for all n, $D(s*\langle n\rangle)=D(s)*\overline{\underline{0}}n*\langle1\rangle$. Note: $\forall s\forall t[s<_{lex}t\rightarrow D(s)>_{lex}D(t)]$.

Assume $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})_{\mathcal{N}}$. Let α be given such that $Thinbar_{\mathcal{N}}(D_\alpha)$. Note: $\forall s\in D_\alpha\forall t\in D_\alpha[s<_{lex}t\vee s=t\vee t<_{lex}s]$. Let ζ,β be given such that $\forall n[\zeta(n+1)<_{lex}\zeta(n)\ \vee\ \beta(n)\neq0]$. We have to prove: $\exists n[\zeta(n)\notin D_\alpha\ \vee\ \beta(n)\neq0]$. Define ζ^* such that, for each n, *if* $\forall i\leq n[\zeta(i)\in D_\alpha\ \wedge\ \beta(i)=0]$, then $\zeta^*(n)=D\big(\zeta(n)\big)$, and, *if* $\exists i\leq n[\zeta(i)\notin D_\alpha\ \vee\ \beta(i)\neq0]$, then $\zeta^*(n)=\langle p\rangle$, where p is the least j such that $j>1$ and $\forall i<n[\zeta^*(i)<_{lex}\langle j\rangle]$. Note: $\forall n[\zeta^*(n)<_{lex}\zeta^*(n+1)]$. We now prove: $\forall\eta\in[\omega]^\omega\exists n[\zeta^*\circ\eta(n)\neq_n\zeta^*\circ\eta(n+1)]$. Let η in $[\omega]^\omega$ be given. Define γ such that, for each n, if $\forall i\leq n+1[\zeta^*\circ\eta(i)=_i\zeta^*\circ\eta(i+1)]$, then $\gamma(n)=\big(\zeta^*\circ\eta(n)\big)(n)$ and, if not, then $\gamma(n)=1$. We prove: $\forall n\exists m>n[\gamma(m)=1]$. Let n be given. There are two cases to consider. *Case (i).* $\forall i\leq n+1[\zeta^*\circ\eta(i)=_i\zeta^*\circ\eta(i+1)]$. Then: $\forall i\leq\eta(n+1)[\zeta(i)\in D_\alpha\ \wedge\ \beta(i)=0]$ and $\overline{\gamma}(n+1)=_{n+1}\zeta^*\circ\eta(n)$. Find

s, k, i such that $\overline{\gamma}(n+1) = D(s) * \underline{\overline{0}}k$ and $\mathit{length}(s) = i$. Note: $s \sqsubseteq \zeta \circ \eta(n)$. Note: $\zeta \circ \eta(n+1) <_{lex} \zeta \circ \eta(n)$. Assume: $\zeta \circ \eta(n+1) <_{lex} s$. Conclude: $\zeta^* \circ \eta(n) \neq_n \zeta^* \circ \eta(n+1)$. Contradiction. Conclude: $s \sqsubset \zeta \circ \eta(n+1)$. Find p such that $s * \langle p \rangle \sqsubseteq \zeta \circ \eta(n+1)$. Note: $\forall j > \eta(n+1)[s \sqsubseteq \zeta(j) \to \big(\zeta(j)\big)(i) \leq p]$, and, therefore: $\forall j > \eta(n+1)[s \sqsubseteq \zeta(j) \to \exists k \leq p[\big(\zeta^*(n)\big)(n+k+1) = 1]]$. Consider $\overline{\gamma}(n+p+2)$. *Either* $\forall i \leq n+p+3[\zeta^* \circ \eta(i) =_i \zeta^* \circ \eta(i+1)]$ and $\overline{\gamma}(n+p+2) =_{n+p+2} \zeta^* \circ \eta(n+p+2)$ and $\exists j \leq p[\gamma(n+j+1) = 1]$ *or* $\gamma(n+p+2) = 1$. *Case (ii).* $\exists i \leq n+1[\zeta^* \circ \eta(i) \neq_i \zeta^* \circ \eta(i+1)]$. Then $\gamma(n+1) = 1$. Conclude: $\forall n \exists m > n[\gamma(m) = 1]$. Now find δ such that, for each n, $D(\overline{\delta}n) \sqsubset \gamma$ and find n such that $\overline{\delta}n \in D_\alpha$. Define $q := \mathit{length}\big(D(\overline{\delta}(n+1))\big)$. Assume: $\forall i \leq q[\zeta^* \circ \eta(i) =_i \zeta^* \circ \eta(i+1)]$. Then $\overline{\gamma}q = D\big(\overline{\delta}(n+1)\big) \sqsubseteq \zeta^* \circ \eta(q)$ and $\overline{\delta}(n+1) \sqsubseteq \zeta \circ \eta(q)$. As $\overline{\delta}n \in D_\alpha$ and D_α is thin, $\zeta \circ \eta(q) \notin D_\alpha$ and $\zeta^* \circ \eta(q) \neq_1 \zeta^* \circ \eta(q+1)$. Contradiction. We thus see: $\forall \eta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists i[\zeta^* \circ \eta(i) \neq_i \zeta^* \circ \eta(i+1)]$. Using $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})_{\mathcal{N}}$ we find n such that $\zeta^*(n) \notin \mathit{Bin}$ and conclude: $\exists i \leq n[\zeta(i) \notin D_\alpha \ \vee \ \beta(i) \neq 0]$. We thus see: $\alpha \in \mathbf{WF}_{<_{lex}}$. Conclude: $\mathbf{Thinbar} \subseteq \mathbf{WF}_{<_{lex}}$. □

Recall: $\forall s \forall t[s <_{KB} t \leftrightarrow (t \sqsubset s \ \vee \ s <_{lex} t)]$. The ordering $<_{KB}$ is called the *Kleene-Brouwer ordering* of $\mathbb{N}$.

For each subset X we let $\mathit{Below}(X)$ be the set of all s such that $\neg \exists n \leq \mathit{length}(s)[\overline{s}n \in X]$.

We let $\mathbf{Bar}$ be the set of all α such that $\mathit{Bar}_{\mathcal{N}}(D_\alpha)$.

We let $\mathbf{WF}^0_{<_{KB}}$ be the set of all α such that $<_{KB}$ is a well-ordering of the set $\mathit{Below}(D_\alpha)$, that is: $\forall \beta \forall \zeta[\forall n[\zeta(n+1) <_{KB} \zeta(n) \ \vee \ \beta(n) \neq 0] \to \exists n \exists m \leq \mathit{length}\big(\zeta(n)\big)[\overline{\zeta(n)}m \in D_\alpha \ \vee \ \beta(n) \neq 0)]]$.

Theorem 6.2. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{Thinbar} \subseteq \mathbf{WF}_{<_{lex}} \to \mathbf{Bar} \subseteq \mathbf{WF}^0_{KB}$.

Proof. (i) Assume $\mathbf{Thinbar} \subseteq \mathbf{WF}_{<_{lex}}$. Assume: $\alpha \in \mathbf{Bar}$. Let β, ζ be be given such that $\forall n[\zeta(n+1) <_{KB} \zeta(n) \ \vee \ \beta(n) \neq 0]$. We have to prove: $\exists n \exists p[\overline{\zeta(n)}p \in D_\alpha \ \vee \ \beta(n) \neq 0]$. We first prove: $\forall m \exists n > m[\zeta(n) <_{lex} \zeta(m) \ \vee \ \exists i < n[\exists p[\overline{\zeta(i)}p \in D_\alpha] \ \vee \ \beta(i) \neq 0]]$. Let m be given. Define γ as follows. $\gamma(0) := \zeta(m)$, and, for each n, if $\forall i \leq n[\zeta(m+i) \sqsubset \zeta(m+i+1)]$, then $\gamma(n+1) := \zeta(m+n+1)$, and, *if not*, then $\gamma(n+1) = \gamma(n) * \langle 0 \rangle$. Note: $\forall n[\gamma(n) \sqsubset \gamma(n+1)]$ and find δ such that $\forall n[\gamma(n) \sqsubset \underline{\delta}]$. Find n such that $\overline{\delta}n \in D_\alpha$ and note: $\overline{\delta}n = \overline{\gamma(n)}n$. *Either*: $\gamma(n) = \zeta(m+n)$ and $\overline{\zeta(m+n)}n \in D_\alpha$ *or* $\exists i \leq m+n[\beta(i) \neq 0]$ *or*: $\gamma(n) \neq \zeta(m+n)$ and $\exists i \leq n[\neg\big(\zeta(m+i) \sqsubset \zeta(m+i+1)\big) \ \wedge \ \zeta(m+i+1) <_{KB} \zeta(m+1)]$, and, therefore: $\exists i \leq n[\zeta(m+i+1) <_{lex} \zeta(m+1)]$. We thus see: $\forall m \exists n > m[\zeta(n) <_{lex} \zeta(m) \ \vee \ \exists i < n[\exists p[\overline{\zeta(i)}p \in D_\alpha] \ \vee \ \beta(i) \neq 0]]$. Define δ such that, for each m, $\delta(m)$ is the least $n > m$ such that $\zeta(n) <_{lex} \zeta(m) \ \vee \ \exists i < n[\exists p[\overline{\zeta(i)}p \in D_\alpha] \ \vee \ \beta(i) \neq 0]$. Define ε such that $\varepsilon(0) = 0$ and, for each n, $\varepsilon(n+1) = \delta\big(\varepsilon(n)\big)$. Note $\forall n[\zeta \circ \varepsilon(n+1) <_{lex} \zeta \circ \varepsilon(n) \ \vee \ \exists i \leq \varepsilon(n+1)[\exists p[\overline{\zeta(i)}p \in D_\alpha] \ \vee \ \beta(i) \neq 0]]$. Define η such that $\forall n[\eta(n) \neq 0 \leftrightarrow \exists i \leq \varepsilon(n+1)[\exists p[\overline{\zeta(i)}p \in D_\alpha] \ \vee \ \beta(i) \neq 0]]$. Note: $\forall n[\zeta \circ \varepsilon(n+1) <_{lex} \zeta \circ \varepsilon(n) \ \vee \ \eta(n) \neq 0)]$. Define λ such that, for each n, *either*: $\eta(n) \neq 0$ and $\lambda(n) = \zeta \circ \varepsilon(n)$ *or*: $\eta(n) = 0$ and $\lambda(n) = \zeta \circ \varepsilon(n) * \underline{\overline{0}}p$ where p is the least q such that $\zeta \circ \varepsilon(n) * \underline{\overline{0}}q \in D_\alpha$. Note: $\forall n[\lambda(n+1) <_{lex} \lambda(n) \ \vee \ \eta(n) \neq 0]$. Define μ such that $\forall s[\mu(s) \neq 0 \leftrightarrow \big(\alpha(s) \neq 0 \ \wedge \ \neg \exists n < \mathit{length}(s)[\alpha(\overline{s}n) \neq 0]\big)]$ and note: $\mathit{Thinbar}_{\mathcal{N}}(D_\mu)$. Now use: $\mathbf{Thinbar} \subseteq \mathbf{WF}_{<_{lex}}$ and find n such that $\lambda(n) \notin D_\mu \ \vee \ \eta(n) \neq 0$. Note: if $\lambda(n) \notin D_\mu$, then $\eta(n) \neq 0$, so, in any case: $\eta(n) \neq 0$. Conclude: $\exists i \leq \varepsilon(n+1)[\exists p[\overline{\zeta(i)}p \in D_\alpha] \ \vee \ \beta(i) \neq 0]]$. Conclude: $\alpha \in \mathbf{WF}^0_{<_{KB}}$. We thus see: $\mathbf{Bar} \subseteq \mathbf{WF}^0_{<_{KB}}$. □

Theorem 6.3. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{Bar} \subseteq \mathbf{WF}^0_{<_{KB}} \to \mathbf{EnDec}?!$.

Proof. Assume $\mathbf{Bar} \subseteq \mathbf{WF}^0_{<_{KB}}$. Let γ, n be given such that $\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{C}[(n \notin D_\alpha \ \wedge \ D_\alpha \subseteq E_\gamma) \rightarrow \exists p[p \in E_\gamma \ \wedge \ p \notin D_\alpha]]$. We have to prove: $n \in E_\gamma$, that is: $\exists p[\gamma(p) = n+1]$. Note: $\forall m \exists \alpha[E_{\overline{\gamma}m} = D_\alpha]$, and, therefore: $\forall m \exists p > m[E_{\overline{\gamma}m} \neq E_{\overline{\gamma}p} \ \vee \ n \in E_{\overline{\gamma}m}]$. Define δ such that $\delta(0) = 0$ and, for each m, $\delta(m+1)$ is the the least i such that $i \geq \delta(m)$ and either: $E_{\overline{\gamma}i} \supsetneq E_{\overline{\gamma}(\delta(m))}$ or: $n \in E_{\overline{\gamma}(\delta(m))}$. Note: for each m, either $n \in E_{\overline{\gamma}(\delta(m))}$ or: $E_{\overline{\gamma}(\delta(m+1))}$ has exactly m elements. Define ζ such that $\zeta(0) = \langle\ \rangle$ and, for each m, either: $n \in E_{\overline{\gamma}(\delta(m))}$ and $\zeta(m+1) = \zeta(m) * \langle n \rangle$ or: $\zeta(m+1)$ is the element s of $[\omega]^{m+1}$ such that for each p, $p \in E_{\overline{\gamma}(\delta(m+1))}$ if and only if $\exists j < m[s(j) = p]$. Note that, in the latter case, the finite sequence (coded by) $\zeta(m+1)$ enumerates the elements of $E_{\overline{\gamma}(\delta(m+1))}$ in increasing order. Note: $\forall m[\zeta(m+1) <_{KB} \zeta(m)]$. Define λ in $\mathcal{N}$ such that, for each m, $\mathit{length}\big(\lambda(m)\big) = 2m$, and, for each $i < m$, $\big(\lambda(m)\big)(2i) = \big(\zeta(m)\big)(i)$, and $\big(\lambda(m)\big)(2i+1)$ is the least q such that $\gamma(q) = \big(\zeta(m)\big)(i) + 1$. Note: $\forall m[\lambda(m+1) <_{KB} \lambda(m)]$.

Let s be given. We define: *s is fine* if and only if $\mathit{length}(s)$ is even, and $\forall i[2i < \mathit{length}(s) \rightarrow \gamma\big(s(2i+1)\big) = s(2i)+1]$ and $\forall i[2i+2 < \mathit{length}(s) \rightarrow \big(s(2i) < s(2i+2) \ \vee \ s(2i+2) = n\big)]$. Note that, if s is fine and $\mathit{length}(s) = 2m$, then $\big(s(0), s(2), \ldots, s(2m-2)\big)$ is a finite sequence of elements of E_γ and, for each $i < m$, the number $s(2i+1)$ is a witness that $s(2i)$ indeed belongs to E_γ, and, if $\forall i[2i < m \rightarrow s(2i) \neq n]$ then $\forall i[2i+2 < m \rightarrow s(2i) < s(2i+2)]$. Note that, for each s, one may decide if s is fine or not.

Again, let s be given. We define: *s is final* if and only if s is fine and, in addition, there exists j such that $2j < \mathit{length}(s)$ and *either:* $\gamma(j) - 1 < s(0)$ *or:* for some i, $2i+2 < \mathit{length}(s)$ and $s(2i) < \gamma(j) - 1 < s(2i+2)$ *or:* $\gamma(j) = n+1$. If s is final, and $\mathit{length}(s) = 2m$, then, by inspecting $\overline{\gamma}m$, we may see *either* that the finite sequence $\big(s(0), s(2), \ldots, s(2m-2)\big)$ is not the list of the first m elements of E_γ and we may point out a forgotten element, *or* we find: $n \in E_{\overline{\gamma}m} \subseteq E_\gamma$. Note that, for each s, one may decide if s is final or not.

We now let B be the set of all s such that $\mathit{length}(s)$ is even and *either* s is not fine *or* s is final. Note that B is a decidable subset of $\mathbb{N}$. We claim: $Bar_{\mathcal{N}}(B)$, and we prove this claim as follows. Let β be given. Define η such that, for each m, if $\overline{\beta}(2m+2)$ is fine, then $\eta(2m) := \beta(2m)$ and $\eta(2m+1) := \beta(2m+1)$, and, if $\overline{\beta}(2m+2)$ is not fine, then $\eta(2m) := \gamma(p) - 1$ and $\eta(2m+1) := p$ where p is the least q such that $\gamma(q) > 0$ and either $\gamma(q) - 1 = n$ or $\gamma(q) - 1 > \eta(2m-2)$. Note that, for each m, $\overline{\eta}(2m)$ is fine and, if $\overline{\beta}(2m)$ is fine, then $\overline{\beta}(2m) = \overline{\eta}(2m)$. Note that, for each m, either $\eta(2m) < \eta(2m+2)$ or for all $i \geq m$, $\eta(2i+2) = n$.

Define α such that, for each m, $\alpha(m) \neq 0$ if and only if $m \neq n$ and $\exists j \leq m[m = \eta(2j)]$. Note: $D_\alpha \subseteq E_\gamma$ and: $n \notin D_\alpha$. Let p be the least q such that $\gamma(q') = q'' + 1$ and $q'' \notin D_\alpha$. We distinguish two cases. *Case (i).* $p'' = n$. Note: $\overline{\eta}(2p')$ is final. *Case (ii).* $p'' \neq n$. Find i such that either $p'' < \eta(2i)$ or $\eta(2i) < p'' < \eta(2i+2)$. Define $m := \max(i+1, p'+1)$. Note: $\overline{\eta}(2m)$ is final. In both cases we find m such that $\overline{\eta}(2m)$ is final. Note that, if $\overline{\beta}(2m) \neq \overline{\eta}(2m)$, then $\overline{\beta}(2m)$ is not fine. Conclude: $\overline{\beta}(2m) \in B$.

We thus see: $Bar_{\mathcal{N}}(B)$. Using the assumption $\mathbf{Bar} \subseteq \mathbf{WF}^0_{<_{KB}}$, find m such that $\lambda(m)$ has an initial part in B. As $\lambda(m)$ is fine, some initial part of $\lambda(m)$ is final and $\lambda(m)$ itself is final. Find $j < m$ such that $\gamma(j) > 0$ and *either:* $\forall i < m[\big(\lambda(m)\big)(2i) \neq \gamma(j) - 1]$ and $\gamma(j) - 1 \leq \big(\lambda(m)\big)(2m-2)$, *or:* $\gamma(j) = n+1$. Note: if $\gamma(j) \neq n+1$ then $\exists i < m[\gamma(j) - 1 = \big(\zeta(m)\big)(i) = \big(\lambda(m)\big)(2i)]$, and conclude: $\gamma(j) = n+1$, that is: $n \in E_\gamma$.

Conclude: $\forall n[\forall \gamma[\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{C}[(n \notin D_\alpha \ \wedge \ D_\alpha \subseteq E_\gamma) \rightarrow \exists p[p \in E_\gamma \ \wedge \ p \notin D_\alpha]] \rightarrow n \in E_\gamma]]$, and **EnDec**?!: $\forall \gamma[\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{C}[(D_\alpha \subseteq E_\gamma \ \wedge \ \exists n[n \notin D_\alpha]) \rightarrow \exists p[p \notin D_\alpha \ \wedge \ p \in E_\gamma]] \rightarrow E_\gamma = \mathbb{N}]$. □

Theorems 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3 are a counterpart to to Lemma V.1.3 in [18], page 168, and Exercise V.1.11 in [18], page 172.

Corollary 6.4. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{OI}(\mathcal{C}) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Thinbar} \subseteq \mathbf{WF}_{<_{lex}} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Bar} \subseteq \mathbf{WF}^0_{<_{KB}}$.

Proof. Use Theorems 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3 and Corollary 5.11. □

Let ϕ be an element of Fun such that, for every α, $(\phi|\alpha)(\langle\ \rangle) = 0$, and, for every n, for every t, $(\phi|\alpha)(\langle n\rangle * t) \neq 0$ if and only if $\exists s[length(s) = n\ \wedge\ \forall i < n[\alpha\big(s(i)\big) \neq 0]\ \wedge\ t = s(0) * s(1) * \ldots * s(n-1)]$. Note $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \forall\alpha[Thinbar_{\mathcal{N}}(D_\alpha) \rightarrow Thinbar_{\mathcal{N}}(\phi|\alpha)]$. Define ω in $\mathcal{N}$ such that, for each s, $\omega(s) \neq 0$ if and only if $length(s) = 1$. Define ε_0 in $\mathcal{N}$ such that $\varepsilon_0(\langle\ \rangle) = 0$ and $(\varepsilon_0)^0 = \omega$ and $\forall n[(\varepsilon_0)^{n+1} = \phi|(\varepsilon_0)^n]$. Note: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash Thinbar(\omega)\ \wedge\ Thinbar(\varepsilon_0)$.

Corollary 6.5. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{OI}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \varepsilon_0 \in \mathbf{WF}_{<_{lex}}$.

Proof. Use Theorem 6.1. □

Corollary 6.5 is a sharpening of a result obtained by W. Howard and G. Kreisel, see [10], Appendix 2, to the effect: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{BI} \rightarrow \varepsilon_0 \in \mathbf{WF}_{<_{lex}}$. Using the fact: $\varepsilon_0 \in \mathbf{WF}_{<_{lex}}$, G. Gentzen was able to prove the consistency of arithmetic, that is, the consistency of BIM. A.S. Troelstra, see [19], proved, making use of techniques developed for eliminating choice sequences: the Fan Theorem **FT** is conservative over Heyting Arithmetic, that is, over BIM. Using Gödel's Second Incompleteness Theorem we obtain:

Corollary 6.6. $\mathsf{BIM} \nvdash \varepsilon_0 \in \mathbf{WF}_{<_{lex}}$ *and* $\mathsf{BIM} + \mathbf{FT} \nvdash \mathbf{OI}(\mathcal{C})$.

7. The Almost-Fan Theorem and the Approximate-Fan Theorem

We need a statement stronger than **FT**, that plays, in the intuitionistic context of BIM, a rôle comparable to the rôle fulfilled by **KL** in the classical context of RCA_0.

7.1. **Notions of finiteness.** Recall that, for each α, $D_\alpha := \{n \in \mathbb{N} | \alpha(n) \neq 0\}$. We define: D_α is *finite* if and only if $\exists n \forall m \geq n[\alpha(m) = 0]$.

In Subsection 2.4, we defined, for each n: $[\omega]^n := \{s | length(s) = n\ \wedge\ \forall i[i + 1 < n \rightarrow s(i) < s(i+1)]\}$. We define: D_α is *bounded-in-number* if and only if $\exists n \forall s \in [\omega]^{n+1} \exists i \leq n[s(i) \notin D_\alpha]$, and: D_α is *almost-finite* if and only if $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\zeta(n) \notin D_\alpha]]$.

Obviously, for each α, if D_α is finite, then D_α is bounded-in-number, and, if D_α is bounded-in-number, then D_α is almost-finite. The converse statements are not true, see [21]. Almost-finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ are also studied in [22] and [27].

Lemma 7.1. *One may prove in* BIM*:*

(i) *For all decidable subsets A, B of $\mathbb{N}$, if A, B are almost-finite, then $A \cup B$ is almost-finite.*

(ii) *For every k, for all decidable subsets $B_0, B_1, \ldots, B_k$ of $\mathbb{N}$, if, for each $n \leq k$, B_n is almost-finite, then $\bigcup_{n \leq k} B_n$ is almost-finite.*

(iii) *For every infinite sequence $A, B_0, B_1, \ldots$ of decidable subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, if $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ and, for each n, B_n is almost-finite, and $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[B_n = \emptyset]$, then A is almost-finite.*

Proof. (i) Let A, B be given decidable and almost-finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. Assume $\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega$. Define η as follows, by induction. $\eta(0) := \mu p[\zeta(p) \notin A]$ and, for each n, $\eta(n+1) := \mu p[p > \eta(n)\ \wedge\ \zeta(p) \notin A]$. Note: $\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega$ and $\forall n[\zeta \circ \eta(n) \notin A]$. Find

p such that $\zeta \circ \eta(p) \notin B$. Define $q := \eta(p)$ and note: $\zeta(q) \notin A \cup B$. We thus see: $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists q[\zeta(q) \notin A \cup B]$, that is: $A \cup B$ is almost-finite.

(ii) Use (i) and induction.

(iii) Let $A, B_0, B_1, \ldots$ be an infinite sequence of decidable and almost-finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$, such that $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ and $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[B_n = \emptyset]$. Assume $\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega$. Define η as follows, by induction. $\eta(0) := \mu p[\zeta(p) \notin B_0]$, and, for each n, $\eta(n+1) := \mu p[p > \eta(n) \wedge \forall i \leq n+1[\zeta(p) \notin B_i]]$. Note: $\zeta \circ \eta \in [\omega]^\omega$ and $\forall n \forall i \leq n[\zeta \circ \eta(n) \notin B_i]$. Define ε as follows. For each n, if $\zeta \circ \eta(n) \notin A$, $\varepsilon(n) = n + 1$, and, if $\zeta \circ \eta(n) \in A$, then $\varepsilon(n) := \mu p[\zeta \circ \eta(n) \in B_p]$. Note: $\forall n[\varepsilon(n) > n \wedge \big(\zeta \circ \eta(n) \in A \rightarrow \zeta \circ \eta(n) \in B_{\varepsilon(n)}\big)]$. Define λ as follows. $\lambda(0) = \varepsilon(0)$ and, for each n, $\lambda(n+1) := \mu p[\varepsilon(p) > \varepsilon \circ \lambda(n)]$. Note: $\varepsilon \circ \lambda \in [\omega]^\omega$. Find n such that $B_{\varepsilon \circ \lambda(n)} = \emptyset$. Define $q := \eta \circ \lambda(n)$ and note: $\zeta(q) \notin A$. We thus see: $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists q[\zeta(q) \notin A]$, that is: A is almost-finite. □

7.2. **Fans, approximate fans and almost-fans.** *β is an approximate-fan-law*, notation: $Appfan(\beta)$, if and only if $Spr(\beta)$ and $\forall n \exists m \forall s \in [\omega]^m \exists i < m[\beta\big(s(i)\big) \neq 0 \ \vee \ length(s) \neq n]$, that is, for each n, the set $\{t | \beta(t) = 0 \wedge length(t) = n\}$ is bounded-in-number. *β is an* explicit *approximate-fan-law*, notation: $Appfan^+(\beta)$, if and only if $Spr(\beta)$ and $\exists \gamma \forall n \forall s \in [\omega]^{\gamma(n)} \exists i < \gamma(n)[\beta\big(s(i)\big) \neq 0 \ \vee \ length(s) \neq n]$. A subset $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ is an *(explicit) approximate fan* if and only if there exists an (explicit) approximate-fan-law β such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\beta$.

Weak-$\mathbf{\Pi^0_1}$-$\mathbf{AC_{0,0}}$, see Subsection 2.13.3, does not seem to be sufficient for proving that every approximate-fan-law is an explicit approximate-fan-law, but **$\mathbf{\Pi^0_1}$-$\mathbf{AC_{0,0}}$** clearly is sufficient.

Every fan is an approximate fan, but conversely, it is not true that every approximate fan is a fan.

β is an almost-fan-law, notation: $Almfan(\beta)$, if and only if $Spr(\beta)$ and $\forall s \forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\beta(s * \langle \zeta(n) \rangle) \neq 0]$, that is, for each s, the set $\{n | \beta(s * \langle n \rangle) = 0\}$ is almost-finite. On may prove, for every spread-law β, β is an almost-fan-law if and only if, for each n, the set $\{t | \beta(t) = 0 \ \wedge \ length(t) = n\}$ is almost-finite. A subset $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ *is an almost-fan* if and only if there exists an almost-fan-law β such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_\beta$.

Every approximate fan is an almost-fan, but conversely, it is not true that every almost-fan is an approximate fan.

The following statement is called the *Almost-fan Theorem*, **AlmFT**:

$$\forall \beta \forall \alpha[\big(Almfan(\beta) \ \wedge \ Thinbar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha) \ \wedge \ \forall s[s \in D_\alpha \rightarrow \beta(s) = 0]\big) \rightarrow$$

$$\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\zeta(n) \notin D_\alpha]],$$

that is: *in an almost-fan, every thin bar is almost-finite.*

We also introduce the *Approximate-fan Theorem*, **AppFT**:

$$\forall \beta \forall \alpha[\big(Appfan(\beta) \ \wedge \ Thinbar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha) \ \wedge \ \forall s[s \in D_\alpha \rightarrow \beta(s) = 0]\big) \rightarrow$$

$$\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\zeta(n) \notin D_\alpha]],$$

that is: *in an approximate fan, every thin bar is almost-finite.*

Note: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{AlmFT} \rightarrow \mathbf{AppFT}$.

AlmFT was studied in [24] and [25]. It was shown in these papers that **AlmFT** is a consequence of Brouwer's Thesis on Bars in $\mathcal{N}$ and that **AlmFT** implies $\mathbf{OI}([0,1])$. As we shall see, also **AppFT** implies $\mathbf{OI}([0,1])$. **AppFT** seems to be a little bit weaker than **AlmFT**.

7.3. **A stronger case of Bar Induction.** For each β we define:

$$CA_\beta := \{s|\forall\gamma\exists n[\beta(s,\overline{\gamma}n) \neq 0]\}.$$

A subset X of $\mathbb{N}$ is called *co-analytic* or $\mathbf{\Pi^1_1}$ if and only if $\exists\beta[X = CA_\beta]$. The following statement is the *Principle of Induction on co-analytic bars in Baire space*:

$\mathbf{\Pi^1_1}$**-BI** : $\forall\beta[(Bar_{\mathcal{N}}(CA_\beta) \wedge \forall s[s \in CA_\beta \leftrightarrow \forall i[s*\langle i\rangle \in CA_\beta]]) \rightarrow 0 \in CA_\beta]$.

Note that every enumerable subset of $\mathbb{N}$ is co-analytic: $\forall\beta\exists\delta[E_\beta = CA_\delta]$: given β, define δ such that, for each a, for each s, $\delta(s,a) \neq 0$ if and only if $\gamma\big(length(a)\big) = s+1$. Therefore: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{\Pi^1_1}\textbf{-BI} \rightarrow \mathbf{\Sigma^1_0}\textbf{-BI}$.

Theorem 7.2. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{\Pi^1_1}\textbf{-BI} \rightarrow \mathbf{AlmFT}$.

Proof. Let β,α be given such that $Almfan(\beta)$ and $Thinbar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha)$ and $\forall s[s \in D_\alpha \rightarrow \beta(s) = 0]$. Define $Q := \{s|\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega\exists n[s \sqsubseteq \zeta(n) \rightarrow \zeta(n) \notin D_\alpha]\}$, that is: Q is the set of all s such that the set $\{t|s \sqsubseteq t \wedge t \in D_\alpha\}$ is almost-finite. Note that Q is a co-analytic subset of $\mathbb{N}$. Q is a bar in Baire space $\mathcal{N}$. For let γ be given. Define γ^* such that, for each n, if $\beta(\overline{\gamma^*}n*\langle\gamma(n)\rangle) = 0$, then $\gamma^*(n) = \gamma(n)$, and, if not, then $\gamma^*(n) = \mu p[\beta(\overline{\gamma^*}n*\langle p\rangle) = 0]$. Note: $\gamma^* \in \mathcal{F}_\beta$. Find m such that $\overline{\gamma^*}m \in D_\alpha$. Either $\overline{\gamma}m = \overline{\gamma^*}m$ and, as D_α is thin, $\{t|\overline{\gamma}m \sqsubseteq t \wedge t \in D_\alpha\} = \{\overline{\gamma}m\}$ is almost-finite, or: $\overline{\gamma}m \neq \overline{\gamma^*}m$ and $t|\overline{\gamma}m \sqsubseteq t \wedge t \in D_\alpha\} = \emptyset$ is almost-finite. In both cases: $\overline{\gamma}m \in Q$. We now prove : Q is inductive. Let s be given such that, for each n, $s*\langle n\rangle \in Q$. Define: $A := \{t|s \sqsubseteq t \wedge t \in D_\alpha\}$, and, for each n, $B_n := \{t|s*\langle n\rangle \sqsubseteq t \wedge t \in D_\alpha\}$. First assume: $\exists t \sqsubseteq s[t \in D_\alpha]$. Then A has at most one element. Then assume: $\neg\exists t \sqsubset s[t \in D_\alpha]$. Then $A = \bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} B_n$, and $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega\exists n[\beta(s*\langle\zeta(n)\rangle) \neq 0]$. Also: $\forall n[\beta(s*\langle n\rangle) \neq 0 \rightarrow B_n = \emptyset]$, and, for each n, B_n is almost-finite. One may conclude, by Lemma 7.1: A is almost-finite. In any case, A is almost-finite, that is: $s \in Q$. Clearly, Q is also monotone. Applying $\mathbf{\Pi^1_1}$**-BI**, we conclude: $\langle\,\rangle \in Q$, that is: D_α is almost-finite. □

8. Some equivalents of **AppFT**

8.1. **The semi-approximate-fan theorem.** For every δ, we let $\mathcal{SF}_\delta$ be the set of all γ such that, for all n, $\overline{\gamma}n \in E_\delta$, that is, $\forall n\exists p[\delta(p) = \overline{\gamma}n + 1]$.

Let δ be given. δ is a *semi-spreadlaw*, notation: $Semispr(\delta)$, if and only if $\forall s[s \in E_\delta \leftrightarrow \exists n[s*\langle n\rangle \in E_\delta]]$. A subset $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ is called a *semi-spread* or a *closed-and-semilocated subset of* $\mathcal{N}$ if and only if there exists a semi-spreadlaw δ such that $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{SF}_\delta$. Closed-and-semilocated subsets of $\mathcal{N}$ play an important rôle in the paper [28]. It is shown in that paper that an inhabited subset $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{N}$ is closed-and-semilocated if and only if it is *closed-and-separable*, that is, $\exists\alpha\forall\gamma[\gamma \in \mathcal{F} \leftrightarrow \forall n\exists m[\overline{\gamma}n = \overline{\alpha^m}n]]$.

Let γ,n be given. The set E_γ is *has at most n members* if and only if $\forall s \in [\omega]^{n+1}\exists i \leq n\exists j \leq n[\gamma\circ s(i) = 0 \vee \big(i < j \wedge \gamma\circ s(i) = \gamma\circ s(j)\big)]]$. The set E_γ is *bounded-in-number* if and only if, for some n, the set E_γ has at most n members.

Let δ be given. δ is a *semi-approximate-fan-law*, notation: $Semiappfan(\delta)$, if and only if $Semispr(\delta)$ and, for each n, the enumerable set $\{s|s \in E_\delta \wedge length(s) = n\}$ is bounded-in-number. δ is an *explicit semi-approximate-fan-law*, notation: $Semiappfan^+(\delta)$, if and only if $Semiappfan(\delta)$ and there exists ε such that, for each n, the enumerable set $\{s|s \in E_\delta \wedge length(s) = n\}$ has at most $\varepsilon(n)$ members. Using $\mathbf{\Pi^0_1}\textbf{-AC}_{\mathbf{0,0}}$, see Subsubsection 2.13.3, one may prove that every semi-approxiamte-fanlaw is an explicit semi-approximate-fan-law.

The following statement is the *semi-approximate-fan theorem*, **SemiappFT**:

$$\forall\delta\forall\alpha[\big(Semiappfan^+(\delta) \wedge Thinbar_{\mathcal{SF}_\delta}(D_\alpha)) \wedge \forall s[s \in D_\alpha \rightarrow s \in E_\delta]\big) \rightarrow$$
$$\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega\exists n[\zeta(n) \notin D_\alpha].$$

Theorem 8.1. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{AppFT} \to \mathbf{SemiappFT}$.

Proof. Let δ, α be given such that $Semiappfan^+(\delta)$ and $Thinbar_{\mathcal{SF}_\delta}(D_\alpha)$ and $\forall s[s \in D_\alpha \to s \in E_\delta]$. We have to prove: D_α is almost-finite, that is: $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\zeta(n) \notin D_\alpha]$. We define β and η such that $Appfan(\beta)$ and η is a one-to-one mapping of the set $\{s|\beta(s) = 0\}$ onto E_δ and $\forall s \forall n \exists p[\eta(s * \langle n \rangle) = \eta(s) * \langle p \rangle]$. We define β and η simultaneously: $\beta(\langle\ \rangle) = 0$ and $\eta(\langle\ \rangle) = \langle\ \rangle$ and for each s, for each n, for each p, if $\beta(s) = 0$, and n is the least i such that $\delta(i) = \eta(s) * \langle p \rangle + 1$, then $\beta(s * \langle n \rangle) = 0$ and $\eta(s * \langle n \rangle) = \eta(s) * \langle p \rangle$, and, for each s, for each n, if either: $\beta(s) \neq 0$, or: $\beta(s) = 0$ and there is no p such that n is the least i such that $\delta(i) = \eta(s) * \langle p \rangle + 1$, then $\beta(s * \langle n \rangle) = 1$ and $\eta(s * \langle n \rangle) = \eta(s) * \langle 0 \rangle$.

Note: for every s, if $\beta(s) = 0$, then $\eta(s) \in E_\delta$, and, for every t in E_δ, there exists s such that $\beta(s) = 0$ and $\eta(s) = t$. Note: $\forall t[t \in E_\delta \leftrightarrow \exists p[t * \langle p \rangle \in E_\delta]]$ and conclude: $\forall s[\beta(s) = 0 \leftrightarrow \exists n[s * n \rangle) = 0]]$.

Find ε such that, for each n, for each t, E_δ has at most $\varepsilon(n)$ elements t such that $length(t) = n$ and conclude: for each n, there are at most $\varepsilon(n)$ numbers s such that $\beta(s) = 0$ and $length(s) = n$. Conclude: $Appfan^+(\beta)$.

Let θ be an element of $\mathcal{F}_\beta$. Define ν such that, for each n, $\overline{\nu}n = \eta(\overline{\theta}n)$. Note that ν belongs to $\mathcal{SF}_\delta$. Find n such that $\overline{\nu}n \in D_\alpha$. Conclude: $\overline{\theta}n \in D_{\alpha \circ \eta}$.

We thus see: $Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_{\alpha \circ \eta})$. Also note: $D_{\alpha \circ \eta}$ is thin, and, using **AppFT**, conclude: $D_{\alpha \circ \eta}$ is almost-finite.

We now prove that D_α is almost-finite. Note: D_α is a subset of E_δ. Let ζ be an element of $[\omega]^\omega$. Define κ such that, for each n, *either*: $\zeta(n) \in D_\alpha$ and $\kappa(n)$ is the least s such that $\beta(s) = 0$ and $\eta(s) = \zeta(n)$, (and therefore: $\eta \circ \kappa(n) = \zeta(n)$), *or*: $\zeta(n) \notin D_\alpha$ and $\kappa(n)$ is the least s such that $\beta(s) \neq 0$ and $\forall i < n[\kappa(i) < s]$. Note that κ is well-defined, as there are infinitely many numbers s such that $\beta(s) \neq 0$, and one-to-one, as η is a one-to-one function from the set $\{t|\beta(t) = 0\}$ to E_δ. Define ρ such that $\rho(0) = \kappa(0)$ and, for each n, $\rho(n+1)$ is the least $j > \rho(n)$ such that $\kappa\big(\rho(n)\big) < \kappa(j)$. Note: $\kappa \circ \rho \in [\omega]^\omega$ and find n such that $\kappa \circ \rho(n) \notin D_{\alpha \circ \eta}$. Conclude: $\eta \circ \kappa \circ \rho(n) \notin D_\alpha$. Assume: $\zeta\big(\rho(n)\big) \in D_\alpha$, and conclude: $\eta \circ \kappa \circ \rho(n) = \zeta\big(\rho(n)\big)$ and: $\zeta\big(\rho(n)\big) \notin D_\alpha$. Therefore: $\zeta\big(\rho(n)\big) \notin D_\alpha$. Conclude: $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists m[\zeta(m) \notin D_\alpha]$, that is: D_α is almost-finite. □

8.2. **The contraposition of the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem in $\mathcal{N}$.** The following statement is called $\mathbf{Contr(BW)}_{\mathcal{N}}$:

$$\forall \gamma[\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\gamma \circ \zeta(n) \neq_n \gamma \circ \zeta(n+1)] \to \exists n[\gamma(n) \notin Bin]].$$

Theorem 8.2. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{SemiappFT} \to \mathbf{Contr(BW)}_{\mathcal{N}}$.

Proof. First, define τ by induction on the length of the argument, as follows. $\tau(\langle\ \rangle) := \langle\ \rangle$ and, for all s, for all n, $\tau(s * \langle n \rangle) := \tau(s) * \underline{0}(n+1) * \langle 1 \rangle$. Note: for each s, $\tau(s) \in Bin$ and $\neg \exists i[i + 1 < length\big(\tau(s)\big) \ \wedge\ \big(\tau(s)\big)(i) = \big(\tau(s)\big)(i+1) = 1]$, that is, the finite sequence $\tau(s)$ does not contain two consecutive 1's. Also note: for each s in Bin, $length\big(\tau(s)\big) \leq 3 \cdot length(s)$.

Now let γ be given such that $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\gamma \circ \zeta(n) \neq_n \gamma \circ \zeta(n+1)]$. Define δ such that, for each n, *if* $n(1) \in Bin$ and $n(1) \sqsubseteq \gamma\big(n(2)\big)$ and $n(0) \sqsubseteq \tau\big(\gamma(n(1))\big) * \underline{1}\big(n(3)\big)$, then $\delta(n) = n(0) + 1$, and, *if not*, then $\delta(n) = 0$. Note: $\forall s[s \in E_\delta \leftrightarrow \exists m \exists t \in Bin \exists n[t \sqsubseteq \gamma(m) \ \wedge\ s \sqsubseteq \tau(t) * \underline{1}n]$. Note: $\forall s[s \in E_\delta \leftrightarrow \exists n < 2[s * \langle n \rangle \in E_\delta]]$. Let B be the set of all s in Bin such that $\exists i[i + 1 < length(s) \ \wedge\ s(i) = s(i+1) = 1]$. We prove: $Bar_{\mathcal{SF}_\delta}(B)$. Assume: $\beta \in \mathcal{SF}_\delta$. Define ζ as follows. For each p, find $k := \mu n[\delta(n) = \overline{\beta}p + 1]$ and distinguish two cases. *Case (1).* $\overline{\beta}p \notin B$. Define: $\zeta(p) = k(2)$. Note: $\overline{\beta}(p) \sqsubseteq \tau\big(\gamma \circ \zeta(p)\big)$ and $p \leq 3 \cdot length\big(\gamma \circ \zeta(p)\big)$. *Case (2).* $\overline{\beta}p \in B$. Note: $p > 0$ and define: $\zeta(p) := \zeta(p-1) + 1$. Note: $\forall p \exists q[\zeta(q) > p]$. Define λ such that $\lambda(0) = 0$ and, for each n, $\lambda(n+1) = \mu q > \lambda(n)[\zeta(q) > \zeta\big(\lambda(n)\big)]$.

Consider $\eta := \zeta \circ \lambda$ and note: $\eta \in [\omega]^\omega$. Find n such that $\gamma \circ \eta(3n) \neq_n \gamma \circ \eta(3n+3)$, that is, $\gamma \circ \zeta \circ \lambda(3n) \neq_n \gamma \circ \zeta \circ \lambda(3n+3)$. Assume: $\overline{\beta}\big(\lambda(3n+3)\big) \notin B$. Then $\overline{\beta}\big(\lambda(3n)\big) \sqsubseteq \tau\big(\gamma \circ \zeta \circ \lambda(3n)\big)$ and $\overline{\beta}\big(\lambda(3n+3)\big) \sqsubseteq \tau\big(\gamma \circ \zeta \circ \lambda(3n+3)\big)$, and, therefore, $\tau\big(\gamma \circ \zeta \circ \lambda(3n)\big) =_{\lambda(3n)} \tau\big(\gamma \circ \zeta \circ \lambda(3n+3)\big)$ and, as $\lambda(3n) \geq 3n$, also $\gamma \circ \zeta \circ \lambda(3n) =_n \gamma \circ \zeta \circ \lambda(3n+3)$. Contradiction. Therefore: $\overline{\beta}\big(\lambda(3n+3)\big) \in B$. We thus see: $\forall \beta \in \mathcal{SF}_\delta \exists n[\overline{\beta}n \in B]$, that is: $Bar_{\mathcal{SF}_\delta}(B)$.

Let C be the set of all t such that $\exists s \exists n[s \sqsubseteq t \ \wedge \ s \in B \ \wedge \ \forall u \in B[u \sqsubseteq s \rightarrow u = s] \ \wedge \ n \leq s \ \wedge \ \delta(n) = s+1]$. Note: C is a decidable subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and: $C \subseteq E_\delta$. Define α such that, for each s, $\alpha(s) \neq 0$ if and only if $s \in C$ and $\neg \exists n < \mathit{length}(s)[\overline{s}n \in C]$. Note: $Thinbar_{\mathcal{SF}_\delta}(D_\alpha)$, and $D_\alpha \subseteq E_\delta$. Conclude, using **SemiappFT**: D_α is almost-finite.

We prove, by induction: $\forall m \exists \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \forall n \forall i < m[\gamma(i) \neq \gamma \circ \zeta(n)]$. Assume m, ζ in $[\omega]^\omega$ are given such that $\forall n \forall i < m[\gamma(i) \neq \gamma \circ \zeta(n)]$. Note: $\forall p \exists n > p[\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) \neq_n \gamma \circ \zeta(n)]$, and, therefore, $\forall p \exists n > p[\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) \neq_n \gamma(m) \ \vee \ \gamma \circ \zeta(n) \neq_n \gamma(m)]$. Define η in $[\omega]^\omega$ such that $\forall n[\gamma \circ \zeta \circ \eta(n) \neq_n \gamma(m)]$ and define $\zeta^* := \zeta \circ \eta$. Note: $\forall n \forall i < m+1[\gamma \circ \zeta^*(n) \neq \gamma(i)]$.

Now define η^* in $[\omega]^\omega$ such that $\forall n \forall i < n[\gamma \circ \eta^*(n) \neq \gamma \circ \eta^*(i)]$ and define: $\gamma^* := \gamma \circ \eta^*$. Note: γ^* positively fails to have a 1-converging subsequence and $\forall m \forall n[m \neq n \rightarrow \gamma^*(m) \neq \gamma^*(n)]$. Define ε such that, for each n, if $\gamma^*(n) \in \mathit{Bin}$, then $\varepsilon(n) = \tau(\gamma^*(n)) * \underline{\overline{1}}(k+1)$, where k is the least p such that $\exists m \leq \tau(\gamma^*(n)) * \underline{\overline{1}}(p+1)[\delta(m) = \tau(\gamma^*(n)) * \langle 1 \rangle]$ and, if $\gamma^*(n) \notin \mathit{Bin}$, then $\varepsilon(n) := \underline{\overline{0}}k$, where k is the least p such that $\forall i < n[\varepsilon(i) < \underline{\overline{0}}p]$. Note that, for each n, if $\gamma^*(n) \in \mathit{Bin}$, then $\varepsilon(n) \in D_\alpha$. Note: $\forall m \forall n[m \neq n \rightarrow \varepsilon(m) \neq \varepsilon(n)]$. Find ζ in $[\omega]^\omega$ such that $\forall n[\varepsilon \circ \zeta(n) < \varepsilon \circ \zeta(n+1)]$ and define $\varepsilon^* := \varepsilon \circ \zeta$. Note: $\varepsilon^* \in [\omega]^\omega$ and find n such that $\varepsilon^*(n) = \varepsilon\big(\zeta(n)\big) \notin D_\alpha$. Conclude: $\gamma^*\big(\zeta(n)\big) = \gamma\big(\eta^* \circ \zeta(n)\big) \notin \mathit{Bin}$. We thus see: $\exists n[\gamma(n) \notin \mathit{Bin}]$. □

8.3. **The contraposition of the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem in $\mathcal{R}$.** The following statement is a version of the classical *Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem* and will be called **BW**:

Every infinite sequence γ of rationals in $[0,1]$ has a convergent subsequence: $\forall \gamma \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}[\forall n[0_{\mathbb{Q}} \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma(n) \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}] \rightarrow \exists \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \forall n[|\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma \circ \zeta(n)| \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n}]]$.

BW extends Dedekind's Theorem **Ded**, see Subsection 5.2, and thus is constructively false. The following *contraposition* of **BW** is called **Contr**(**BW**):

Every infinite sequence of rationals that positively fails to have a convergent subsequence leaves $[0,1]$: $\forall \gamma \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}[\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[|\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma \circ \zeta(n)| >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n}] \rightarrow \exists n[\gamma(n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}} \ \vee \ \gamma(n) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}]]$.

Theorem 8.3. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})_{\mathcal{N}} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})$.

Proof. (i). For each n, for each q in $\mathbb{Q}$ such that $0 \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} q \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$, let $\mathit{Bin}_n(q)$ be the element a of Bin such that $\mathit{length}(a) = n$ and $\sum_{i<n} \frac{a(i)}{2^{i+1}} \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} q \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \sum_{i<n} \frac{a(i)}{2^{i+1}} + \frac{1}{2^n}$. Note that, for all q, r in $\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1]$, if $|q-r| >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n}$, then $\mathit{Bin}_n(q) \neq_n \mathit{Bin}_n(r)$.

Assume $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})_{\mathcal{N}}$. Let γ be an element of $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ that positively fails to have a converging subsequence. We have to prove: $\exists n[\gamma(n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}} \ \vee \ 1_{\mathbb{Q}} <_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma(n)]$.

Define η such that, for each p, *either:* $\forall m \leq p[\gamma(m) \in \mathit{Bin}]$ and $\eta(p) = \mathit{Bin}_p\big(\gamma(p)\big)$, *or:* $\exists m \leq p[\gamma(m) \notin \mathit{Bin}]$ and $\eta(p) =_{\mathbb{Q}} \langle p+2 \rangle$.

Assume: $\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega$. Find p such that $|\gamma \circ \zeta(p+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma \circ \zeta(p)| > \frac{1}{2^p}$ and distinguish two cases. *Case (1)*: $\forall m \leq \zeta(p+1)[0 \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma(m) \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}]$. Then: $\eta \circ \zeta(p) = \mathit{Bin}_{\zeta(p)}\big(\gamma \circ \zeta(p)\big)$ and $\eta \circ \zeta(p+1) = \mathit{Bin}_{\zeta(p+1)}\big(\gamma \circ \zeta(p+1)\big)$ and $\eta \circ \zeta(p+1) \neq_p \eta \circ \zeta(p)$. *Case (2)*: $\exists m \leq \zeta(p+1)[\gamma(m) \notin \mathit{Bin}]$. Then $\eta \circ \zeta(p+1) =_{\mathbb{Q}} \langle \zeta(p+1)+2 \rangle$ and $\eta \circ \zeta(p+2) = \langle \big(\zeta(p+2)+2\big) \rangle$ and $\eta \circ \zeta(p+2) \neq_{p+1} \eta \circ \zeta(p+1)$.

We thus see: $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists p[\eta\circ\zeta(p+1) \neq_p \eta\circ\zeta(p)]$. Using $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})_{\mathcal{N}}$, we find p such that $\eta(p) \notin Bin$. Therefore: $\eta(p) = \langle p+2\rangle$ and $\exists m \leq p[\gamma(m) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}} \vee 1_{\mathbb{Q}} <_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma(m)]$. Conclude: $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})$.

(ii) Let D be a function from Bin to $\mathbb{Q}$ such that, for each a in Bin, $D(a) = \sum_{i<length(a)} \frac{2a(i)+1}{5^{i+1}}$. Note that, for all a, b in Bin, if $a \neq_p b$, then $|D(a) -_{\mathbb{Q}} D(b)| \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{5^p}$.

Assume $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})$. Let γ be given such that γ positively refuses to have a 1-convergent subsequence. We have to prove: $\exists n[\gamma(n) \notin Bin]$. Define η in $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$, such that, for each p, *either:* $\forall m \leq p[\gamma(m) \in Bin]$ and $\eta(p) = D\big(\gamma(p)\big)$, *or:* $\exists m \leq p[\gamma(m) \notin Bin]$ and $\eta(p) =_{\mathbb{Q}} (p+1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Assume: $\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega$. Find p such that $\gamma\circ\zeta(p) \neq_p \gamma\circ\zeta(p+1)$ and distinguish two cases. *Case (1)*: $\forall m \leq \zeta(p+1)[\gamma(m) \in Bin]$. Then: $\eta\circ\zeta(p) = D\big(\gamma\circ\zeta(p)\big)$ and $\eta\circ\zeta(p+1) = D\big(\gamma\circ\zeta(p+1)\big)$ and $|\eta\circ\zeta(p+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \eta\circ\zeta(p)| \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{5^p}$. *Case (2)*: $\exists m \leq \zeta(p+1)[\gamma(m) \notin Bin]$. Then $\eta\circ\zeta(p+1) =_{\mathbb{Q}} \big(\zeta(p+1)+1\big)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\eta\circ\zeta(p+2) =_{\mathbb{Q}} \big(\zeta(p+2)+1\big)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\eta\circ\zeta(p+2) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \eta\circ\zeta(p+1) \geq_{\mathbb{Q}} (1)_{\mathbb{Q}} >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{5^{p+1}}$.

We thus see: $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists p[\eta\circ\zeta(p+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \eta\circ\zeta(p)| >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{5^p}]$. Using Lemma 5.1, we conclude $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists p[\eta\circ\zeta(p+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \eta\circ\zeta(p)| >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^p}]$. Using $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})$, we find p such that $|\eta(p)| >_{\mathbb{Q}} (1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Therefore: $\eta(p) =_{\mathbb{Q}} (p+1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\exists m \leq p[\gamma(m) \notin Bin]$. Conclude: $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})_{\mathcal{N}}$. □

8.4. **Extending $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})_{\mathcal{N}}$.** The following statement is called $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})^+_{\mathcal{N}}$:

$$\forall\beta[Appfan^+(\beta) \to \forall\gamma[\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\gamma\circ\zeta(n) \neq_n \gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)] \to \exists n[\beta\big(\gamma(n)\big) \neq 0]].$$

$\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})^+_{\mathcal{N}}$ generalizes $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})_{\mathcal{N}}$ from Cantor space $\mathcal{C}$ to any explicit approximate fan.

Theorem 8.4. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})_{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})^+_{\mathcal{N}}$.

Proof. Let β be given such that $Appfan^+(\beta)$. Find δ such that for all n, the set all s such that $\beta(s) = 0$ and $length(s) = n$ has at most $\delta(n)$ elements. Define η, for each n, $\eta(n) = \sum_{i\leq n} \delta(i) + 1$. Define a function F from the set $\{s|\beta(s) = 0\}$ to $\mathbb{N}$, by induction on the length of the argument, as follows. $F(\langle\,\rangle) = \langle\,\rangle$, and, for every t, for every j, for every k, if $\beta(t * \langle j\rangle) = 0$, and there are exactly k numbers l such that $l < j$ and $\beta(t * \langle l\rangle) = 0$, then $F(t * \langle j\rangle) = F(t) * \underline{\overline{0}}k * \langle 1\rangle$. Note: for all n, for all s, t, if $\beta(s) = \beta(t) = 0$ and $s \neq_n t$ then $F(s) \neq_{\eta(n)} F(t)$.

Let γ be given such that $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\gamma\circ\zeta(n) \neq_n \gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)]$. Define ν such that, for each p, *either:* $\forall n \leq p[\beta\big(\gamma(p)\big) = 0]$ and $\nu(p) = F\big(\gamma(p)\big)$, *or:* $\exists n \leq p[\beta\big(\gamma(n)\big) \neq 0]$ and $\nu(p) = \langle p+2\rangle$.

Let ζ be an element of $[\omega]^\omega$. Find p such that $\gamma\circ\zeta(p) \neq_p \gamma\circ\zeta(p+1)$. If $\beta\big(\gamma\circ\zeta(p)\big) = \beta\big(\gamma\circ\zeta(p+1)\big) = 0$, then $F(\gamma\circ\zeta(p) \neq_{\eta(p)} F(\gamma\circ\zeta(p+1)$. If $\exists m \leq \zeta(p+1)[\beta\big(\gamma(m)\big) \neq 0]$, then $\nu\circ\zeta(p+1) = \langle\zeta(p+1)+2\rangle$ and $\nu\circ\zeta(p+2) = \langle\zeta(p+2)+2\rangle$ and $\nu\circ\zeta(p+2) \neq_1 \nu\circ\zeta(p+1)$. We thus see: $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists p[\nu\circ\zeta(p+1) \neq_{\eta(p)} \nu\circ\zeta(p)]$.

Let ζ in $[\omega]^\omega$ be given and consider $\zeta^* := \zeta\circ\eta$. Find p such that $\nu\circ\zeta^*(p+1) \neq_{\eta(p)} \nu\circ\zeta^*(p)$, that is $\nu\circ\zeta\circ\eta(p+1) \neq_{\eta(p)} \nu\circ\zeta\circ\eta(p)$. Conclude: $\exists i[\eta(p) \leq i < \eta(p+1)[\nu\circ\zeta(i+1) \neq_i \nu\circ\zeta(i)]$. Conclude: $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists i[\nu\circ\zeta(i+1) \neq_i \nu\circ\zeta(i)]$. Applying $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})_{\mathcal{N}}$, we find p such that $\nu(p) \notin Bin$. Conclude: $\nu(p) = \langle p+2\rangle$ and $\exists m \leq p[\beta\big(\gamma(m)\big) \neq 0]$. □

8.5. **Reformulating AppFT.** The following statement is called the *Other Approximate-Fan Theorem*, $\mathbf{AppFT}^*$, see also Theorem 3.4:

$\forall\beta\forall\alpha[\big(Appfan^+(\beta) \wedge Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha)\big) \to Strongbar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha)]$.

Theorem 8.5. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})^+_{\mathcal{N}} \to \mathbf{AppFT}^*$.

Proof. Assume $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})^+_{\mathcal{N}}$. Let β, α be given such that $Appfan^+(\beta)$ and $Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha)$. Assume: $\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega$ and $\forall n[\beta\big(\zeta(n)\big) = 0]$. We have to prove: $\exists n \exists m \leq \mathit{length}\big(\zeta(n)\big)[\overline{\zeta(n)}m \in D_\alpha]$.

Find δ such that, for each n, there are at most $\delta(n)$ numbers s such that $\beta(s) = 0$ and $\mathit{length}(s) = n$. Note: for each n there exists $m \leq \sum_{i \leq n} \delta(i)$ such that $\mathit{length}\big(\zeta(m)\big) > n$. Define ζ^* in $[\omega]^\omega$ such that, for each n, $\zeta^*(n) = \zeta(p)$ where p is the least q such that, for all $i < n$, $\mathit{length}\big(\zeta(q)\big) > \mathit{length}\big(\zeta * (i)\big)$. It follows that, for each n, $\beta\big(\zeta^*(n)\big) = 0$ and $\mathit{length}\big(\zeta^*(n)\big) \geq n$.

Define ε such that, for each n, *either:* there is no $i \leq n$ such that $\zeta^*(i)$ meets D_α, and $\varepsilon(n) = \zeta^*(n)$, *or:* for some $i \leq n$, $\zeta^*(i)$ does meet D_α, and $\varepsilon(n) = \langle p \rangle$, where p is the least q such that $\beta(\langle q \rangle) \neq 0$ and, for all $i < n$, $\big(\varepsilon(i)\big)(0) \neq q$. Note: if $\langle\,\rangle \in D_\alpha$, $\zeta(0)$ meets D_α, and we are done. Assume: $\langle\,\rangle \notin D_\alpha$. Then $\overline{\varepsilon(0)}0$ does not meet D_α. One may conclude, by induction: for each n, $\overline{\varepsilon(n)}n$ does not meet D_α. Assume: $\eta \in [\omega]^\omega$. Define θ such that, for each n, *if* $\beta\big(\overline{\theta}n * \langle\big(\varepsilon \circ \eta(n)\big)(n)\rangle\big) = 0$, then $\theta(n) = \big(\varepsilon \circ \eta(n)\big)(n)$, and *if not*, then $\theta(n)$ is the least i such that $\beta(\overline{\theta}n * \langle i \rangle) = 0$. Note: $\theta \in \mathcal{F}_\beta$, and find n such that $\overline{\theta}n \in D_\alpha$. Assume: $\forall i \leq n[\varepsilon \circ \eta(i) =_i \varepsilon \circ \eta(i+1)]$. Then $\overline{\theta}n = \overline{\varepsilon \circ \eta(n)}n$. Note: $\overline{\varepsilon \circ \eta(n)}n \sqsubseteq \zeta^* \circ \eta(n)$ so $\zeta^* \circ \eta(n)$ meets D_α, and $\varepsilon \circ \eta(n+1) \neq_n \varepsilon \circ \eta(n)$. Contradiction. Conclude: $\exists i \leq n[\varepsilon \circ \eta(i) \neq_i \varepsilon \circ \eta(i+1)]$. We thus see: $\forall \eta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\varepsilon \circ \eta(n) \neq_n \varepsilon \circ \eta(n+1)]$.

Using $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})^+_{\mathcal{N}}$, we find n such that $\beta\big(\varepsilon(n)\big) \neq 0$. Therefore, there exists $i \leq n$ such that $\zeta^*(i)$ meets D_α.

We thus see: $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n \exists m \leq \mathit{length}\big(\zeta(n)\big)[\overline{\zeta(n)}m \in D_\alpha]$. Conclude: $\mathbf{AppFT}^*$. □

Theorem 8.6. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{AppFT}^* \to \mathbf{AppFT}$.

Proof. Assume $\mathbf{AppFT}^*$. Let β, α be given such that $Appfan^+(\beta)$ and $Thinbar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha)$. Define δ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that, for each t, $\delta(t) \neq 0$ if and only if there exist s, i such that $t = s * \langle i \rangle$ and $\alpha(s) \neq 0$. Note: $Bar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\delta)$ and every t in D_δ has a proper initial segment in D_α. Using $\mathbf{AppFT}^*$, we conclude: $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n \exists m \leq \mathit{length}\big(\zeta(n)\big)[\overline{\zeta(n)}m \in D_\delta]$. Note that D_α is thin, and therefore, for all t, if $\exists m \leq \mathit{length}(t)[\overline{t}m \in D_\delta]$, then $t \notin D_\alpha$. We thus see: $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\zeta(n) \notin D_\alpha]$, that is: D_α is almost-finite. Conclude: $\mathbf{AppFT}$. □

Corollary 8.7. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{AppFT} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{SemiappFT} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})_{\mathcal{N}} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW}) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})^+{}_{\mathcal{N}} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{AppFT}^*$.

Proof. Use Theorems 8.1, 8.2, 8.3, 8.4, 8.5 and 8.6. □

Corollary 8.8. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{AppFT} \to \mathbf{OI}([0,1])$.

Proof. Note: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{AppFT} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})$ and:
$\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{OI}([0,1]) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})$ and: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW}) \to \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})$. □

9. Ascoli's Lemma

9.1. **Sequential compactness.** In the second item of the next Theorem we introduce the notion: $[0,1]$ *is sequentially compact.*

Theorem 9.1. *The following statements are equivalent in* BIM*:*

(i) $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW}) : \forall \gamma \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}[\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[|\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma \circ \zeta(n)| >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n}] \to \exists n[\gamma(n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}} \vee \gamma(n) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}]]$.

(ii) $\mathbf{SC}([\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}]) : \forall \gamma \in (\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1])^{\mathbb{N}} \forall \alpha[\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[|\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma \circ \zeta(n)| >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n} \vee \alpha(n) \neq 0] \to \exists n[\alpha(n) \neq 0]]$.

Taking $\alpha = \underline{0}$, we see that (ii) implies the constructively weaker statement: $\forall\gamma \in (\mathbb{Q}\cap[0,1])^{\mathbb{N}}\neg\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega}\exists n[|\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma\circ\zeta(n)| >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n}]$, that is: *the assumption that, for some γ in $(\mathbb{Q}\cap[0,1])^{\mathbb{N}}$, every subsequence positively fails to converge, leads to a contradiction.*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume: $\gamma \in (\mathbb{Q}\cap[0,1])^{\mathbb{N}}$ and let α be given such that $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega}\exists n[|\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma\circ\zeta(n)| >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n} \vee \alpha(n)\neq 0]$. We define δ in $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ as follows. For each n, if $\forall i \leq n[\alpha(i) = 0]$, then $\delta(n) = \gamma(n)$, and, if $\exists i \leq n[\alpha(i)\neq 0]$, then $\delta(n) =_{\mathbb{Q}} n +_{\mathbb{Q}} 2$. We prove that every subsequence of δ positively fails to converge. Assume $\zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega}$. Find n such that $|\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma\circ\zeta(n)| >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n} \vee \alpha(n)\neq 0$. *Either:* $\forall i \leq \zeta(n+1)[\alpha(i) = 0]$ and, in particular, $\alpha(n) = 0$, and $\delta\circ\zeta(n) = \gamma\circ\zeta(n)$ and $\delta\circ\zeta(n+1) = \gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)$ and $|\delta\circ\zeta(n+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta\circ\zeta(n)| >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n}$, *or:* $\exists i \leq \zeta(n+1)[\alpha(i)\neq 0]$ and $\delta\circ\zeta(n+2) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta\circ\zeta(n+1) =_{\mathbb{Q}} \zeta(n+2) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \zeta(n+1) >_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$.

Now use (i) and find n such that $\delta(n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}} \vee \delta(n) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Conclude: $\delta(n)\neq\gamma(n)$, and: $\exists i \leq n[\alpha(i) = 1]$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Assume: $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega}\exists n[|\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma\circ\zeta(n)| >_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{2^n}]$. Define α such that, for each n, $\alpha(n)\neq 0$ if and only if there exist $i \leq n$ such that either $\gamma(i) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}}$ or $\gamma(i) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Define δ in $(\mathbb{Q}\cap[0,1])^{\mathbb{N}}$ as follows. For each n, *if* $0_{\mathbb{Q}} \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma(n) \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$, then $\delta(n) = \gamma(n)$, and *if not*, then $\delta(n) = 0_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Note: for each n, if $\gamma(n)\neq\delta(n)$, then $\gamma(n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}}$ or $\gamma(n) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\exists i \leq n[\alpha(i)\neq 0]$. Let ζ be an element of $[\omega]^{\omega}$. Find n such that $|\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma\circ\zeta(n)| >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n}$. *Either:* $|\delta\circ\zeta(n+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta\circ\zeta(n)| >_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{2^n}$ *or:* $\exists i \leq \zeta(n+1)[\alpha(i)\neq 0]$. We thus see: $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega}\exists n[|\delta\circ\zeta(n+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta\circ\zeta(n)| >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n} \vee \alpha(n)\neq 0]$. Use (ii) and find n such that $\alpha(n)\neq 0$, and conclude: $\exists i \leq n[\gamma(i) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}} \vee \gamma(i) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}]$. □

In the second item of the next Theorem we introduce the notion: *$\mathcal{C}$ is sequentially compact.*

Theorem 9.2. *The following statements are equivalent in* BIM.

(i) $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})_{\mathcal{N}}$*:* $\forall\gamma[\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega}\exists n[\gamma\circ\zeta(n)\neq_n \gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)] \rightarrow \exists n[\gamma(n)\notin Bin]]$.
(ii) $\mathbf{SC}(\mathcal{C})$*:* $\forall\alpha\forall\gamma \in Bin^{\mathbb{N}}[\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega}\exists n[\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)\neq_n \gamma\circ\zeta(n) \vee \alpha(n)\neq 0] \rightarrow \exists n[\alpha(n)\neq 0]]$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Let α,γ be given such that $\gamma \in Bin^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega}\exists n[\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)\neq_n \gamma\circ\zeta(n) \vee \alpha(n)\neq 0]$. We define δ as follows. For each n, *if* $\exists i \leq n[\alpha(i)\neq 0]$, then $\delta(n) = \langle n+2\rangle$, and, *if not*, then $\delta(n) = \gamma(n)$. Now assume: $\zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega}$. Find n such that $\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)\neq_n \gamma\circ\zeta(n) \vee \alpha(n)\neq 0$. *Either:* $\delta\circ\zeta(n+1)\neq_n \delta\circ\zeta(n)$ *or:* $\exists i \leq \zeta(n+1)[\alpha(i)\neq 0]$ and $\delta\circ\zeta(n+1)\neq_1 \delta\circ\zeta(n+2)$. We thus see: $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega}\exists n[\delta\circ\zeta(n+1)\neq_n \delta\circ\zeta(n)]$. Now use (i) and find n such that $\delta(n)\notin Bin$. Conclude: $\delta(n) = \langle n+2\rangle$, and: $\exists i \leq n[\alpha(i)\neq 0]$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let γ be given such that $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega}\exists n[\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)\neq_n \gamma\circ\zeta(n)]$. Define α such that, for each n, $\alpha(n)\neq 0$ if and only $\exists i \leq n[\gamma(i)\notin Bin]$. Define δ in $Bin^{\mathbb{N}}$ as follows. For each n, *if* $\forall i \leq n[\gamma(i) \in Bin]$, then $\delta(n) = \gamma(n)$, and, *if not*, then $\delta(n) = \langle 0\rangle$. Let ζ be an element of $[\omega]^{\omega}$. Find n such that $\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)\neq_n \gamma\circ\zeta(n)$. *Either:* $\delta\circ\zeta(n+1)\neq_n \delta\circ\zeta(n)$ *or:* $\exists i \leq \zeta(n+1)[\alpha(i)\neq 0]$. We thus see: $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega}\exists n[\delta\circ\zeta(n+1)\neq_n \delta\circ\zeta(n) \vee \alpha(n) = 1]$. Now use (ii) and find n such that $\alpha(n)\neq 0$, and conclude: $\exists i \leq n[\gamma(i)\notin Bin]$. □

Theorem 9.3. *The following statements are equivalent in* BIM.

(i) $\mathbf{SC}(\mathcal{C})$.
(ii) $\forall\beta[Appfan^{+}(\beta) \rightarrow \mathbf{SC}(\mathcal{F}_{\beta})]$*, that is:* $\forall\beta[Appfan^{+}(\beta) \rightarrow \forall\gamma\forall\alpha[\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^{\omega}\exists n[\gamma\circ\zeta(n+1)\neq_n \gamma\circ\zeta(n) \vee \beta\circ\gamma(n)\neq 0 \vee\alpha(n)\neq 0] \rightarrow \exists n[\beta\circ\gamma(n)\neq 0 \vee \alpha(n)\neq 0]]]$.

Proof. (i) ⇒ (ii). Assume: $Appfan^+(\beta)$. Find δ such that, for each n, the set $\{t|\beta(t) = 0 \ \wedge \ \mathit{length}(t) = n\}$ has at most $\delta(n)$ elements. For each s such that $\beta(s) = 0$, we define $D(s)$ in Bin, as follows: $D(\langle\ \rangle) = \langle\ \rangle$ and, for all s, for all n, $D(s * \langle n\rangle) = D(s) * \underline{0}k * \langle 1\rangle$, where k is the number of elements of the set $\{i|i < n|\beta(s * \langle i\rangle) = 0\}$. Let τ enumerate a continuous function from $\mathcal{N}$ to $\mathcal{C}$ such that, for each α in $\mathcal{F}_\beta$, for each n, $D(\overline{\alpha}n) \sqsubset \tau|\alpha$. Define δ^+ in $\mathcal{N}$ by: $\delta^+(0) = 0$, and, for each n, $\delta^+(n+1) = \delta^+(n) + \delta(n) + 1$. Note: $\delta^+ \in [\omega]^\omega$ and for all ε, η in $\mathcal{F}_\beta$, for all n, if $\tau|\varepsilon =_{\delta^+(n)} \tau|\eta$, then $\varepsilon =_n \eta$. Let α, γ be given such that $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) \neq_n \gamma \circ \zeta(n) \ \vee \ \beta \circ \gamma(n) \neq 0 \ \vee \ \alpha(n) \neq 0]$. Conclude: $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[D\big(\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1)\big) \neq_{\delta^+(n)} D\big(\gamma \circ \zeta(n)\big) \ \vee \ \beta \circ \gamma(n) \neq 0 \ \vee \ \alpha(n) \neq 0]$. Define λ in $Bin^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that, for each n, $\lambda(n) = D\big(\gamma(n)\big)$. Note: $\zeta \circ \delta^+ \in [\omega]^\omega$ and $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\lambda \circ \zeta \circ \delta^+(n+1) \neq_{\delta^+(n)} \lambda \circ \zeta \circ \delta^+(n) \ \vee \ \beta \circ \gamma(n) \neq 0 \ \vee \ \alpha(n) \neq 0]$ and conclude: $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists p \exists n[n < p \wedge \lambda \circ \zeta(p) \neq_n \lambda \circ \zeta(n) \ \vee \ \beta \circ \gamma(n) \neq 0 \vee \alpha(n) \neq 0\big)]$. Note: $\forall n \forall p[\big(n < p \wedge \lambda \circ \zeta(n) \neq_n \lambda \circ \zeta(p)\big) \to \exists i[n \leq i < p \wedge \lambda \circ \zeta(i) \neq_i \lambda \circ \zeta(i+1)]]$. Conclude: $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\lambda \circ \zeta(n) \neq_n \lambda \circ \zeta(n+1) \ \vee \ \beta \circ \gamma(n) \neq 0 \ \vee \ \alpha(n) \neq 0]$, and and, using (i): $\exists n[\beta \circ \gamma(n) \neq 0 \ \vee \ \alpha(n) \neq 0]$.

(ii) ⇒ (i). Obvious. □

9.2. **Introducing $C([0,1])$.** An element s of $\mathbb{N}$ belongs to the set *Block* of *blocks* if and only if (i) for each $i < \mathit{length}(s)$, $\big(s(i)\big)' \in \mathbb{S}$ and $\big(s(i)\big)'' \in \mathbb{S}$, and (ii) the finite set $\{\big(s(i)\big)'|i < \mathit{length}(s)\}$ covers $[0,1]$, and (iii) for all i, j, if $i < j < \mathit{length}(s)$ and $\big(s(i)\big)' \approx_{\mathbb{S}} \big(s(j)\big)'$, then $\big(s(i)\big)'' \approx_{\mathbb{S}} \big(s(j)\big)''$. For each s in *Block*, we define: $\mathit{height}(s) := \max_{\mathbb{Q}}\{\mathit{length}_{\mathbb{S}}\big((s(i))''\big)|i < \mathit{length}(s)\}$ and we let $\mathit{mesh}(s)$ be the $<_{\mathbb{Q}}$-greatest element d of $\mathbb{Q}$ such that, for all t in $\mathbb{S}$, if $t \sqsubseteq_{\mathbb{S}} (0_{\mathbb{Q}}, 1_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $t'' -_{\mathbb{Q}} t' \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} d$, then there exists $i < \mathit{length}(s)$ such that $t \sqsubseteq_{\mathbb{S}} \big(s(i)\big)'$. For all s, t in *Block*, we define: $t \sqsubseteq_{Block} s$, *the block t is a refinement of the block s*, if and only if $\forall i < \mathit{length}(t) \exists j < \mathit{length}(s)[\big(t(i)\big)' \sqsubseteq_{\mathbb{S}} \big(s(j)\big)' \ \wedge \ \big(t(i)\big)'' \sqsubseteq_{\mathbb{S}} \big(s(j)\big)'']$. For all s, t in *Block*, we define: $s \#_{Block} t$, *the blocks s and t are separate*, if and only if $\exists i < \mathit{length}(s) \exists j < \mathit{length}(t) \exists u \in \mathbb{S}[u \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} \big(s(i)\big)' \wedge u \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} \big(t(j)\big)' \wedge \big(s(i)\big)'' \#_{\mathbb{S}} \big(t(j)\big)'']$. We let $C([0,1])$ be the set of all ϕ such that, for each n, $\phi(n) \in \mathit{Block}$ and $\phi(n+1) \sqsubseteq_{Block} \phi(n)$ and, for each m, there exists n such that $\mathit{height}\big(\phi(n)\big) < \frac{1}{2^m}$. Assume: $\phi \in C([0,1])$, $\alpha \in [0,1]$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{R}$. We define: $\phi : \alpha \mapsto \beta$, *$\phi$ maps α onto β*, if and only if, for each m, there exist n, i such that $i < \mathit{length}\big(\phi(n)\big)$ and $\alpha(n) \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} \big((\phi(n))(i)\big)'$ and $\big((\phi(n))(i)\big)'' \sqsubset_{\mathbb{S}} \beta(m)$. One may prove: for all ϕ in $C([0,1])$, for all α, γ in $[0,1]$, for all β, δ in $\mathcal{R}$, if $\phi : \alpha \mapsto \beta$ and $\phi : \gamma \mapsto \delta$ and $\alpha =_{\mathcal{R}} \beta$, then $\gamma =_{\mathcal{R}} \delta$, and, for all α in $[0,1]$, there exists β in $\mathcal{R}$ such that $\phi : \alpha \mapsto \beta$, and, for all γ in $\mathcal{R}$, if $\phi : \alpha \mapsto \gamma$, then $\beta =_{\mathcal{R}} \gamma$.

9.3. **Introducing moduli of uniform continuity.** Let ϕ be an element of $C([0,1])$ and let n be an element of $\mathbb{N}$. Consider $\phi(n)$ and define: $d := \mathit{mesh}\big(\phi(n)\big)$ and $e := \mathit{height}\big(\phi(n)\big)$. Note: for all α, γ in $[0,1]$, for all β, δ in $\mathcal{R}$, if $\phi : \alpha \mapsto \beta$ and $\phi : \gamma \mapsto \delta$ and $|\alpha -_{\mathcal{R}} \gamma| <_{\mathcal{R}} d$, then $|\beta -_{\mathcal{R}} \delta| \leq_{\mathcal{R}} e$.

We define an infinite sequence $\mathbb{S}_0, \mathbb{S}_1, \ldots$ of subsets $\mathbb{S}$, as follows, by induction: $\mathbb{S}_0$ is the set of all s in $\mathbb{S}$ such that $s'' -_{\mathbb{Q}} s' =_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and there exists z in $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $s' = \frac{z}{2}$, and, for each n, $\mathbb{S}_{n+1}$ is the set of all s in $\mathbb{S}$ such that $(2 \cdot_{\mathbb{Q}} s', 2 \cdot_{\mathbb{Q}} s'') \in \mathbb{S}_n$. The elements of $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$ are called *canonical rational segments*. Brouwer already made us of them, see [3], par. 5. For all m, n we let $\mathit{CBlock}_{m,n}$ be the set of all s in *Block* such that for each $i < \mathit{length}(s)$, $\big(s(i)\big)' \in \mathbb{S}_m$ and $\big(s(i)\big)'' \in \mathbb{S}_n$. The elements of $\mathit{CBlock} := \bigcup_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathit{CBlock}_{m,n}$ are called *canonical blocks*. For each δ, we let $C_\delta([0,1])$ be the set of all ϕ in $C([0,1])$ such that, for each n, $\phi(n) \in \mathit{Block}_{\delta(n),n}$.

Note that, for all ϕ in $C_\delta([0,1])$, for all n, for all α, β in $[0,1]$, for all γ, δ in $\mathcal{R}$, if $\phi : \alpha \mapsto \beta$ and $\phi : \gamma \mapsto \delta$ and $|\alpha -_{\mathcal{R}} \gamma| <_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{2^{\delta(n)}}$, then $|\beta -_{\mathcal{R}} \delta| \leq_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{2^n}$. So δ is a *modulus of uniform continuity* that is valid for every member of $C_\delta([0,1])$.

One may prove the following. Let δ be an element of $\mathcal{N}$ and let ϕ be an element of $C([0,1])$ such that, for all α, β in $[0,1]$, for all γ, δ in $\mathcal{R}$, if $\phi : \alpha \mapsto \beta$ and $\phi : \gamma \mapsto \delta$ and $|\alpha -_{\mathcal{R}} \beta| <_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{2^{\delta(n)}}$, then $|\gamma -_{\mathcal{R}} \delta| <_{\mathcal{R}} \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$. Then there exists ψ in $C_\delta([0,1])$ such that, for all α in $[0,1]$, for all β in $\mathcal{R}$, if $\phi : \alpha \mapsto \beta$, then $\psi : \alpha \mapsto \beta$.

We let $C([0,1],[0,1])$ be the set of all ϕ in $C([0,1])$ such that, for each n, for each $i < \mathit{length}\big(\phi(n)\big)$, $\big(\big((\phi(n))(i)\big)'' \approx_{\mathbb{S}} (0,1)$. Note that, for every ϕ in $C([0,1])$, $\phi \in C([0,1],[0,1])$ if and only if, for each α in $[0,1]$, for each β in $\mathcal{R}$, if $\phi : \alpha \mapsto \beta$, then $\beta \in [0,1]$. For each δ, for each n, we let $CBlock^{[0,1]}_{\delta(n),n}$ be the set of all s in $CBlock_{\delta(n),n}$ such that, for each $i < \mathit{length}(s)$, $\big(s(i)\big)'' \approx_{\mathbb{S}} (0_{\mathbb{Q}}, 1_{\mathbb{Q}})$. For each δ, we define $C_\delta([0,1],[0,1]) := C([0,1],[0,1]) \cap C_\delta([0,1])$. Note that, for each δ, for each n, $CBlock^{[0,1]}_{\delta(n),n}$ is a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$. It follows that $C_\delta([0,1],[0,1])$ is a fan. Given any δ, we define δ^* such that, for each t, $\delta^*(t) = 0$ if and only if $\forall i < \mathit{length}(t)[t(i) \in CBlock_{\delta(i),i}$ and $\forall i < \mathit{length}(t) - 1[t(i+1) \sqsubseteq_{Block} t(i)]$. Note that δ^* is a fan-law and that $\mathcal{F}_{\delta^*}$ coincides with $C_\delta([0,1],[0,1])$. One may define ε such that, for each n, for each t, if $\mathit{length}(t) = n+1$ and $\delta^*(t) = 0$, then $t(n) < \varepsilon(n)$, so δ^* is an explicit fan-law.

For each δ, we define: $\mathbf{SC}\big(C_\delta([0,1],[0,1])\big)$, *$C_\delta([0,1],[0,1])$ is sequentially compact*, if and only if $\forall\phi\forall\alpha[\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\phi \circ \zeta(n+1) \neq_n \phi \circ \zeta(n) \ \vee \ \delta^* \circ \phi(n) \neq 0 \ \vee \ \alpha(n) \neq 0] \rightarrow \exists n[\delta^* \circ \phi(n) \neq 0 \ \vee \ \alpha(n) \neq 0]]$.

The following statement is called *The Contrapositive Ascoli Lemma:*

Contr(**Asc**): $\forall\delta[\mathbf{SC}\big(C_\delta([0,1],[0,1])\big)]$.

Theorem 9.4. *The following statements are equivalent in* BIM.

(i) $\mathbf{SC}(\mathcal{C})$.
(ii) **Contr**(**Asc**).
(iii) $\exists\delta[\mathbf{SC}\big(C_\delta([0,1],[0,1])\big)$.
(iv) $\mathbf{SC}([0,1])$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). This follows from the fact that, for every δ, δ^* is an explicit fan-law, see Theorem 9.3.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Obvious.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Let δ be given such that $\mathbf{SC}(C_\delta([0,1],[0,1])$. Let γ be an element of $(\mathbb{Q} \cap [0,1])^{\mathbb{N}}$. Define ψ such that, for each n, $\psi^n \in C_\delta([0,1],[0,1])$, and, for each β in $[0,1]$, $\psi^n : \beta \mapsto \big(\gamma(n)\big)_{\mathcal{R}}$. Define ϕ such that, for each n, $\phi(n) = \overline{\psi^n}(n+1)$. Let α be given such that $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[|\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma \circ \zeta(n)| >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n} \ \vee \ \alpha(n) \neq 0]$. Conclude: $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\phi \circ \zeta(n+1) \neq_n \phi \circ \zeta(n) \ \vee \ \alpha(n) \neq 0]$. Conclude, using (iii): $\exists n[\alpha(n) \neq 0]$. Conclude: $\mathbf{SC}([0,1])$.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). According to Theorems 9.2 and 9.1, both (i) and (iv) are equivalent, in BIM, to **AppFT**. □

10. Ramsey's Theorem

10.1. **An intuitionistic version of Ramsey's Theorem.** The following statement is the two-dimensional case of the (classical) Infinite Ramsey Theorem:

$(*)$ *For every subset R of $\mathbb{N}$, either:* $\exists\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \forall s \in [\omega]^2[\zeta \circ s \in R]$ *or:* $\exists\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \forall s \in [\omega]^2[\zeta \circ s \notin R]$.

Theorem 10.1. $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash (*) \rightarrow \mathbf{LPO}$.

Proof. Assume $(*)$. Let α be given. Define β in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\forall n[\beta(n) = 0 \leftrightarrow \exists i \leq n[\alpha(i) \neq 0]]$. Note: $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega][\beta \circ \zeta(0) \leq \beta \circ \zeta(1) \ \vee \ \beta \circ \zeta(1) \leq \beta \circ \zeta(2)]$,

and, therefore: $\neg\exists\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \forall n[\beta \circ \zeta(n) > \beta \circ \zeta(n+1)]$. Find ζ in $[\omega]^\omega$ such that $\forall n[\beta \circ \zeta(n) \leq \beta \circ \zeta(n+1)]$. Note: if $\beta \circ \zeta(0) = 0$, then $\exists n[\alpha(n) \neq 0]$, and, if $\beta \circ \zeta(n) = 1$, then $\forall n[\alpha(n) = 0]$. □

For every subset X be a subset of $\mathbb{N}$, for every $k \geq 1$, we define: X is *k-almost-full*, notation: $Almostfull_k(X)$, if and only if $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists s \in [\omega]^k[\zeta \circ s \in X]$.

We introduce another statement:

(†) *For all subsets R, T of $\mathbb{N}$, if both R and T are 2-almost-full, then $R \cap T$ is 2-almost-full.*

From a classical point of view, (†) implies that, given any subset R of $\mathbb{N}$, not both R and $\mathbb{N} \setminus R$ are 2-almost-full, and therefore: (†) implies $(*)$. Conversely, assume $(*)$ and let both R and T be subsets of $\mathbb{N}$ that are 2-almost-full. Let ζ be an element of $[\omega]^\omega$. Find η in $[\omega]^\omega$ such that $\forall s \in [\omega]^2[\zeta \circ \eta \circ s \in R]$. Find s in $[\omega]^2$ such that $\zeta \circ \eta \circ s \in T$ and define: $t := \eta \circ s$. Note: $\zeta \circ t \in R \cap T$.

From a classical point of view, therefore, $(*) \leftrightarrow$ (†). Intuitionistically, however $(*)$ fails to hold, but (†) is true.

The *Intuitionistic Ramsey Theorem* (1927/1993) is the statement: *For all k, for all subsets R, T of $\mathbb{N}$, if both R and T are k-almost-full, then $R \cap T$ is k-almost-full.*

The classical Ramsey Theorem is proven in [17]. The intuitionistic version is treated in [20], [26] and [30]. In the next Subsection we consider the restriction of the Intuitionistic Ramsey Theorem to decidable subsets R, T of $\mathbb{N}$.

10.2. **Ramsey's Theorem is equivalent to the Approximate-Fan Theorem.** Let k be a natural number, $k \geq 1$. The following statement is called *the k-dimensional Intuitionistic Ramsey Theorem*, $\mathbf{IRT}(k)$:

$$\forall\alpha\forall\beta[\big(Almostfull_k(D_\alpha] \ \wedge \ Almostfull_k(D_\beta)\big) \rightarrow Almostfull_k(D_\alpha \cap D_\beta)]].$$

The statement $\mathbf{IRT}(1)$ is called the *Intuitionistic Pigeonhole Principle*.

Theorem 10.2. *The following statements are equivalent in* BIM*:*

(i) **AppFT**.
(ii) $\forall k[\mathbf{IRT}(k)]$.
(iii) $\mathbf{IRT}(3)$.
(iv) **EnDec**?! $\wedge$ $\mathbf{IRT}(2)$.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). We use induction and first prove $\mathbf{IRT}(1)$. Let α, β be given such that both D_α and D_β are 1-almost-full. Assume: $\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega$. Note: $\forall n\exists m > n[\langle\zeta(m)\rangle \in D_\alpha]$. Using $\mathbf{Min\text{-}AC_{0,0}}$, find η such that $\forall n[\eta(n) > n \ \wedge \ \langle\zeta\big(\eta(n)\big)\rangle \in D_\alpha]$. Define ε such that $\varepsilon(0) = \eta(0)$ and, for each n, $\varepsilon(n+1) = \eta\big(\varepsilon(n)\big)$. Note that, for each n, $\varepsilon(n) < \varepsilon(n+1)$ and $\langle\zeta\big(\varepsilon(n)\big)\rangle \in D_\alpha$. Find m such that $\langle\zeta\big(\varepsilon(m)\big)\rangle \in D_\beta$, define $q := \varepsilon(m)$ and note: $\langle\zeta(q)\rangle \in D_\alpha \cap D_\beta$. We thus see: $D_\alpha \cap D_\beta$ is 1-almost-full.

Assume $k \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{IRT}(k)$. We want to prove: $\mathbf{IRT}(k+1)$. Let α, β be given such that both D_α and D_β are $(k+1)$-almost-full. We have to prove that the set $D_\alpha \cap D_\beta$ is $(k+1)$-almost-full but first set ourselves the seemingly more modest goal of proving that the set $D_\alpha \cap D_\beta$ is inhabited, that is: $\exists s[s \in D_\alpha \cap D_\beta]$. Once we reach this goal, the full conclusion will be within easy reach.

Let s be an element of $[\omega]^{<\omega}$. We define: s is *$(k+1)$-homeogeneous for D_α and D_β* if and only if, for all t in $[\omega]^k$, for all i, j such that $t(k-1) < i < length(s)$ and $t(k-1) < j < length(s)$: $s \circ (t * \langle i\rangle) \in D_\alpha \leftrightarrow s \circ (t * \langle j\rangle) \in D_\alpha$ and: $s \circ (t * \langle i\rangle) \in D_\beta \leftrightarrow s \circ (t * \langle j\rangle) \in D_\beta$.

Define ϕ such that $\phi(0) = \langle\ \rangle$, and, for each n, $\phi(n+1) = \phi(j) * \langle n\rangle$ where j is the largest number $i \leq n$ such that $\phi(i) * \langle n\rangle$ is $(k+1)$-homeogeneous for D_α and D_β. Define δ in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $\delta(\langle\ \rangle) \neq 0$, and for each s, for each n, $\delta(s * \langle n\rangle) \neq 0$ if and only if $\phi(n+1) = s * \langle n\rangle$. Note that D_δ is the set of all s such that, for some n, $\phi(n) = s$,

and that D_δ is a tree, that is, for each s, for each n, if $s * \langle n \rangle \in D_\delta$, then $s \in D_\delta$. D_δ is called the $(k+1)$-*Erdös-Radó tree belonging to* α *and* β. Note: $D_\delta \subseteq [\omega]^{<\omega}$, and, for each s, if $s \in D_\delta$, then s is $(k+1)$-homeogeneous for D_α and D_β. Also: D_δ is infinite and, for each n, for each s in D_δ such that $\mathit{length}(s) = n$, there are at most $4^{\binom{n}{k}}$ numbers i such that $\delta(s * \langle i \rangle) \neq 0$. This is because, for each n, there are $\binom{n}{k}$ elements t of $[\omega]^k$ such that $t(k-1) < n$, and, for each such t, for each s in $[\omega]^n$, for each i, $(s \circ t) * \langle i \rangle$ belongs to one of the four sets $D_\alpha \cap D_\beta$, $D_\alpha \setminus D_\beta$, $D_\beta \setminus D_\alpha$ and $\mathbb{N} \setminus (D_\alpha \cup D_\beta)$. Note: if $\delta(s * \langle i \rangle) \neq 0$ and $\delta(s * \langle j \rangle) \neq 0$ and $i \neq j$, there exists t in $[\omega]^k$ such that $t(k-1) < n$ and either: $\neg((s \circ t) * \langle i \rangle \in D_\alpha \leftrightarrow (s \circ t) * \langle j \rangle \in D_\alpha)$ or: $\neg((s \circ t) * \langle i \rangle \in D_\beta \leftrightarrow (s \circ t) * \langle j \rangle \in D_\beta)$.

Define γ such that $\gamma(0) = 1$, and, for each n, $\gamma(n+1) = \gamma(n) \cdot 4^{\binom{n}{k}}$. Note that, for each n, there are at most $\gamma(n)$ elements s of D_δ such that $\mathit{length}(s) = n$. Define ε such that, for each s, $\varepsilon(s) = 0$ if and only if there exist t, n such that $t \in D_\delta$ and $s = t * \underline{0}n$. Note that, for each s, $\varepsilon(s) = 0$ if and only if, for some n, $\varepsilon(s * \langle n \rangle) = 0$. Define η such that $\eta(0) = 1$, and, for each n, $\eta(n+1) = \eta(n) \cdot (4^{\binom{n}{k}} + 1)$. Note that, for each n, there are at most $\eta(n)$ numbers s such that $\varepsilon(s) = 0$ and $\mathit{length}(s) = n$.

We thus see that the set $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$ is an explicit approximate fan.

We let B be the set of all s such that $\varepsilon(s) = 0$ and: *either* there exists t in $[\omega]^{k+1}$ such that $t(k) < \mathit{length}(s)$ and $s \circ t \in D_\alpha \cap D_\beta$, *or* $\delta(s) = 0$. We now prove: $\mathit{Bar}_{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon}(B)$.

Assume: $\gamma \in \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon$. Define γ^* such that $\gamma^*(0) = \gamma(0)$ and, for each n, if $\overline{\gamma^*}n * \langle \gamma(n+1) \rangle \in [\omega]^{<\omega}$, then $\gamma^*(n+1) = \gamma(n+1)$, and, if not, then $\gamma^*(n+1) = \gamma^*(n) + 1$. Note: $\gamma^* \in [\omega]^\omega$ and, if $\gamma \in [\omega]^\omega$, then $\gamma^* = \gamma$, and: for each n, if $\overline{\gamma}n \neq \overline{\gamma^*}n$, then $\delta(\overline{\gamma}n) = 0$. Define α^* and β^* such that, for each t in $[\omega]^k$, $\alpha^*(t) = \alpha\big(\gamma^* \circ (t * \langle j \rangle)\big)$ and $\beta^*(t) = \beta\big(\gamma^* \circ (t * \langle j \rangle)\big)$ where $j := t(k-1) + 1$.

We prove: $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists t \in [\omega]^k [\zeta \circ t \in D_{\alpha^*} \ \vee \ \exists n [\delta\big(\overline{\gamma^*}n\big) = 0]]$. Assume: $\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega$. Find s in $[\omega]^{k+1}$ such that $\gamma^* \circ \zeta \circ s \in D_\alpha$. Define: $n := (\zeta \circ s)(k) + 1$. Define $t := \overline{s}k$ and $i := s(k)$. Note: $\gamma^* \circ \zeta \circ (t * \langle i \rangle) \in D_\alpha$, that is: $\gamma^* \circ \big((\zeta \circ t) * \langle \zeta(i) \rangle\big) \in D_\alpha$. Conclude: either: $\gamma^* \circ \big((\zeta \circ t) * \langle j \rangle\big) \in D_\alpha$. where $j := (\zeta \circ t)(k-1) + 1$, and therefore: $\zeta \circ t \in D_{\alpha^*}$, or: $\overline{\gamma^*}n$ is not $(k+1)$-homeogeneous for D_α and, therefore: $\delta(\overline{\gamma^*}n) = 0$.

One may prove by a similar argument: $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists t \in [\omega]^k [\zeta \circ t \in D_{\beta^*} \ \vee \ \exists n [\delta\big(\overline{\gamma^*}n\big) = 0]]$. Define α^{**} and β^{**} such that, for each n, $\alpha^{**}(n) = \max\big(\alpha^*(n), 1 - \min_{i \leq n} \delta(\overline{\gamma^*}i)\big)$ and $\beta^{**}(n) = \max\big(\beta^*(n), 1 - \min_{i \leq n} \delta(\overline{\gamma^*}i)\big)$.

Note that, if $\exists n [\delta(\overline{\gamma^*}n) = 0]$, then: $\exists n \forall m \geq n [\alpha^{**}(m) \neq 0 \ \wedge \ \beta^{**}(m) \neq 0]$, and: $D_{\alpha^{**}}$ and $D_{\beta^{**}}$ are co-finite subsets of $\mathbb{N}$. Conclude: $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists t \in [\omega]^k [\zeta \circ t \in D_{\alpha^{**}}] \ \wedge \ \forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists t \in [\omega]^k [\zeta \circ t \in D_{\beta^{**}}]$. Use the induction hypothesis $\mathbf{IRT}(k)$ and conclude: $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists t \in [\omega]^k [\zeta \circ t \in D_{\alpha^{**}} \cap D_{\beta^{**}}]$. Note: $\zeta := Id \in [\omega]^\omega$ and find t in $[\omega]^k$ such that $t \in D_{\alpha^{**}} \cap D_{\beta^{**}}$. *Either:* $t \in D_{\alpha^*} \cap D_{\beta^*}$ *or:* $\exists n [\delta(\overline{\gamma^*}n) = 0]$, that is, *either:* $\gamma^* \circ (t * \langle j \rangle) = (\gamma^* \circ t) * \langle \gamma^*(j) \rangle \in D_\alpha \cap D_\beta$, where $j = t(k-1) + 1$, *or:* $\exists n [\delta(\overline{\gamma^*}n) = 0]$. In any case, we find n such that $\overline{\gamma^*}n \in B$. *Either:* $\overline{\gamma}n = \overline{\gamma^*}n$ *or:* $\delta(\overline{\gamma}n) = 0$. In both cases: $\overline{\gamma}n \in B$. Conclude: $\mathit{Bar}_{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon}(B)$.

Use the Other Approximate-Fan Theorem $\mathbf{AppFT}^*$, Theorem 8.5, conclude: $\mathit{Strongbar}_{\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon}(B)$ and find s such that $s \in D_\delta \cap B$. Conclude: $\exists t \in [\omega]^{k+1} [s \circ t \in D_\alpha \cap D_\beta]$, and $\exists u \in [\omega]^{k+1} [u \in D_\alpha \cap D_\beta]$.

Now, assume $\gamma \in [\omega]^\omega$. Define α' and β' in $\mathcal{C}$ such that, for each t in $[\omega]^{<\omega}$, $\alpha'(t) = \alpha(\gamma \circ t)$ and $\beta'(t) = \beta(\gamma \circ t)$. Note that $D_{\alpha'}$ is $(k+1)$-almost-full: $\forall \delta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists s \in [\omega]^{k+1} [\gamma \circ \delta \circ s \in D_\alpha]$ and $\forall \delta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists s \in [\omega]^{k+1} [\delta \circ s \in D_{\alpha'}]$. Of course, $D_{\beta'}$ is also $(k+1)$-almost-full. Conclude: $\exists u \in [\omega]^{k+1} [u \in D_{\alpha'} \cap D_{\beta'}]$, and: $\exists u \in [\omega]^{k+1} [\gamma \circ u \in D_\alpha \cap D_\beta]$. Conclude: $D_\alpha \cap D_\beta$ is $(k+1)$-almost-full.

We thus see: $\forall k [\mathbf{IRT}(k) \to \mathbf{IRT}(k+1)]$. Conclude: $\forall k [\mathbf{IRT}(k)]$.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Obvious.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv). Note: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{IRT}(3) \rightarrow \mathbf{IRT}(2)$. It thus suffices to show: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{IRT}(3) \rightarrow \mathbf{EnDec}?!$.

Assume $\mathbf{IRT}(3)$. Let γ, n be given such that $\forall\alpha[(n \notin D_\alpha \wedge D_\alpha \subseteq E_\gamma) \rightarrow \exists p[p \notin D_\alpha \wedge p \in E_\gamma]]$. We have to prove: $n \in E_\gamma$, that is: $\exists j[\gamma(j) = n+1]$.

Define α such that, for each s in $[\omega]^3$, $\alpha(s) \neq 0$ if and only if: *either* $\exists i < s(0)[\forall j < s(1)[\gamma(j) \neq i+1] \wedge \exists j < s(2)[\gamma(j) = i+1]]$ *or* $\exists j < s(2)[\gamma(j) = n+1]$. We prove that D_α is 3-almost-full. Assume $\delta \in [\omega]^\omega$. Define ε such that, for each p, $\varepsilon(p) \neq 0$ if and only if $p \neq n$ and *either:* $p < \delta(0) \wedge \exists j < \delta(1)[\gamma(j) = p+1]$ *or:* $\exists k[\delta(k) \leq p < \delta(k+1) \wedge \exists j < \delta(k+2)[\gamma(j) = p+1]]$. Note: $D_\varepsilon \subseteq E_\gamma \wedge n \notin D_\varepsilon$. Find p in $E_\gamma \setminus D_\varepsilon$. *First* assume: $p = n$. Find j such that $\gamma(j) = n+1$. Find k such that $j < \delta(k+2)$ and note: $\langle \delta(0), \delta(1), \delta(k+2)\rangle \in D_\alpha$. *Then* assume: $p \neq n$. *First* suppose: $p < \delta(0)$. Find j such that $\gamma(j) = p+1$. Note: $p \notin D_\varepsilon$, and therefore: $\forall i < \delta(1)[\gamma(i) \neq p+1]$. Find k such that $j < \delta(k)$ and note: $\langle \delta(0), \delta(1), \delta(k)\rangle \in D_\alpha$. *Then* suppose: $\delta(0) \leq p$. Find k such that $\delta(k) \leq p < \delta(k+1)$. Find j such that $\gamma(j) = p+1$. Note: $p \notin D_\varepsilon$, and therefore: $\forall i[\gamma(i) = p+1 \rightarrow i \geq \delta(k+2)]$. Find p such that $j < \delta(p)$ and note: $\langle \delta(k+1), \delta(k+2), \delta(p)\rangle \in D_\alpha$.

Define β such that, for every s in $[\omega]^3$, $\beta(s) \neq 0$ if and only if $\forall i < s(0)[\exists j < s(2)[\gamma(j) = i+1] \rightarrow \exists j < s(1)[\gamma(j) = i+1]]$. We prove that D_β is 3-almost-full. Assume $\delta \in [\omega]^\omega$. Also assume: $\forall k \leq \delta(0)[\delta(0), \delta(k), \delta(k+1)\rangle \notin D_\beta]$. We then may find, for each $k \leq \delta(0)$, some $i < \delta(0)$ such that $i \in E_{\overline{\gamma}(\delta(k+1))}$ and $i \notin E_{\overline{\gamma}(\delta(k))}$. Clearly, this is impossible. Conclude: $\neg\forall k \leq \delta(0)[\delta(0), \delta(k), \delta(k+1)\rangle \notin D_\beta]$ and: $\exists k \leq \delta(0)[\langle \delta(0), \delta(k), \delta(k+1)\rangle \in D_\beta]$.

We thus see that both D_α and D_β are 3-almost-full. Use $\mathbf{IRT}(3)$ and conclude: $D_\alpha \cap D_\beta$ is 3-almost-full and, in particular: $\exists s[s \in [\omega]^3[s \in D_\alpha \cap D_\beta]$. Find such s and conclude: $\exists j[\gamma(j) = n+1)]$.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Note $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{EnDec}?! \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})$, see Corollary 5.11, and $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathbf{AppFT} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})$, see Corollary 8.8. It thus suffices to prove: $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \big(\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded}) + \mathbf{IRT}(2)\big) \rightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})$.

Assume: $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})$ and $\mathbf{IRT}(2)$. Also assume: $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}^\mathbb{N}$ and $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega\exists n[|\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) -_\mathbb{Q} \gamma\circ\zeta(n)| >_\mathbb{Q} \frac{1}{2^n}]$. We have to prove: $QED := \exists n[\gamma(n) <_\mathbb{Q} 0_\mathbb{Q} \vee \gamma(n) >_\mathbb{Q} 1_\mathbb{Q}]$.

We first prove: $\forall m\forall p\exists n > p\forall i < m[\gamma(n) \neq \gamma(i)]$. We do so by induction. Let m be given and assume $\forall p\exists n > p\forall i < m[\gamma(n) \neq \gamma(i)]$. Define ζ in $[\omega]^\omega$ such that $\forall n\forall i < m[\gamma \circ \zeta(n) \neq \gamma(i)]$. Let p be given. Find $n > p$ such that $|\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) -_\mathbb{Q} \gamma \circ \zeta(n)| >_\mathbb{Q} \frac{1}{2^n}$ and note: $n \leq \zeta(n) < \zeta(n+1)$ and *either*: $\gamma \circ \zeta(n) \neq \gamma(m)$ and $\forall i < m+1[\gamma \circ \zeta(n) \neq \gamma(i)]$ *or*: $\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) \neq \gamma(m)$ and $\forall i < m+1[\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) \neq \gamma(i)]$. Conclude: $\forall p\exists n > p\forall i < m+1[\gamma(n) \neq \gamma(i)]$. We thus see: $\forall m\forall p\exists n > p\forall i < m[\gamma(n) \neq \gamma(i)]$.

Now define ζ in $[\omega]^\omega$ such that $\zeta(0) = 0$ and, for each n, $\zeta(n)$ is the least j such that $j > \zeta(n)$ and $\forall i \leq n[\gamma(j) \neq \gamma(i)]$. Define $\gamma^* := \gamma \circ \zeta$. Note: $\forall m\forall n[m < n \rightarrow \gamma^*(m) \neq \gamma^*(n)]$ and $\forall\eta \in [\omega]^\omega\exists n[|\gamma^* \circ \eta(n+1) -_\mathbb{Q} \gamma^* \circ \eta(n)| >_\mathbb{Q} \frac{1}{2^n}]$.

Let η in $[\omega]^\omega$ be given. We define δ in $\mathbb{Q}^\mathbb{N}$ as follows. $\delta(0) := \gamma^* \circ \eta(0)$, and, for each n, if $\gamma^* \circ \eta(n+1) >_\mathbb{Q} \delta(n)$, then $\delta(n+1) = \gamma^* \circ \eta(n+1)$, and, if not, then $\delta(n+1) = \delta(n) +_\mathbb{Q} 1_\mathbb{Q}$. Note: $\forall n[\delta(n+1) >_\mathbb{Q} \delta(n)]$ and $\forall\varepsilon \in [\omega]^\omega\exists n[\delta \circ \varepsilon(n+1) -_\mathbb{Q} \delta \circ \varepsilon(n) >_\mathbb{Q} \frac{1}{2^n}]$. Using $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{Ded})$, find n such that $\delta(n) >_\mathbb{Q} 1_\mathbb{Q}$. Note: *either:* $\delta(n) = \gamma^* \circ \eta(n)$ and: QED, *or:* $\delta(n) \neq \gamma^* \circ \eta(n)$ and $\exists n[\gamma^* \circ \eta(n) >_\mathbb{Q} \gamma^* \circ \eta(n+1)]$. We thus see: $\forall\eta \in [\omega]^\omega\exists n[QED \vee \gamma^* \circ \eta(n) >_\mathbb{Q} \gamma^* \circ \eta(n+1)]$. Define $R := \{s \in [\omega]^2 | \gamma^* \circ s(0) >_\mathbb{Q} \gamma^* \circ s(1) \vee \gamma \circ s(0) <_\mathbb{Q} 0_\mathbb{Q} \vee \gamma \circ s(0) >_\mathbb{Q} 1_\mathbb{Q}\}$ and conclude: R is 2-almost-full.

One may prove also: $\forall \eta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[QED \ \vee \ \gamma^* \circ \eta(n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma^* \circ \eta(n+1)]$. Define $T := \{s \in [\omega]^2 | \gamma^* \circ s(0) <_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma^* \circ s(1) \ \vee \ \gamma \circ s(0) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}} \ \vee \ \gamma \circ s(0) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}\}$ and conclude: T is 2-almost-full.

Conclude, using $\mathbf{IRT}(2)$, $\exists s[s \in R \cap T]$ and: $\exists n[\gamma(n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}} \ \vee \ \gamma(n) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}]$.

We thus see: $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})$. □

10.3. **The Intuitionistic Ramsey Theorem implies the Paris-Harrington Theorem.** The Intuitionistic Ramsey Theorem extends from *decidable* to *enumerable* subsets of $\mathbb{N}$:

Corollary 10.3. *One may prove, in* $\mathsf{BIM} + \forall k[\mathbf{IRT}(k)]$*:*
$\forall k \forall \alpha \forall \beta [\big(Almostfull_k(E_\alpha) \ \wedge \ Almostfull_k(E_\beta)\big) \rightarrow Almostfull_k(E_\alpha \cap E_\beta)]$.

Proof. Let k, α, β be given such that both E_α and E_β are k-almost-full. Define δ, ε, such that, for each s, $\delta(s) \neq 0$ if and only if $s \in [\omega]^{k+1}$ and $\exists i \leq s(k)[\alpha(i) = \overline{s}k+1]$, and $\varepsilon(s) \neq 0$ if and only if $s \in [\omega]^{k+1}$ and $\exists i \leq s(k)[\beta(i) = \overline{s}k+1]$. Note that D_δ and D_ε are $k+1$-almost-full. Using $\mathbf{RT}(k+1)$, conclude that $D_\delta \cap D_\varepsilon$ is $k+1$-almost-full. Note that, for each s, if $s \in D_\delta \cap D_\varepsilon$, then $\overline{s}k \in E_\alpha \cap E_\beta$. Conclude that $E_\alpha \cap E_\beta$ is almost-full. □

For all positive integers m, k, we let $[m]^k$ denote the finite subset of $\mathbb{N}$ consisting of all s in $[\omega]^k$ such that $s(k-1) < m$.

For all positive integers m, k, for all c, r, we define: $c : [m]^k \rightarrow r$ if and only if (i) for each s in $[m]^k$, $s < \mathit{length}(c)$, and (ii) for all $i < \mathit{length}(c)$, $c(i) < r$.

For all r, k, n, M we define:

$$M \rightarrow_* (n)^k_r$$

if and only if for all $c : [M]^k \rightarrow r$ there exist l, s such that $s \in [M]^l$ and $l \geq n$ and $l \geq s(0)$ and $\forall u \in [l]^k \forall v \in [l]^k [c(s \circ u) = c(s \circ v)]]$.

The *Paris-Harrington Theorem* **PH** is the following statement:

$$\forall r \forall k \forall n \exists M [M \rightarrow_* (n)^k_r]$$

In [20], it is explained how **PH** may be derived from **IRT**. It seems useful to consider this argument again in the formal context of BIM.

Recall that $[\omega]^{<\omega} := \bigcup_{k \in \omega} [\omega]^k$. A subset X of $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ is called *almost-full* if and only if $\forall \zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists s \in [\omega]^{<\omega} [\zeta \circ s \in X]$.

Let r be a positive integer and let χ be an element of $\mathcal{N}$ such that, for each n, $\chi(n) < r$. One may consider χ as a colouring of ω by the colours $0, 1, \ldots, r-1$, a so-called *r-colouring of ω*.

Let X be a subset of $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ and let k be a positive integer. We let $X^{\chi,k}$ be the set of all s in X such that for all u, v in $[\omega]^k$, if $u(k-1) < \mathit{length}(s)$ and $v(k-1) < \mathit{length}(s)$, then $\chi(s \circ u) = \chi(s \circ v)$. Note that, if X is an enumerable subset of $\mathbb{N}$, then also $X^{\chi,k}$ is an enumerable subset of $\mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 10.4. *For every $k > 0$, for every $r > 0$, for every enumerable subset X of $\mathbb{N}$ that is an almost-full subset of $[\omega]^{<\omega}$, for every χ such that, for each n, $\chi(n) < r$, the set $X^{\chi,k}$ is an almost-full subset of $[\omega]^{<\omega}$.*

Proof. Let $k > 0$. We shall use the fact that, for all enumerable subsets X, Y of $\mathbb{N}$, if both X and Y are k-almost-full, then $X \cap Y$ is k-almost-full. The proof of Corollary 10.3 made us see that this fact is a consequence of $\mathbf{IRT}(k+1)$.

We use induction on r. Note that the case $r = 1$ is trivial. Now assume $r > 1$, and: the case $r-1$ of the statement has been established.

Let χ be an element of $\mathcal{N}$ such that, for each n, $\chi(n) < r$.

We define χ_0 and χ_1 as follows. For each n, $\chi_0(n) = \min\big(\chi(n), r-2\big)$ and $\chi_1(n) = \max\big(\chi(n), 1\big) - 1$. Note that, for each n, $\chi_0(n) < r-1$ and $\chi_1(n) < r-1$.

Let X be an enumerable subset of $\mathbb{N}$ that is an almost-full subset of $[\omega]^{<\omega}$.

Note that $X^{\chi_0,k}$ and $X^{\chi_1,k}$ are enumerable subsets of $\mathbb{N}$.

According to the induction hypothesis, both $X^{\chi_0,k}$ and $X^{\chi_1,k}$ are almost-full subsets of $[\omega]^{<\omega}$.

Let ζ be an element of $[\omega]^\omega$. Note that, for each s, if $\zeta \circ s \in X^{\chi_0,k}$, then *either:* $\zeta \circ s \in X^{\chi,k}$, *or:* for some $t \in [\omega]^k$, $t(k-1) < \mathit{length}(s)$ and $\chi(s \circ t) = r-1$, and, if $\zeta \circ s \in X^{\chi_1,k}$, then *either:* $\zeta \circ s \in X^{\chi,k}$, *or:* for some $t \in [\omega]^k$, $t(k-1) < \mathit{length}(s)$ and $\chi(s \circ t) = 0$.

Let ζ be an element of $[\omega]^\omega$. Let QED be the statement: $\exists s \in [\omega]^{<\omega}[\zeta \circ s \in X^{\chi,k}]$.

Note: for each η in $[\omega]^\omega$, there exists s such that $\zeta \circ \eta \circ s \in X^{\chi_0,k}$ and, therefore, *either:* QED *or:* there exists u in $[\omega]^k$ such that $\chi(\zeta \circ \eta \circ u) = r-1$. We let Y_0 be the set of all t in $[\omega]^k$ such that $\chi(\zeta \circ t) = r-1$ or QED. Note that Y_0 is k-almost-full and an enumerable subset of $\mathbb{N}$.

Also note: for each η in $[\omega]^\omega$, there exists s such that $\zeta \circ \eta \circ s \in X^{\chi_1,k}$ and, therefore, *either:* QED *or:* there exists u in $[\omega]^k$ such that $\chi(\zeta \circ \eta \circ u) = 0$. We let Y_1 be the set of all t in $[\omega]^k$ such that $\chi(\zeta \circ t) = 0$ or QED. Note that Y_1 is k-almost-full and an enumerable subset of $\mathbb{N}$.

According to Corollary 10.3 also $Y_0 \cap Y_1$ is k-almost-full. Find t such that $\zeta \circ t \in Y_0 \cap Y_1$. Then: $\chi(\zeta \circ t) = r-1$ or QED, and: $\chi(\zeta \circ t) = 0$ or QED. Note: $r - 1 > 0$ and: QED.

We thus see that $X^{\chi,k}$ is an almost-full subset of $[\omega]^{<\omega}$. □

Let r, k be positive integers. Let c be an element of $\mathbb{N}$ such that, for each $n < \mathit{length}(c)$, $c(n) < r$. Let X be a subset of $[\omega]^{<\omega}$. We let $X^{c,k}$ be the set of all s in X such that for all u, v in $[\omega]^k$, if $u(k-1) < \mathit{length}(s)$ and $v(k-1) < \mathit{length}(s)$, then $s \circ u < \mathit{length}(c)$ and $s \circ v < \mathit{length}(c)$ and $c(s \circ u) = c(s \circ v)$.

Recall that **PH** is the statement: $\forall r \forall k \forall n \exists M[M \to_* (n)^k_r]$.

Theorem 10.5. $\mathsf{BIM} + \forall k[\mathbf{IRT}(k)] \vdash \mathbf{PH}$.

Proof. Let r, k, n be positive integers. Let X be the set of all s in $[\omega]^{<\omega}$ such that $\mathit{length}(s) \geq n$ and $\mathit{length}(s) \geq s(0)$. Note that X is a decidable subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and an almost-full subset of $[\omega]^{<\omega}$. Note that, for each χ such that, for all n, $\chi(n) < r$, the set $X^{\chi,k}$ is an almost-full subset of $[\omega]^\omega$, so, in particular, there exists s in $X^{\chi,k}$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of all χ in $\mathcal{N}$ such that, for each n, $\chi(n) < r$. Note that $\mathcal{F}$ is a fan. Let B be the set of all c such that, for all $n < \mathit{length}(c)$, $c(n) < r$, and there exists s in $X^{c,k}$. Note that B is a decidable subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and a bar in $\mathcal{F}$. Note: in $\mathsf{BIM} + \forall k[\mathbf{IRT}(k)]$ one may prove the Fan Theorem **FT**, see Theorem 10.2, Corollaries 8.7 and 8.8 and Theorems 4.2 and 3.6. Using **FT**, find M such that, for all χ in $\mathcal{F}$, there exists $n \leq M$ such that $\overline{\chi}n \in B$, and, therefore, $\overline{\chi}M$ in B. Assume $c : [M]^k \to r$. Note: $M \leq \mathit{length}(c)$. Find χ in $\mathcal{N}$ such that, for all n, $\chi(n) < r$ and $\overline{\chi}M = \overline{c}M$. Find s in $X^{\overline{\chi}M,k}$ and note: $s \in X^{c,k}$. We thus see: $M \to_* (n)^k_r$. □

It is a famous fact that the Paris-Harrington Theorem can not be proven in classical or intuitionistic arithmetic, see [16], that is: $\mathsf{BIM} \nvdash \mathbf{PH}$. Using Troelstra's result that **FT** is conservative over intuitionistic arithmetic, see [19], we conclude:

Corollary 10.6. $\mathsf{BIM} \nvdash \mathbf{PH}$ *and* $\mathsf{BIM} \nvdash \mathbf{FT} \to \mathbf{AppFT}$.

Note that the second part of Corollary 10.6 is an easy consequence of the second part of Corollary 6.6.

Note that, in BIM, the axiom scheme of induction is not restricted to arithmetical formulas. The classical system ACA_0 (implicitly) has such a restriction and ACA_0 *is* conservative over classical arithmetic, see [18], page 367, Remark IX.1.7. In ACA_0, one may prove (proper versions of) $\mathbf{RT}(0)$ and $\forall k[\mathbf{RT}(k) \to \mathbf{RT}(k+1)]$ and,

therefore, $\mathbf{RT}(3)$, but not the Paris-Harrington Theorem and not $\forall k[\mathbf{RT}(k)]$, see [18], Section III.7.

11. Markov's Principle

We consider two *semi-classical* axioms: Markov's Principle and Kuroda's Principle. There is no good argument why either one of these principles should be taken as an axiom for constructive arithmetic or analysis.

Markov's Principle (MP_1) is the statement: $\forall\alpha[\neg\neg\exists n[\alpha(n) \neq 0] \to \exists n[\alpha(n) \neq 0]]$. *Kuroda's Principle of Double Negation Shift* ($\mathbf{DNS}_0$) is the statement: *for every subset R of $\mathbb{N}$, $\forall n[\neg\neg R(n)] \to \neg\neg\forall n[R(n)]$*, or, equivalently: *for every subset R of $\mathbb{N}$, $\neg\neg\forall n[R(n) \vee \neg R(n)]$*.

A subset X of $\mathbb{N}$ is *X nearly-decidable*, or, using an expression from [15], *classically decidable*, if and only if $\neg\neg\exists\beta[D_\beta = X]$, that is, $\neg\neg\exists\beta\forall n[n \in X \leftrightarrow \beta(n) = 1]$. We want to consider the statement: *Every enumerable subset of $\mathbb{N}$ is nearly-decidable*, $\mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}$**-ND**: $\forall\gamma\neg\neg\exists\beta[E_\gamma = D_\beta]$.

Theorem 11.1. *In $\mathsf{BIM} + \mathsf{MP}_1$, the following statements are equivalent:*

(i) **EnDec**?!.
(ii) $\mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}$**-ND**.
(iii) $\mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}$**-BI**.

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Assume **EnDec**?!. Let γ be given such that $\neg\exists\beta[D_\beta = E_\gamma]$. Let β be given such that $D_\beta \subseteq E_\gamma$. Conclude: $\neg(E_\gamma \subseteq D_\beta]$, that is: $\neg\forall n[n \in E_\gamma \to \beta(n) = 1]$, that is: $\neg\forall n\forall j[\gamma(j) = n + 1 \to \beta(n) = 1]$, that is, $\neg\neg\exists p[\gamma(p') = p'' + 1 \ \wedge \ \beta(p'') = 0]$. Use MP_1 and conclude: $\exists p[\gamma(p') = p'' + 1 \ \wedge \ \beta(p'') = 0]$ and $\exists q[q \in E_\gamma \setminus D_\beta]$. We thus see: $\forall\beta \in \mathcal{C}[D_\beta \subseteq E_\gamma \to \exists q[q \in E_\gamma \setminus D_\beta]]$. Using **EnDec**?!, we conclude: $E_\gamma = \mathbb{N}$, that is: $E_\gamma = D_{\underline{1}}$. Contradiction. Clearly, $\forall\gamma\neg\neg\exists\beta[E_\gamma = D_\beta]$, that is: $\mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}$**-ND**.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Assume $\mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}$**-ND**. Let γ be given such that $Bar_{\mathcal{N}}(E_\gamma)$ and $\forall s[s \in E_\gamma \leftrightarrow \forall n[s * \langle n\rangle \in E_\gamma]]$. Let β be given such that $E_\gamma = D_\beta$. Note: $\forall s[\beta(s) = 0 \to \neg\forall n[\beta(s * \langle n\rangle) \neq 0]]$, that is $\forall s[\beta(s) = 0 \to \neg\neg\exists n[\beta(s * \langle n\rangle) = 0]]$. Using MP_1, we conclude: $\forall s[\beta(s) = 0 \to \exists n[\beta(s * \langle n\rangle) = 0]]$. Assume $\beta(\langle\ \rangle) = 0$. We let δ be an element of $\mathcal{N}$ such that, for each n, $\delta(n)$ is the least p such that $\beta(\overline{\delta}n * \langle p\rangle) = 0$. Note: $\forall n[\beta(\overline{\delta}n) = 0]$, that is: δ does not meet D_β and $E_\gamma = D_\beta$ is not a bar in $\mathcal{N}$. Contradiction. We have to conclude: $\beta(\langle\ \rangle) \neq 0$ and: $\langle\ \rangle \in E_\gamma$. We thus see: if $\exists\beta \in \mathcal{C}[E_\gamma = D_\beta]$, then $0 = \langle\ \rangle \in E_\gamma$. Using $\mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}$**-ND**, we note: $\neg\neg\exists\beta \in \mathcal{C}[E_\gamma = D_\beta]$, and conclude: $\neg\neg(\langle\ \rangle \in E_\gamma)$, that is $\neg\neg\exists p[\gamma(p) = 1]$. Using MP_1 once more, we conclude: $\exists p[\gamma(p) = 1$, that is: $0 \in E_\gamma$. We conclude: $\mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}$**-BI**.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). See Theorem 4.1 and Corollary 5.11. □

The surprising observation that, in a context like $\mathsf{BIM} + \mathsf{MP}_1$, $\mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}$**-BI** implies $\mathbf{\Sigma^0_1}$**-ND** is due to R. Solovay, see Lemma 5.3 in [15].

We extend the language of BIM by introducing an infinite sequence of binary predicate symbols $S^0_1, P^0_1, S^0_2, P^0_2, \ldots$ with the following defining axioms:

(i) $\forall m[S^0_1(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow m \in E_\gamma]$,
(ii) $\forall m[P^0_1(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \neg S^0_1(m,\gamma)]$, and
(iii) for each $n > 0$, $\forall m[S^0_{n+1}(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \exists p[P^0_n((m,p),\gamma)]]$, and,
(iv) for each $n > 0$, $\forall m[P^0_{n+1}(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \forall p[S^0_n((m,p),\gamma)]]$.

A subset X of $\mathbb{N}$ is *(positively) arithmetical* if and only if there exist $n > 0$, γ such that $\forall m[m \in X \leftrightarrow S^0_n(m,\gamma)]$ or $\forall m[m \in X \leftrightarrow P^0_n(m,\gamma)]$.

For each n, we introduce the statements: *Every $\mathbf{\Sigma^0_n}$-subset of $\mathbb{N}$ is nearly-decidable*, $\mathbf{\Sigma^0_n}$**-ND**: $\forall\gamma\neg\neg\exists\beta\forall m[S^0_n(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$, and: *Every $\mathbf{\Pi^0_n}$-subset of $\mathbb{N}$ is nearly-decidable*, $\mathbf{\Pi^0_n}$**-ND**: $\forall\gamma\neg\neg\exists\beta\forall m[P^0_n(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$.

Theorem 11.2. *For each n,* $\mathsf{BIM} + \mathbf{\Sigma}^0_1\text{-}\mathbf{ND} \vdash \mathbf{\Sigma}^0_n\text{-}\mathbf{ND} \wedge \mathbf{\Pi}^0_n\text{-}\mathbf{ND}$.

Proof. We use induction. Assume: $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_1$-**ND**.

Let γ, β be given such that $\forall m[S^0_1(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$. Define δ such that $\forall m[\delta(m) = 0 \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$. Note: $\forall m[P^0_1(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \delta(m) \neq 0]]$. Conclude: if $\exists\beta\forall m[S^0_1(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$, then $\exists\beta\forall m[P^0_1(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$. Note: $\neg\neg\exists\beta\forall m[S^0_1(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$ and conclude: $\neg\neg\exists\beta\forall m[P^0_1(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$. Conclude: $\mathbf{\Pi}^0_1$-**ND**. We thus see: $\mathsf{BIM} + \mathbf{\Sigma}^0_1\text{-}\mathbf{ND} \vdash \mathbf{\Pi}^0_1\text{-}\mathbf{ND}$.

Assume: $n > 0$ and $\mathbf{\Pi}^0_n$-**ND**. Let γ, β be given such that $\forall m[P^0_n(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$. Note: $\forall m[S^0_{n+1}(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \exists p[\beta\big((m,p)\big) \neq 0]]$. Define δ such that, for all m, p, if $\beta\big((m,p)\big) \neq 0$, then $\delta\big((m,p)\big) = m+1$, and, if not, then $\delta\big((m,p)\big) = 0$. Note: $\forall m[m \in E_\delta \leftrightarrow S^0_{n+1}(m,\gamma)]$, that is: $\forall m[S^0_1(m,\delta) \leftrightarrow S^0_{n+1}(m,\gamma)]$. Use $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_1$-**ND** and conclude: $\neg\neg\exists\beta\forall m[S^0_{n+1}(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$. Conclude: if $\exists\beta\forall m[P^0_n(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$, then $\neg\neg\exists\beta\forall m[S^0_{n+1}(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$. Note: $\neg\neg\exists\beta\forall m[P^0_n(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$ and conclude: $\neg\neg\exists\beta\forall m[S^0_{n+1}(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$. Conclude: $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_{n+1}$-**ND**. We thus see: $\mathsf{BIM} + \mathbf{\Sigma}^0_1\text{-}\mathbf{ND} \vdash \mathbf{\Pi}^0_n\text{-}\mathbf{ND} \rightarrow \mathbf{\Sigma}^0_{n+1}\text{-}\mathbf{ND}$.

Assume: $n > 0$ and $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_n$-**ND**. Let γ, β be given such that $\forall m[S^0_n(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$. Note: $\forall m[P^0_{n+1}(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \forall p[\beta\big((m,p)\big) \neq 0]]$. Define δ such that, for all m, p, if $\beta\big((m,p)\big) = 0$, then $\delta\big((m,p)\big) = m+1$, and, if not, then $\delta\big((m,p)\big) = 0$. Note: $\forall m[m \notin E_\delta \leftrightarrow P^0_{n+1}(m,\gamma)]$, that is: $\forall m[P^0_1(m,\delta) \leftrightarrow P^0_{n+1}(m,\gamma)]$. Use $\mathbf{\Pi}^0_1$-**ND** and conclude: $\neg\neg\exists\beta\forall m[P^0_{n+1}(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$. Conclude: if $\exists\beta\forall m[S^0_n(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$, then $\neg\neg\exists\beta\forall m[P^0_{n+1}(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$. Note: $\neg\neg\exists\beta\forall m[S^0_n(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$ and conclude: $\neg\neg\exists\beta\forall m[P^0_{n+1}(m,\gamma) \leftrightarrow \beta(m) \neq 0]$. Conclude: $\mathbf{\Pi}^0_{n+1}$-**ND**. We thus see: $\mathsf{BIM} + \mathbf{\Sigma}^0_1\text{-}\mathbf{ND} \vdash \mathbf{\Sigma}^0_n\text{-}\mathbf{ND} \rightarrow \mathbf{\Pi}^0_{n+1}\text{-}\mathbf{ND}$. □

Theorem 11.2 is due to J.R. Moschovakis, see Lemma 5.5 and Theorem 5.6 in [15].

Theorem 11.3. $\mathsf{BIM} + \mathsf{MP}_1 \vdash \mathbf{OI}([0,1]) \rightarrow \mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})$.

Proof. Assume: $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega\exists n[|\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma \circ \zeta(n)| >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n}]$. Define δ in $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$ such that, for each n, *if* $\forall i \leq n[0_{\mathbb{Q}} \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma(i) \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}]$, then $\delta(n) = \gamma(n)$, and, *if not*, then $\gamma(n) = 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$. Note: $\forall n[0_{\mathbb{Q}} \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta(n) \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}]$. Let C be the set of all s in $\mathbb{S}$ such that $s \sqsubseteq_{\mathbb{S}} (0_{\mathbb{Q}}, 1_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $\exists m\forall n > m[\delta(n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} s' \vee s'' <_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta(n)]$. Recall that, for each s in $\mathbb{S}$, $L(s) = (s'', \frac{s'+_{\mathbb{Q}}s''}{2})$ and $R(s) = (\frac{s'+_{\mathbb{Q}}s''}{2}, s'')$. Note that, for each s in $\mathbb{S}$ such that $s \sqsubseteq (0_{\mathbb{Q}}, 1_{\mathbb{Q}})$, if both $L(s) \in C$ and $R(s) \in C$, then $s \in C$. Also note: C is a $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_2$-subset of $\mathbb{N}$ and find ε such that $\forall s[s \in C \leftrightarrow S^0_2(s,\varepsilon)]$. Assume we find η such that $\forall s[s \in C \leftrightarrow \eta(s) \neq 0]$. Note: for each s in $\mathbb{S}$ such that $s \sqsubseteq (0_{\mathbb{Q}}, 1_{\mathbb{Q}})$, if $\eta\big(L(s)\big) \neq 0$ and $\eta\big(R(s)\big) \neq 0$, then $\eta(s) \neq 0$, and, therefore, if $\eta(s) = 0$ then either $\eta\big(L(s)\big) = 0$ or $\eta\big(R(s)\big) = 0$. Note: $\eta\big((0_{\mathbb{Q}}, 1_{\mathbb{Q}})\big) = 0$. Define λ such that $\lambda(0) = (0_{\mathbb{Q}}, 1_{\mathbb{Q}})$, and, for each n, if $\eta\big(L(\lambda(n))\big) = 0$, then $\lambda(n+1) = L\big(\lambda(n)\big)$, and, if not, then $\lambda(n+1) = R\big(\lambda(n)\big)$. Note: for each n, $\eta\big(\lambda(n)\big) = 0$.

Let s in $\mathbb{S}$ be given such that $s \sqsubseteq_{\mathbb{S}} (0_{\mathbb{Q}}, 1_{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $\eta(s) = 0$. Then $\neg\exists m\forall n > m[\delta(n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} s' \vee s'' <_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta(n)]$ and, therefore, $\forall m\neg\neg\exists n > m[s' \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta(n) \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} s'']$. Use MP_1 and conclude: $\forall m\exists n > m[s' \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta(n) \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} s'']$. Conclude: $\forall p\forall m\exists n > m[\big(\lambda(p)\big)' \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta(n) \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \big(\lambda(p)\big)'']$.

Define ζ such that $\zeta(0) = 0$ and, for each n, $\zeta(n+1)$ is the least p such that $p > \zeta(n)$ and $\big(\lambda(n)\big)' \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta(p) \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \big(\lambda(n)\big)''$. Note: for each n, $|\delta \circ \zeta(n+2) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \delta \circ \zeta(n+1)| \leq_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n}$. Find n such that $|\gamma \circ \zeta(n+2) -_{\mathbb{Q}} \gamma \circ \zeta(n+1)| >_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{2^n}$ and conclude: *either*: $\delta \circ \zeta(n+1) \neq \gamma \circ \zeta(n+1)$ and: $\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}}$ or $\gamma \circ \zeta(n+1) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$, *or*: $\delta \circ \zeta(n+2) \neq \gamma \circ \zeta(n+2)$ and: $\gamma \circ \zeta(n+2) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}}$ or $\gamma \circ \zeta(n+2) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

We thus see: if $\exists\eta\forall s[S^0_2(s,\varepsilon) \leftrightarrow \eta(s) \neq 0]$, then $\exists n[\gamma(n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}} \vee \gamma(n) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}]$. From $\mathbf{OI}([0,1])$ we conclude, using Theorem 11.2, $\neg\neg\exists\eta\forall s[S^0_2(s,\varepsilon) \leftrightarrow \eta(s) \neq 0]$.

We thus find: $\neg\neg\exists n[\gamma(n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}} \ \vee \ \gamma(n) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}]$, and, using MP_1 once more: $\exists n[\gamma(n) <_{\mathbb{Q}} 0_{\mathbb{Q}} \ \vee \ \gamma(n) >_{\mathbb{Q}} 1_{\mathbb{Q}}]$. We may conclude: $\mathbf{Contr}(\mathbf{BW})$. □

The first item of the next theorem occurs already in [27], Section 3.20.

Theorem 11.4. (i) $\mathsf{BIM} \vdash \mathsf{MP}_1 \leftrightarrow \forall\alpha[\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\zeta(n) \notin D_\alpha] \leftrightarrow \neg\neg\exists n\forall m > n[m \notin D_\alpha]]$.
(ii) $\mathsf{BIM} + \mathsf{MP}_1 + \mathbf{AppFT} \vdash \mathbf{AlmFT}$.

Proof. (i). First assume MP_1.

Let α be given such that D_α is *almost-finite*, that is: $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\zeta(n) \notin D_\alpha]$. Assume: $\neg\exists n\forall m > n[m \notin D_\alpha]$. Then: $\forall n\neg\neg\exists m > n[m \in D_\alpha]$, and, by MP_1, $\forall n\exists m > n[m \in D_\alpha]$. Define ζ such that $\zeta(0) = \mu p[p \in D_\alpha]$ and, for each n, $\zeta(n+1) = \mu p[p > \zeta(n) \ \wedge \ p \in D_\alpha]$. Note: $\forall n[\zeta(n) \in D_\alpha]$. Contradiction. Conclude: $\neg\neg\exists n\forall m > n[m \notin D_\alpha]$, that is: D_α is *not-not-finite*.

Let α be given such that: $\neg\neg\exists n\forall m > n[m \notin D_\alpha]$ and assume: $\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega$. Note: *if* $\exists n\forall m > n[m \notin D_\alpha]$, *then* $\exists n[\zeta(n) \notin D_\alpha]$. Conclude: $\neg\neg\exists n[\zeta(n) \notin D_\alpha]$ and, using MP_1: $\exists n[\zeta(n) \notin D_\alpha]$. We thus see: $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\zeta(n) \notin D_\alpha]$.

Now assume: $\forall\alpha[\neg\neg\exists n\forall m > n[m \notin D_\alpha] \to \forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[\zeta(n) \notin D_\alpha]]$. Let α be given such that $\neg\neg\exists n[\alpha(n) \neq 0]$. Define β such that $\forall n[\beta(n) \neq 0 \leftrightarrow \big(\alpha(n) \neq 0 \wedge \forall i < n[\alpha(n) = 0]\big)]$. Note: $\neg\neg\exists n\forall m > n[m \notin D_\beta]$ and find n such that $n \notin D_\beta$, and, therefore: $\alpha(n) \neq 0$. We thus see: $\exists n[\alpha(n) \neq 0]$. Conclude: MP_1.

(ii). Assume: MP_1 and $\mathbf{AppFT}$. Let β be given such that $Almfan(\beta)$. Let α be given such that $Thinbar_{\mathcal{F}_\beta}(D_\alpha)$. Suppose we find δ, ε such that $\forall s\forall n[\delta(s * \langle n\rangle) \neq 0 \leftrightarrow \forall m > n[\beta(s * \langle m\rangle) \neq 0]]$ and $\forall s[\varepsilon(s) \neq 0 \leftrightarrow \exists n[\beta(s * \langle n\rangle) = 0 \wedge \delta(s * \langle n\rangle) \neq 0]]$. Define ε^* such that $\forall s[\varepsilon^*(s) = 0 \leftrightarrow \varepsilon(s) \neq 0]$. Note: $\forall s[\beta(s) = 0 \leftrightarrow \varepsilon^*(s) = 0]$ and: $Fan^+(\varepsilon^*)$. Conclude: $Fan^+(\beta)$. Using $\mathbf{FT}$, conclude: $\exists n\forall m > n[m \notin D_\alpha]$. We thus see: *if* $\exists\delta\forall s\forall n[\delta(s * \langle n\rangle) \neq 0 \leftrightarrow \forall m > n[\beta(s * \langle m\rangle) \neq 0]]$ and $\exists\varepsilon\forall s[\varepsilon(s) \neq 0 \leftrightarrow \exists n[\beta(s * \langle n\rangle) = 0 \ \wedge \ \delta(s * \langle n\rangle) \neq 0]]$, *then* $\exists n\forall m > n[m \notin D_\alpha]$. Using $\mathbf{AppFT}$ and MP_1, we conclude $\mathbf{\Sigma}^0_2$-$\mathbf{ND}$ and: $\neg\neg\exists\delta\forall s\forall n[\delta(s * \langle n\rangle) \neq 0 \leftrightarrow \forall m > n[\beta(s * \langle m\rangle) \neq 0]]$ and $\neg\neg\exists\varepsilon\forall s[\varepsilon(s) \neq 0 \leftrightarrow \exists n[\beta(s * \langle n\rangle) = 0 \wedge \delta(s * \langle n\rangle) \neq 0]]$. Therefore: $\neg\neg\exists n\forall m > n[m \notin D_\alpha]$ and, see (i): $\forall\zeta \in [\omega]^\omega \exists n[n \notin D_\alpha]$. We thus see: $\mathbf{AlmFT}$. □

Corollary 11.5. $\mathsf{BIM} + \mathsf{MP}_1 \vdash \mathbf{OI}([0,1]) \leftrightarrow \mathbf{AppFT} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{\Sigma}^0_1$-$\mathbf{ND} \leftrightarrow \mathbf{AlmFT}$.

Proof. Use Corollaries 5.11 and 8.8 and Theorems 11.2, 11.3 and 11.4. □

Some of the equivalences in Corollary 11.5 are studied in [11] and [12].

References

[1] É. Borel, Sur quelques points de la théorie des fonctions, *Annales Scientifiques de l'école normale supérieure*, 3e sèrie, t.12, 1895, pp. 9-55, in: *Oeuvres de Émile Borel*, Centre National de la Récherche Scientifique, Paris, 1972, Tome 1, pp. 239-288, in particular, pp. 280-281.

[2] É. Borel, *Leçons sur la théorie des fonctions*, Gauthier-Villars et Co., Paris, 1914, in particular, p. 42.

[3] L.E.J. Brouwer, Zur Begründung der intuitionistischen Mathematik I, *Math. Annalen* 93(1925)244-257, also in [6], pp. 301-314.

[4] L.E.J. Brouwer, Über Definitionsbereiche von Funktionen, *Math. Annalen* 97(1927), pp. 60-75, also in: [6], pp. 390-405.

[5] L.E.J. Brouwer, Points and spaces, *Canad. J. Math.* 6(1954), pp. 1-17, also in [6], pp. 522-538.

[6] L.E.J. Brouwer, *Collected Works, Vol. I: Philosophy and Foundations of Mathematics*, ed. A. Heyting, North Holland Publ.Co., Amsterdam, 1975.

[7] T. Coquand, A Note on the Open Induction Principle, 1997, www.cse.chalmers.se/ coquand/open.ps

[8] R. Dedekind, *Stetigkeit und Irrationalzahlen*, Braunschweig, 1872.

[9] A. Heyting: *Intuitionism, an Introduction*, North-Holland Publ. Co., Amsterdam, [1]1956,[2] 1966.

[10] W.A. Howard and G. Kreisel, Transfinite induction and bar induction of types zero and one and the role of continuity in intuitionistic analysis, *The Journal of Symbolic Logic* 31(1966)325-357.
[11] D. Ilik, Delimited Control Operators prove Double Negation Shift, *Annals of Pure and Applied logic* 163(2012)1549-1559.
[12] D. Ilik and K. Nakata, *A Direct Version of Veldman's Proof of Open Induction on Cantor space via Delimited Control Operators*, arXiv 1209:2229.
[13] S.C. Kleene and R.E. Vesley, *The Foundations of Intuitionistic Mathematics, Especially in Relation to the Theory of Recursive Functions*, Amsterdam, North-Holland Publ. Co., 1965.
[14] I. Loeb, Equivalents of the (weak) fan theorem, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, 132(2005)151-166.
[15] J.R. Moschovakis, Classical and Constructive Hierarchies in Extended Intuitionistic Analysis, *The Journal of Symbolic Logic* 68(2003)1015-1043.
[16] J. Paris, L. Harrington, A mathematical incompleteness in Peano Arithmetic, in: *Handbook of Mathematical Logic*, ed. J. Barwise, pp. 1133-1142, Amsterdam, North-Holland Publ. Co., 1977.
[17] F.P. Ramsey, On a problem in formal logic, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 30(1928)264-286.
[18] S.G. Simpson, *Subsystems of Second Order Arithmetic*, Perspectives in Mathematical Logic, Springer Verlag, Berlin etc., 1999.
[19] A.S. Troelstra, Note on the Fan Theorem, *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 39(1974)584-596.
[20] W. Veldman and M. Bezem, Ramsey's Theorem and the pigeonhole principle in intuitionistic mathematics, *J. of London Math. Soc.* 47(1993)193-211.
[21] W. Veldman, Some intuitionistic variations on the notion of a finite set of natural numbers, in: H.C.M. de Swart, L.J.M. Bergmans (ed.), *Perspectives on Negation, essays in honour of Johan J. de Iongh on the occasion of his 80th birthday*, Tilburg University Press, Tilburg, 1995, pp. 177-202.
[22] W. Veldman, On sets enclosed between a set and its double complement, in: A. Cantini e.a.(ed.), *Logic and Foundations of Mathematics*, Proceedings Xth International Congress on Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science, Florence 1995, Volume III, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999, pp. 143-154.
[23] W. Veldman, Understanding and using Brouwer's Continuity Principle, in: U. Berger, H. Osswald, P. Schuster (ed.), *Reuniting the Antipodes, constructive and nonstandard views of the continuum, Proceedings of a Symposium held in San Servolo/Venice, 1999*, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001, pp. 285-302.
[24] W. Veldman, Bijna de waaierstelling, *Nieuw Archief voor Wiskunde*, vijfde serie, deel 2(2001), pp. 330-339.
[25] W. Veldman, *Almost the Fan Theorem*, Report No. 0113, Department of Mathematics, University of Nijmegen, 2001.
[26] W. Veldman, An intuitionistic proof of Kruskal's Theorem, *Arch. Math. Logic* 43(2004)215-264.
[27] W. Veldman, Two simple sets that are not positively Borel, *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic* 135(2005)151-209.
[28] W. Veldman, *Brouwer's Fan Theorem as an axiom and as a contrast to Kleene's Alternative*, *Arch. Math. Logic* 53(2014)621-693.
[29] W. Veldman, Brouwer's Real Thesis on Bars, in: G. Heinzmann, G. Ronzitti, eds., *Constructivism: Mathematics, Logic, Philosophy and Linguistics, Philosophia Scientiae, Cahier Spécial 6*, 2006, pp. 21-39.
[30] W. Veldman, Some Applications of Brouwer's Thesis on Bars, in: M. van Atten, P. Boldini, M. Bourdeau, G. Heinzmann, eds., *One Hundred Years of Intuitionism (1907-2007), The Cerisy Conference*, Birkhäuser, Basel etc., 2008, pp. 326-340.
[31] W. Veldman, Brouwer's Approximate Fixed-Point Theorem is Equivalent to Brouwer's Fan Theorem, in: S. Lindström, E. Palmgren, K. Segerberg, V. Stoltenberg-Hansen, eds., *Logicism, Intuitionism, and Formalism - What has become of them?*, Springer, 2009, pp. 277-299.
[32] W. Veldman, Some further equivalents of Brouwer's Fan Theorem and of Kleene's Alternative, submitted for publication to: *Annals of Pure and Applied Logic*, arXiv: 1311:6988, DOI: 10.13140/RG.2.1.3936.6884

Institute for Mathematics, Astrophysics and Particle Physics, Faculty of Science, Radboud University Nijmegen, Postbus 9010, 6500 GL Nijmegen, the Netherlands
E-mail address: W.Veldman@science.ru.nl